GEOTECHNCIAL ENGINEERING REPORT

LEE'S SUMMIT Logistings center Building 2

Prepared for: Scannell Properties Indianapolis, Indiana

April, 2022 Olsson Project No. B21-04157



olsson

Scannell Properties Attn: Mr. Shaun Cofer 8801 River Crossing Boulevard, Suite 300 Indianapolis, Indiana 46240

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Report Lee's Summit Logistics Building No. 2 Lee's Summit, Missouri Olsson Project No. B21-04157

Dear Mr. Cofer,

Olsson has completed the geotechnical engineering report for the proposed new warehouse (Building No. 2), associated pavements and dry detention basins. The enclosed report summarizes our understanding of the project, presents the findings of the borings and laboratory tests, discusses the observed subsurface conditions, and based on those conditions, provides geotechnical engineering recommendations for the new warehouse and associated pavements along with the two dry detention basins.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our geotechnical engineering services for this project. If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact us at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted, *Olsson, Inc.*

JD Putnam, E.I. Assistant Engineer



Ian A. Dillon, PE Senior Geotechnical Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Proj	ect Understanding1	
	1.1	Project Scope 1	
	1.2	Project Site 1	
	1.3	Project Information	,
2.	Field	d Exploration and Laboratory Testing4	ŀ
	2.1	Field Exploration	ŀ
	2.2	Laboratory Testing	ł
3.	Sub	usrface Conditions	,
	3.1	Subsurface Stratification	;
	3.2	Water Level Observations	,
4.	Geo	technical Considerations7	,
5.	Site	Preparation	;
	5.1	General Site Preparation	;
	5.2	Structural Fill)
	5.3	Drainage and Groundwater Considerations10	1
	5.4	Hard Rock Removal10	1
6.	Stru	ctures11	
	6.1	Shallow Foundations11	
	6.2	Floor Slab Subgrade Preparation12	,
	6.3	Lateral Earth Pressures13	,
	6.4	Modular Block Retaining Walls14	ŀ
	6.5	Site Seismic Classifications15)
7.	Pave	ement16	;
	7.1	Pavement Subgrade Preparation16	;
	7.2	Pavement Section Thicknesses17	,
8.	Con	clusions and Limitations19)
	8.1	Construction Observations and Testing19	ł
	8.2	Limitations)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Project Site circa 2022	2
Figure 2. Project Site circa 1990	2
Figure 3. Proposed Site Layout	3

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Bedrock Depth Summary	5
Table 2. Fill Placement Guidelines	9
Table 3. Lateral Earth Pressure Parameters	.13
Table 4. Warehouse Minimum Pavement Sections	.17

APPENDICES

Appendix A Boring Location Map Appendix B Borehole Reports Symbols and Nomenclature Appendix C Laboratory Test Results Appendix D 2021 Previous Olsson Borings

1. PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

1.1 Project Scope

This Geotechnical Engineering Report presents the results of the subsurface conditions for Building No. 2 for the Lee's Summit Logistics Center in Lee's Summit, Missouri. We drilled six borings within the proposed building pad and associated pavement areas. The approximate locations of the borings are presented on the Boring Location Map in Appendix A and the associated Borehole Reports are presented in Appendix B. Laboratory test results are presented in Appendix C. The purpose of this report is to analyze the subsurface conditions encountered at the borings, and based on those conditions, provide geotechnical engineering recommendations for the preparation of the site, foundation recommendations, support of the floor slabs and pavements, and minimum pavement thicknesses for the associated pavements.

Olsson, Inc. (*Olsson*) previously submitted a Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report (*Olsson* project number 021-04157, dated June 22, 2021) providing preliminary geotechnical recommendations for the site. As part of the preliminary report, two of the subsurface exploratory borings (B-1 and B-2) are located within or near the work planned as part of this phase. We have appended these respective borehole reports in Appendix D.

1.2 **Project Site**

The project site is located south of the intersection of NW Main Street and NW Victoria Drive in Lee's Summit, Missouri (Figure 1). At the time of our exploration, the surface conditions within the proposed building pad and associate pavements consisted of shallow rooted grass covered pastures. At the center of the site, a gravel road leads to a farmstead which has been in place since prior to 1990 (Figure 2). The site generally slopes down from the northeast to the southwest with elevations ranging from 935 feet to 977 feet.



Figure 1. Project Site circa 2022

Based on readily available historical aerial imagery provided by Google Earth®, the site has been used for agricultural purposes dating back to at least 1990. Between the time of our exploration and the first available images (1990), the site has remained in the current state.



Figure 2. Project Site circa 1990

1.3 Project Information

We understand that Building No. 2 will consist of a warehouse structure with an approximate footprint area of 113,400 square feet (Figure 3). The slab-on-grade, dock high structure will utilize precast tilt up panel walls. Based on our experience with similar sized projects, we anticipate that the warehouse will have column loads of less than 150 kips and wall loads less

than 8 kips per linear foot (klf). Loading docks, truck parking and truck dive paths are planned on the southwest perimeter of the warehouse. Personal vehicle parking and drive paths are planned to be located to the northeast of the proposed warehouse. Entrance drives to the truck and personal parking areas are planned to be located to the south and east of the structure.

Two detention basins are planned to the north and south of the structure. The basins will have a depths of 13 feet and 7 feet, respectively.

We understand that the finished floor elevation for the new structure is anticipated to be 954 feet. Based on the provided grading plan, we anticipate up to 17 feet of cut and 2 feet of fill will be required for the building pad. In addition, several reinforced modular block retaining walls are planned to provide grade separation.



Figure 3. Proposed Site Layout

2. FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

2.1 Field Exploration

The drill crew used an All-Terrain Vehicle mounted CME-550 drill rig, equipped with continuous flight augers to advance the six borings at the site. The depths of the borings ranged from around 1 foot to around 14 feet below the existing surface. The boring locations were staked in the field and elevations were determined by an *Olsson* survey crew. Surface elevations of the borings are shown on the appended Borehole Reports. These elevations have been rounded to the nearest tenth of a foot.

We obtained soil samples with thin-walled sampling tubes hydraulically pushed into the soil and split-barreled sampling tubes during the performance of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Sampling depths and SPT blow counts (N-values) are shown on the appended Borehole Reports in Appendix B. Water level observations were made in the borings at the times and conditions noted on the Borehole Reports.

The drill crew prepared a field log for each boring. These field logs include visual classifications of the materials encountered during the drilling process as well the drillers' interpretation of the subsurface conditions between the samples. The appended Borehole Reports represent the engineer's interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on the laboratory observations and test results.

2.2 Laboratory Testing

At our laboratory, we classified the soil samples in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). We measured the moisture content of each sample and the dry density of each tube sample. Unconfined compressive strength tests were performed on selective tube samples. We measured the Atterberg Limits of two selective samples. Results of the laboratory tests are shown on the appended Borehole Reports.

3. SUBUSRFACE CONDITIONS

3.1 Subsurface Stratification

The subsurface conditions shown on the borehole reports represent conditions at the specific boring locations at the times they were drilled. Variations may occur between and beyond the borings. The stratification lines shown on the appended Borehole Reports represent the approximate locations of changes in soil and bedrock types. The actual transitions between materials is usually gradual. Based on the borings and laboratory test results, the subsurface conditions at this project site can be generalized as follows.

Below the rootzone layer, with the exception of B-5B, we encountered lean-to-fat clay soils extending to depths of 5 feet to 14 feet. The clay soils were generally stiff to very stiff, brown to dark brown and reddish brown to gray, and moist to very moist. The upper 3 feet of the clay soils at boring B-2B appears to be possible fill material that has been placed during agricultural operations. Boring B-4B terminated in the clay soils at a depth of 5 feet below the existing surface.

At the remaining borings and B-5B, we encountered gray limestone bedrock beneath the clay and rootzone soils. The bedrock was encountered at depths ranging from just below the existing ground surface at B-4B to 14 feet at B-3B. The drill crew's augers were able to penetrate 3 to 7 inches of limestone prior to encountering practical auger refusal.

Boring ID	Rock Encountered Depth	Auger Refusal Depth			
B-1*	11.1 feet	13.4 feet			
B-2*	5.9 feet	6.2 feet			
B-1B	12.0 feet	12.3 feet			
B-2B	7.0 feet	7.2 feet			
B-3B	14.0 feet	14.3 feet			
B-4B	NE**	NE**			
B-5B	0.5 feet	1.2 feet			
B-6B	8.0 feet	8.3 feet			
*Poring from 6/1/	0001				

*Boring from 6/1/2021 **Not Encountered

Table 1. Bedrock Depth Summary

3.2 Water Level Observations

Each boring was monitored for groundwater during and immediately after the completion of drilling operations. Groundwater was not encountered during our subsurface exploration. The lack of groundwater should not be construed to represent a permanent or stable condition. Variations and uncertainties exist with relatively short-term water level observations in boreholes. Water levels can and should be anticipated to vary between boring locations, as well

NW Main Street and NW Victoria Drive Project No. B21-04157

as time within specific borings. Water typically collects near the interface between different materials, such as soil and bedrock. Groundwater levels can fluctuate with variations in precipitation, site grading, drainage, and adjacent land use. Long term monitoring with piezometers generally provides a more representative reflection of the potential range of groundwater conditions.

4. GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Our previous experience with former agricultural sites, has shown that is common practice to push miscellaneous debris/trash directly into old excavations or washouts around the farm or into drainage areas to help control erosion. It is difficult to identify and document the specific location of these areas with soil borings only, but the earthwork contractor should be aware that these areas may be encountered during grading operations. We recommend that a representative of **Olsson** be on-site to monitor the earthwork and excavation operations and to document the presence of suspicious fill, buried debris, or otherwise unsuitable material that may be encountered across the project site. If encountered, these unsuitable materials should be removed and replaced with structural fill.

Our test results indicate that the on-site soils have the potential to have relatively low densities and high moisture content levels. These soils tend to be compressible when saturated and easily disturbed from construction activity when wet. Earthwork construction during the wet months of September through May will be difficult and may require budget contingencies to cover additional site preparation costs. Construction activities on these soils during wet weather may require special site preparation procedures in order to facilitate access roads for construction traffic. Difficulties in developing stabilized access roads, building pads and pavement subgrades in low density and very moist clay soils are anticipated for this project.

The borings indicate that limestone bedrock is generally encountered below an elevation of 960 feet. We anticipate that rock removal will be required within the building pad, the two detention basins and the loading dock parking area west of the proposed structure. In relatively tight excavations and below auger refusal depths, bedrock may be difficult to excavate and may require the pneumatic breakers or other hard rock removal techniques. We recommend a contingency fund be made available in the even that rock removal techniques are required.

5. SITE PREPARATION

5.1 General Site Preparation

Site preparation should commence with the removal of the existing farmstead structures. Removal operations should include any above and below ground structures such as, but not limited to, foundations, floor slabs, abandoned or existing utility lines.

Following the removal of the existing farmstead, the site should be stripped of any organic, loose, soft, frozen or otherwise unsuitable materials from the entire construction area. These materials should be carefully separated to avoid incorporation of organic materials into new fill sections in the building or pavement areas. Site clearing, grubbing and stripping operations should be performed during dry weather conditions. Operation of heavy equipment on the site during wet conditions could result in excessive rutting and mixing of construction debris with the underlying soils. Any required tree removal should be accomplished at this time as well. Care should be taken to thoroughly remove all root systems, as a zone of desiccated soils may exist in the vicinity of the trees. Materials that are disturbed during tree removal as well as the zone of desiccated soils should be moisture conditioned, undercut and replaced with structural fill outlined in Table 2 of this report.

Based on the provided grading plan and the results from the borings, it is expected that bedrock will be encountered during mass grading operations. If the limestone bedrock is present within 6 inches of the base of the floor slab, the limestone should be undercut to a depth of 6 inches below the base of the slab to allow for a free draining, granular leveling course. Where limestone is present within the 24-inch Low Volume Change Zone, the clay soils should be undercut to the limestone surface and replaced with acceptable Low Volume Change material as outlined in Table 2. Where limestone is not present within the 24-inch zone, the clay soils should be removed and replaced with suitable low volume change material. Additional undercutting below the 24-inch zone could be required to remove soft and/or wet soils.

In areas outside the building pad and where bedrock is not encountered during grading operations, the exposed clay soils should be proofrolled with a loaded tandem-axle dump truck under the supervision of **Olsson** personnel. Any unsuitable material revealed by proofrolling should be recompacted and/or replaced with suitable structural fill outlined in this report.

Following site stripping, undercutting and proofrolling operations, where limestone bedrock is not present outside the proposed building pad, the upper 9 inches of exposed subgrade should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the material's Standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698) at moisture content between optimum and 4 percent above optimum. Once the subgrade has been compacted, the excavated areas should be filled in accordance with recommendations presented in this report.

5.2 Structural Fill

All structural fill and backfill should consist of approved materials, free of organic matter (organic content less than 5 percent), and debris. Also, the soils should not contain particle sizes larger than three inches. Imported fill soils should generally exhibit a liquid limit less than 60 and a plasticity index less than 30. Samples of all proposed fill materials should be submitted to *Olsson* for compaction and classification tests. Laboratory Proctor compaction and classification tests should be performed on any fill material placed during mass grading operations. The native on-site soils appear to be suitable for use as structural fill but would not be acceptable for use as Low Volume Change fill placed directly below the slabs.

We recommend that all structural fill and backfill be compacted in accordance with the criteria provided in Table 2. An **Olsson** representative should observe fill placement operations and perform field density tests, as required.

Area of Fill Placement	Material	ASTM D-698 Compaction Recommendations	Moisture Content (Percent of Optimum)		
Granular Leveling Course –	ASTM C-33 No. 57	65% of Relative	As necessary to obtain		
6" beneath floor slabs	Aggregate	Density	density		
Low Volume Change (LVC) –	LL < 50 PI < 25	05%	-1 to +3 percent		
leveling course	MoDOT Type 5 Baserock*	93%	As necessary to obtain density		
Structural Fill – On-site	Recompacted On-site Soils	95%	0 to +4 percent		
Structural Fill – Imported	LL < 60 PI < 30	95%	0 to +4 percent		
Devement Subarada	On-site Recompacted				
Cohosivo Soilo	or	95%	0 to +4 percent		
Conesive Solis	Imported		-		
Pavement Subgrade – Aggregate Base	MoDOT Type 5 Baserock*	95%	As necessary to obtain density		

*Or equivalent

Table 2. Fill Placement Guidelines

Suitable fill materials should be placed in thin loose lifts of 8 inches or less. Within small excavations, such as in utility trenches, around manholes, or behind retaining walls, the use of vibrating plat compactors, jumping jack compactors or walk behind sheepsfoot compactors may be used to facilitate compaction in these areas. Loose lifts thicknesses of 4 inches or less are recommended where small compaction equipment is used.

The moisture content for suitable borrow soils at the time of compaction should generally be maintained between the ranges specified above. More stringent moisture limits may be necessary with certain soils and some adjustments to moistures contents may be necessary to achieve compaction in accordance with project specifications.

5.3 Drainage and Groundwater Considerations

The area surrounding the site should be sloped to promote surface drainage away from the foundation. Water should not be allowed to collect at the ground surfaces near foundations, floor slabs, or areas of new pavement, either during or after construction. Provisions should be made to quickly remove accumulating seepage water or storm water runoff from excavations. Undercut or excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to allow rainwater or surface runoff to be quickly collected and gravity drained or pumped from construction areas. Subgrade soils that are exposed to precipitation or runoff should be evaluated by *Olsson* prior to the placement of new fill, reinforcing steel, or concrete, to determine if corrective action is required.

To minimize concerns related to improper or inadequate drainage away from foundation bearing subgrades or from cohesive backfill materials used in utility or foundation trenches, we recommend the following:

- Site grading should provide for efficient drainage of rainfall or surface runoff away from new structures and pavement.
- Roof run-off should be collected and transferred directly to the storm sewer system or directed to a location with positive and rapid drainage away from new structures and pavements.
- External hose connections in unpaved areas should incorporate splash blocks to prevent accidental flooding of foundation bearing or backfill soils. External hose connections should have cut-off valves inside the building to prevent accidental or unauthorized use.
- Maintenance personnel should be informed of the potential problems associated with watering near the building.

5.4 Hard Rock Removal

The borings indicate that the bedrock at the site where generally encountered below an elevation of 960 feet and appears to slope down from the south to the north. Based on the provided grading plan, we anticipate hard rock removal will be required across the site to achieve the finished grades. A contingency fund should be made available for the rock removal operations.

6. STRUCTURES

6.1 Shallow Foundations

Based on the subsurface conditions observed at the borings and the proposed FFE, we anticipate that the foundation elevation of around 951 feet will primarily be founded on limestone bedrock. In areas where limestone is slightly lower than 951 feet (possibly south and west sides of building pad) the foundations should be extended downward to bear on the limestone bedrock. For shallow foundations supported on limestone bedrock, a maximum net allowable bearing pressure of 5,000 pounds per square foot (psf) can be used for design. The net allowable bearing pressure refers to the bearing capacity of the soil at foundation bearing elevations in excess of the surrounding overburden pressure.

Based on our borings, the bedrock at the site is generally higher on the Main Street side of the building, sloping down towards the railroad tracks. Exterior footings, bearing on competent limestone bedrock at this site, should extend to a minimum of 2 feet below the surface. To provide against frost, footings should not bear on clay seams or weathered rock. Footings should have a minimum foundation width of 18 inches for continuous footings and 30 inches for isolated column footings.

Lightly loaded interior partition walls (applying less than 0.75 kips per lineal foot (klf)) may be supported directly on the slab-on-grade floor. Depending on the floor slab design and the specific wall loads, it may be necessary to increase the floor slab reinforcement or provide a thickened slab cross-section below interior walls. For interior walls with loads greater than 0.75 klf, we recommend a footing be installed, independent of the floor slab, to properly distribute the wall loads to the underlying soils and reduce the potential for floor slab damage.

Olsson should observe foundation bearing materials at the footing locations. The limestone encountered at the borings could contain clay filled joints or seams. If unsuitable bearing materials are encountered or limestone is deeper than anticipated, footings should be deepened to suitable limestone bedrock. Footings could bear at the lower elevation or on lean concrete extending to the suitable limestone bedrock. The base of all foundation excavations should be free of all water and loose material prior to the placement of concrete. After foundation subgrades have been observed and evaluated by an **Olsson** representative, concrete should be placed as soon as possible.

In our opinion, foundations supported on competent limestone bedrock could experience total settlements and differential settlements of less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch.

6.2 Floor Slab Subgrade Preparation

For the purposes of this report and based on our experience with similar projects, a uniform load distribution of 500 psf for the floor slab was assumed. If the floor loading is significantly different, *Olsson* should be contacted to reevaluate the applicability of the recommendations contained herein.

Based on the borings, the floor slab subgrade will consist of both limestone bedrock and cohesive soils, which have moderate potential to swell and shrink with varying moisture content levels. To mitigate the risks of swelling and shrinking with clay subgrades, we recommend installing a 24-inch thick Low Volume Change (LVC) zone in areas where the floor slab subgrades consists of cohesive soils.

As previously mentioned, a portion of the building pad will extend into the limestone bedrock formation during subgrade construction. In these areas, the bedrock should be undercut a minimum of 6 inches or to suitable limestone bedrock. The undercut zone should be backfilled with a well-graded, free-draining granular material (i.e. ASTM C-33 No. 57 aggregate). The granular material should be compacted to 65 percent of the material's relative density and moisture conditioned to a level necessary in order to obtain the required density.

In areas where bedrock is not encountered during subgrade construction or where it is deeper than 6 inches below the slab, the upper 6 inches of the LVC zone should consist of well-graded, free-draining granular material. The well-graded, free-draining granular leveling course should exhibit the characteristics of an ASTM C-33 No.57 aggregate. If moisture vapor transmission through the concrete slab is a concern, (i.e. if moisture sensitive floor coverings will be used) a vapor barrier should be placed. Underlying the granular leveling course, up to 18 inches of additional LVC material should be placed. If bedrock is encountered within the 18 inches, the LVC zone should extend only to the bedrock surface. Acceptable materials consist of cohesive soils exhibiting a liquid limit less than 50 and a plasticity index less than 25, or a well graded granular material having no more than 15 percent fines passing through the No. 200 sieve, such as MoDOT Type 5 baserock. The granular leveling course and cohesive or granular LVC materials should be compacted and moisture conditioned to the levels outlined in Table 1 of this report.

Upon completion of grading operations in the building area, care should be taken to maintain the recommended subgrade moisture content and density prior to construction of the floor slab. If the subgrade should become saturated, desiccated, frozen, disturbed, or altered by construction activity, the subgrade should be restored to the conditions recommended in Table 2 of this report.

The procedures recommended above may not eliminate all future subgrade volume change and resultant floor slab movement. However, the procedures outlined should significantly reduce the

potential for future subgrade volume change. Common construction practice is to tie the slabon-grade into the foundation elements to limit the impact of differential movement at doorways and windows. Depending on the location of construction joints in the slab, the rigidity of the slab and foundation connection, and the magnitude of actual movement that occurs, some minor cracking within the floor slab could occur and should be expected.

6.3 Lateral Earth Pressures

The following soil parameters are provided for use in designing below grade cast-in-place concrete retaining walls, such as dock walls, subject to lateral earth pressures. The parameters are based on the understanding that the retained soils used during construction will be similar in composition to the on-site soils encountered during this exploration. To ensure similarity, we recommend confirmation testing be performed during construction by **Olsson**.

The "at-rest" condition assumes no wall rotation and would be applicable for basement walls. Walls that are unrestrained at the top and are free to rotate slightly, such as Cast-in-Place concrete cantilever walls, may be designed for "active" earth pressure conditions. The "passive" earth pressure condition should be used to evaluate the resistance of soil to lateral loads. Table 3 presents recommended values of earth pressure coefficients based on our experience with soils in the area. Equivalent fluid densities are frequently used for the calculation of lateral earth pressures for the "at-rest" and "active" conditions and are therefore provided in Table 3.

Le	egend of Symbo	ls					
Z	Wall Dep	oth (ft)		d			
Н	Depth Below	Surface (ft)		+			
D	Wall Displac	ement (ft)	EINISH CRADE S				
S	Surcharge L	oad (psf)					
P1	Surcharge Pre	essure (psf)	FOR AT REST PRESSURE d=0				
P ₂	Earth Loa	d (psf)	d=(0.002Z TO 0.004Z)				
K	Earth Pressure	e Coefficient	H (ft)				
G	Equivalent Flu	uid Density					
	(pci)					
Pre	ssure Calculatio	ons					
Surcharge Pressure	P ₁ (psf) = K * S (psf)		/				
Earth Load	P ₂ (psf) = G (ocf) * H (ft)		FINISH GRADE			
			Equivalent Fluid Density (G)				
Earth P	Pressure Coefficient (K)		Drained,	Undrained,			
			pcf	pcf			
Activo (K.)	Cohesive 0.41		50	85			
Active (Ra)	Granular*	0.31	35	-			
At-rost (K)	Cohesive	0.58	70	95			
AL-1621 (No)	Granular*	0.47	55	-			
Dassivo (K.)	Cohesive	2.46	295	205			
rassive (Np)	Granular* 3.25		390	-			

*Granular backfill should be permanently drained

Table 3. Lateral Earth Pressure Parameters

The following assumptions were made:

- For active earth pressure, the wall must rotate about its' base, with top lateral movements of 0.002*Z to 0.004*Z, where "Z" is the wall height.
- The equivalent fluid densities in Table 3 do not include the effects of surcharge loading.
- The equivalent fluid densities in Table assume a level backslope. If a backslope is included, *Olsson* should be contacted to update the earth pressure coefficient and associated equivalent fluid density.
- The wall must move horizontally to mobilize passive resistance.
- Surcharges are uniform, where "S" is surcharge pressure, in psf.
- In-situ backfill has a maximum weight of 120 pcf.
- Horizontal backfill is compacted to 95% of standard Proctor maximum dry density.
- Heavy equipment and other concentrated load components are not included.
- No hydrostatic pressure acting on wall. Assumes a drained condition.
- No safety factor is included.
- Passive pressure in the frost zone or moisture fluctuation zone should be ignored.

Backfill placed against structures should consist of granular soils or low plasticity cohesive soils. For the granular values to be valid, the granular backfill must extend out from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 and 60 degrees from vertical for the active and passive cases, respectively. To calculate the resistance to sliding, an ultimate coefficient of friction value of 0.65 where the footing bears on limestone bedrock.

To intercept infiltrating surface water behind the wall, we recommend a perimeter drain be installed at the foundation level and/or weep holes be placed at regular intervals along the wall. The drain line invert should be below the finished subgrade elevation for the interior floor. The drain line should be sloped to provide positive gravity drainage and should be surrounded by free-draining granular material graded to prevent the intrusion of fines, or an alternative free-draining granular material encapsulated with suitable filter fabric. A minimum 2-foot-wide section of free-draining granular fill should be used for backfilling above the drain line and adjacent to the wall and should extend to within two (2) feet of final grade. The granular backfill should be capped with compacted cohesive fill to minimize infiltration of surface water into the drain system.

6.4 Modular Block Retaining Walls

We understand that Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) Walls or large gravity modular blocks may be used to provide grade separation at this site. Our experience and that of our profession indicates that the risks of costly design, construction, and maintenance problems can be significantly lowered by retaining the geotechnical engineer of record to provide additional

services during design and construction. Therefore, we recommend **Olsson** be contracted to design and observe the construction of these walls once details are made available.

Regardless of the design firm, we recommend the following general and specific considerations be included in the project specifications for the wall design: Walls should be designed to provide adequate structural and functional performance for a service life of at least 75 years. Internal stability analyses should conform to the latest design methodology accepted for use by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), AASHTO or the National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA). The analysis should be based on the use of drained strength parameters, requiring the backfill used in the geogrid reinforced backfill section to be a drainable, granular material. Cohesive soil or granular material containing high amounts of fines (typically greater than 10 percent) are not considered drainable and should not be allowed in the geogrid reinforced backfill material description and design strength parameters in the construction specifications so that unsuitable materials are not allowed in the backfill zone during construction.

Global stability of the wall system should be analyzed using both drained and undrained strength parameters. The wall contractor/designer should be required to provide the global stability analyses based on the planned final cross section, including the topography above and below the wall, using the generalized subsurface stratigraphy discussed in this report.

6.5 Site Seismic Classifications

For this project site, the soil conditions encountered are consistent with the definition of Site Class "C" (Very Dense Soil and Soft Rock profile) as defined in ASCE 07-16.

7. PAVEMENT

7.1 Pavement Subgrade Preparation

We understand that personal vehicle parking and drive paths are planned at the northeast of the proposed structure. Loading dock parking and heavy truck drive areas are planned to the north, south and west of the warehouse. Entrance drives from the public streets are planned to be located north and south of the warehouse.

Based on the results from the borings, construction of the proposed pavements may encounter limestone bedrock in several locations across the site. Once final grading has been completed, we recommend that the bedrock, if encountered, be undercut an additional 6 inches. The undercut area should be replaced with 4 inches of free draining granular material (i.e. ASTM C-33 No. 57 aggregate) and capped with MoDOT Type 5 Baserock, or equivalent. A geotextile should be placed between the two layers to provide separation. Supplemental drainage should also be installed to provide drainage away from the building and pavement areas to reduce the risks of ponding within the subgrade.

In the event that bedrock is not encountered during pavement subgrade preparation, the new pavements should be supported on 8 inches (see Table 4) of properly placed and compacted well-graded granular material such as MoDOT Type 5 baserock (or equivalent) over 9 inches of properly placed and compacted or on-site recompacted cohesive soils. The cohesive soils should be compacted to 95 percent of the material's Standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698) and moisture conditioned between optimum and 4 percent above optimum.

We recommend that the prepared subgrade extend a minimum of 2-feet outside the pavements, where feasible. *Olsson* should be present during subgrade preparation to observe, document, and test compaction of the materials at the time of placement. As recommended for all prepared soil subgrades, heavy, repetitive construction traffic should be controlled, especially during periods of wet weather, to minimize disturbance. The final prepared subgrade should be proof rolled with a loaded dump truck or similar rubber-tired equipment with a total weight of at least 20-tons, immediately prior to placement of new pavements. Proofrolling operations should be observed and documented by *Olsson*. Unstable or unsuitable soils revealed by proofrolling should be reworked to provide a stable subgrade or removed and replaced with structural fill.

Construction scheduling often involves grading and paving by separate contractors and can involve a time lapse between the end of grading operations and the commencement of paving operations. Disturbance, desiccation, or wetting of the subgrade soils between grading and paving operations can result in the deterioration of the previously completed subgrade. If soft and/or wet areas are identified during subgrade preparation or if the subgrade soils have been

exposed to adverse weather conditions, frost, excessive construction traffic, standing water, or similar conditions, *Olsson* should be consulted to determine if corrective action is necessary.

It is important that the pavement subgrade support be relatively uniform, with no abrupt changes in the degree of support. Non-uniform pavement support can occur as a result of varying soil moisture contents or soil types, or where improperly placed utility backfill has been placed across or through areas to be paved. Improper subgrade preparation such as inadequate vegetation removal, failure to identify soft or unstable areas by proofrolling, and inadequate or improper compaction can also produce non-uniform subgrade support.

7.2 Pavement Section Thicknesses

Table 3 summarizes typical pavement section for the Warehouse Pavements. The sections represent typical minimum thicknesses. Routine maintenance of the pavement will be required, consisting of periodic seal coats and possible one intermediate mill, in addition to regular crack maintenance.

	AC w/ Granular Base (w/o bedrock undercutting)	AC w/ Granular Base (w/ bedrock undercutting)	Full Depth PCC
Personal Vehicle Traffic	2" AC Surface 4" AC Base 8" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock*	2" AC Surface 4" AC Base 2" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock* 4" Clean Rock Base	6" PCC 4" Clean Rock Base
60 Trucks per Day	2" AC Surface 5" AC Base 12" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock*	2" AC Surface 5" AC Base 2" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock* 4" Clean Rock Base	8" PCC 4" Clean Rock Base
300 Trucks per Day	2" AC Surface 7" AC Base 12" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock*	2" AC Surface 7" AC Base 2" Compacted MoDOT Type 5 Baserock* 4" Clean Rock Base	9" PCC 4" Clean Rock Base

*Or equivalent

Table 4. Warehouse Minimum Pavement Sections

PCC pavements are recommended for trash receptacle pads, loading dock areas and where heavy wheel loads will be concentrated. Concrete pavements in these areas should have a minimum thickness as defined in Table 3. It is also recommended that a 4-inch leveling, and drainage course of clean, crushed rock be placed below all PCC pavements. The clean rock

base for PCC pavements should be uniform and pavement subgrade should be graded to provide positive drainage of the granular base section. The granular section should be graded to adjacent storm sewer inlets and provisions should be made to provide drainage from the granular section into the storm sewers. Drainage of the granular base is particular important where two different sections of pavements (such as AC and PCC) abut, so that water does not pond beneath the pavements and saturate the subgrade soils. We further recommend that the length of concrete sections be such that no heavy truck wheels are allowed to rest on asphaltic concrete sections during loading/unloading operations.

The performance of the pavements will be dependent upon a number of factors, including subgrade conditions at the time of paving, rainwater runoff, and traffic. Rainwater runoff should not be allowed to seep below pavements from adjacent areas. Pavements should be sloped approximately ¼ inch per foot to provide for rapid surface drainage.

Proper drainage below the pavement section helps prevent softening of the subgrade and has a significant impact on pavement performance and pavement life. Therefore, we recommend that a granular blanket drain be constructed at all storm sewer inlets within the pavement areas. The blanket drain should consist of clean, crushed rock extending a minimum of 6 inches below pavement subgrade level. The blanket drains should extend radially a minimum of 8 feet from each of the storm sewer inlets. The grade within the blanket drain should be sloped toward the storm sewer inlet, and weep holes should be drilled through the inlet to provide drainage of the granular section into the inlet. Placement of a geotextile filter fabric across the weepholes could be considered to prevent loss of aggregate through the weep holes.

Construction traffic on the pavements has not been considered in the above noted typical sections. If construction scheduling dictates that the pavements will be subjected to traffic by construction equipment, increasing the pavement thickness should be considered to include the effects of additional traffic loading. Construction traffic should not be allowed on partially completed pavements as the pavements will not have adequate structural capacity and could be damaged.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND LIMITATIONS

8.1 Construction Observations and Testing

We recommend that all earthwork during construction be monitored by a representative of *Olsson,* including site preparation, placement of all structural fill and trench backfill, and pavement subgrades. The purpose of these services would be to provide *Olsson* the opportunity to observe the soil conditions encountered during construction, evaluate the applicability of the recommendations presented in this report to the soil conditions encountered, and recommend appropriate changes in design or construction procedures if conditions differ from those described herein.

8.2 Limitations

The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based on the information available regarding the proposed construction, the results obtained from our borings, laboratory testing program, and our experience with similar projects. The borings represent a very small statistical sampling of subsurface soils and it is possible that conditions may be encountered during construction that are substantially different from those indicated by the borings. In these instances, adjustments to design and construction may be necessary.

This geotechnical report is based on the site plan and our understanding of the project's information as provided to Olsson. Changes in the location or design of new structures could significantly affect the conclusions and recommendations presented in this geotechnical report. Olsson should be contacted in the event of such changes to determine if the recommendations of this report remain appropriate for the revised site design.

This report was prepared under the direction and supervision of a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Missouri with the firm of **Olsson, Inc**. The conclusions and recommendations contained herein are based on generally accepted, professional, geotechnical engineering practices at the time of this report, within this geographic area. No warranty, express or implied, is intended or made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of **Scannell Properties** and their authorized representatives for the specific application to the proposed project described herein.

APPENDIX A Boring Location Map



Approved by: JDP Date: 1/5/2022

Scannell Lee's Summit Building No).
Lee's Summit, Missouri	

APPENDIX B

Borehole Reports Symbols and Nomenclature

DRILLING NOTES

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

CS:Continuous SampleHA:Hand AugerNA:Not ApplicableBS:Bulk SampleCPT:Cone Penetration Test% Rec:Percent of RecoveryMC:Modified California SamplerWB:Wash BoreWD:While DrillingGB:Grab SampleFT:Fish Tail BitIAD:Immediately After DrillingSPT:Standard Penetration Test Blows per 6.0"RB:Rock BitAD:After DrillingPP:Pocket PenetrometerCI:Cave In	SS:Split-Spoon Sample (1.375" ID, 2.0" OD)HSAU:Thin-Walled Tube Sample (3.0" OD)CFACS:Continuous SampleHA:BS:Bulk SampleCPTMC:Modified California SamplerWB:	 Hollow Stem Auger Continuous Flight Auger Hand Auger Cone Penetration Test Wash Bore 	NE: NP: NA: % Rec: WD:	Not Encountered Not Performed Not Applicable Percent of Recovery While Drilling
---	--	--	------------------------------------	---

Soil samples designated as "U" samples on the boring logs were obtained in using Thin-Walled Tube Sampling techniques. Soil samples designated as "SS" samples were obtained during Penetration Test using a Split-Spoon Barrel sampler. The standard penetration resistance 'N' value is the number of blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches to drive the Split-Spoon sampler one foot. Soil samples designated as "MC" were obtained in using Thick-Walled, Ring-Lined, Split-Barrel Drive sampling techniques. Recovered samples were sealed in containers, labeled, and protected for transportation to the laboratory for testing.

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Water levels indicated on the boring logs are levels measured in the borings at the times indicated. In relatively high permeable materials, the indicated levels may reflect the location of groundwater. In low permeability soils, the accurate determination of groundwater levels is not possible with only short-term observations.

SOIL PROPERTIES & DESCRIPTIONS

Descriptions of the soils encountered in the soil test borings were prepared using Visual-Manual Procedures for Descriptions and Identification of Soils.

PARTICLE SIZE

Boulders	12 in. +	Coarse Sand	4.75mm-2.0mm	Silt	0.075mm-0.005mm
Cobbles	12 in3 in.	Medium Sand	2.0mm-0.425mm	Clay	<0.005mm
Gravel	3 in4.75mm	Fine Sand	0.425mm-0.075mm	·	

COHESIVE SOILS COHESIONLESS SOILS COMPONENT % Unconfined Compressive Strength (Qu) (tsf) **Relative Density** 'N' Value Description **Consistency** Percent (%) Very Soft Very Loose Trace < 0.25 0 - 3<5 4 - 95 - 10 Soft 0.25 - 0.5Loose Few Firm 0.5 - 1.0Medium Dense 10 - 29Little 15 - 25 Stiff 1.0 - 2.0Dense 30 - 49Some 30 - 45 Very Stiff 2.0 - 4.0Very Dense ≥ 50 Mostly 50 - 100 Hard > 4.0



ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD)

Description	<u>RQD (%)</u>
Very Poor	0-25
Poor	25 - 50
Fair	50 - 75
Good	75 - 90
Excellent	90 - 100

olsson

G:\Admin\TEAMS\Geotech\AASHTO\Lab Forms\Symbols and Nomenclature gINT.doc

OSSON [®] BOREHOLE REF					T NO.	. В-	1B		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Lee's Summit Logis		CLIEN	Т		Scann	ell Pr	oper	ties			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER B21-04	4157		LOCA	TION		Lee's Sı	ummi ^r	t, Mis	sour	i	
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft): ROOT ZONE	962.7	<u></u>	0						┼───		
	FAT CLAY	0.5_										
960	Stiff, dark brown, moist, tra Stiff, brown and reddish brown	ce organics 2.0'_2.0'			U 1				20.3	94.0		P.P. = 4.0
	Stiff, dark brown and browr	4_0'			U 2				23.7	92.1		P.P. = 3.0
 <u>955</u> 	Firm, reddish brown, shale	8.0''_8.0'''_8.0'''_8.0'''_8.0'''_8.0'''_8.0'''_8.0'''**''_8.0'''**'''**'''**''**''**''**''**''**''*		 10	U 3				20.1	100.3		P.P. = 1.0
	LIMESTONE Gray REFUSAL AT 12.3 FEET											
WAT	ER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	1/3	1/22	FINISI	HED:	1/31/22
WD		OLSSON. I	NC.			DRIL	L CO.: RC	DRILL	ING	DRILL	RIG:	CMF 550X
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	1700 E. 123RD	STRE	ET		DRII	LER:	I			ED BY	
AD	-	ULATHE, KANS	A2 0	1000		MET				LIGH		
	-					1						

OISSON [®] BOREHOLE RE					PORT NO. B-2B Sheet 1 of 1							of 1	
PROJ		tion Duilding No. 0			CLIEN	Т		Coore			4100		
PROJ	ECT NUMBER	Stics Building No. 2			LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Scann	en Pr	oper	lies		
	B21-0)4157		1	Lee's Summit, Missouri								
LEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	ESCRIPTION		BRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	MPLE TYPE NUMBER	SSIFICATION (USCS)	N-VALUE	JNC. STR. (tsf)	IOISTURE (%)	Y DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
						SAI	CLA:	<u> </u>		2	DR		
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	: 959.0 (0.5'	<u>717</u> 7	0								
L .	LEAN CLAY												
	Very stiff, brown with redd	ishbrown, shaley				U 1				17.1	110.9		P.P. = 4.5+
 _955	FAT CLAY Very stiff, brown with redd	ish brown, shaley, mosit	<u>3.0</u>			U 2				24.1	98.1		P.P. = 4.5+
		7	7.0'		 								
		\sim	[.2.)	<u>. </u>			I			1		II	
WAT	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAR	RTED:	2	/1/22	FINISH	HED:	2/1/22
WD	WD ∑ Not Encountered OLSSON,						DRIL	L CO.: RC	DRILI	ING	DRILL	RIG:	CME 550X
IAD ▼ Not Encountered 1700 E. 123RD ST OLATHE, KANSAS				STRE AS 66	ET 5061		DRIL	LER:				ED BY	
AD	▼ Not Encountered	,			METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER					ER			

[olsson	BOREHOLE RE				PORT NO. B-3B					Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJ	ECT NAME Lee's Summit Logis	tics Building No. 2		CLIEN	IT		Scann	ell Pr	roper	ties						
PROJ	ECT NUMBER B21-0/	4157		LOCA	TION		Lee's Su	ummi	t. Mis	ssou	ri					
EVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	RAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	PLE TYPE UMBER	SIFICATION USCS)	.'ALUE	IC. STR. (tsf)	JISTURE (%)	DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS				
ELE			ß		SAM) CLAS	ъ. ВГ	5	×	DRY						
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft): ROOT ZONE	<u>: 971.1</u>	5' <u>* 1/ ×</u>	0												
970	LEAN CLAY															
	Very stiff, brown with gray,	moist, trace organics			U 1				21.0	105.6		P.P. = 4.5				
	FAT CLAY	3.	<u>0'</u>													
	Very stiff, brown with gray,	moist		 5	U 2				20.4	92.8		P.P. = 3.5				
965	-	-			-											
	Very stiff, brown with gray a shaley, very moist	and reddish brown,														
				 <u>10</u>	3	СН		2.8	30.3	92.9	65/43					
960					-											
		14	.0'		X SS		7-50/1"		28.8							
	Gray REFUSAL AT	 Т 14.3 FEET	.3.	1		л	L		1	1						
						1										
WAT					STA	RTED:	2	/1/22	FINIS	HED:	2/1/22					
WD	⊻ Not Encountered	, INC. D STRI	EET		DRILL CO.: RC DRILLING DRILL					. RIG:	CME 550X					
IAD	V Not Encountered	Encountered OLATHE, KANS				DRIL	LER:	L		LOGG	SED BY	C ARIANNA				
AD	<u>⊥</u> Not Encountered				METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER											

	olsson	BOREHOLE	POR	Sheet 1 of 1									
PROJ	ECT NAME	tics Building No. 2		CLIENT Scannell Properties									
PROJ	ECT NUMBER												
	B21-0	4157					Lee's Si	ummi	t, Mis	ssour	'i		
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	JRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	968.7		0	S	С							
	ROOT ZONE	0.5	5' <u>*'</u>	<u>()</u> - 7									
	Stiff, dark brown with brown	n, moist, trace organics											
	Stiff, brown with reddish br				1			1.3	26.7	93.5			
965	_	_			U 2			1.8	27.4	96.6			
	BASE OF BORIN	5.0 IG AT 5.0 FEET	0'	5									
WAT	ER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	2	/1/22	FINISH	HED:	2/1/22		
WD	$\underline{\bigtriangledown}$ Not Encountered	OLSSON			DRIL	L CO.: RC	DRILI	LING	DRILL	RIG:	CME 550X		
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	1700 E. 123RI OLATHE, KAN	REET 66061		DRIL	LER:	L	UKE	LOGG	ED BY	: ARIANNA		
AD					MET	HOD: CON	NTINU		LIGH	r auge	 ER		

OSSON [®] BOREHOLE RE					PORT NO. B-5B Sheet 1 of 1							of 1
PROJ		tice Duilding No. 0		CLIEN	Т		Cooper			4100		
PROJ	ECT NUMBER	stics Building No. 2		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Scann	ieli Pr	oper	ties		
	B21-0)4157					Lee's Su	ummi	t, Mis	sour	i	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION): 952.1	GRAPHIC LOG	o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	0.5'	<u> \\ \</u>									
WAT					STAF	RTED:	2	/1/22	FINIS	HED:	2/1/22	
WD	Not Encountered	OLSSON, I 1700 E 123PD	FT		DRIL	L CO.: RC	DRILI	ING	DRILL	RIG:	CME 550X	
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	OLATHE, KANS	6061		DRIL	LER:	L	UKE	LOGG	ED BY	. ARIANNA	
AD	$\underline{\Psi}$ Not Encountered				MET	HOD: CON	NTINU	OUS F	LIGH	T AUGI	ER	

	olsson	REF	POR	Sheet 1 of 1								
PROJI	ECT NAME Lee's Summit Logis	tics Building No. 2		CLIEN	LIENT Scannell Properties							
PROJE	ECT NUMBER B21-04	4157		LOCATION Lee's Summit, Missouri								
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	RY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	966.6		0	1S	CL				ā		
	ROOT ZONE	0.5'	<u>\\\</u>									
965	FAT CLAY Stiff, dark brown with browr	n, moist, trace organics 2.0'			U				05.5	00.7		
	Stiff, brown with reddish bro	own, moist			1				25.5	98.7		P.P. = 3.0
				U 2	СН			23.5	97.8	52/34	P.P. = 2.5	
 960												
		8.0'										
	Gray		•				1					
WAT	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STA	RTED:	1/3	1/22	FINISI	HED:	1/31/22
WD	WD ∑ Not Encountered OLSSON, I					DRIL	.L CO.: RC	DRILL	ING	DRILL	RIG:	CME 550X
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	1700 E. 123RD STRI OLATHE, KANSAS 6				DRIL	LER:	LUKE		E LOGGED BY:		ARIANNA
AD	<u> </u>	,			METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGE				ĒR			

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Test Results

OLSSON, INC. 1700 E. 123RD STREET OLATHE, KANSAS 66061



SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME: Lee's Summit Logistics Building No. 2

PROJECT NUMBER: <u>B21-04157</u>

CLIENT: Scannell Properties

PROJECT LOCATION: Lee's Summit, Missouri

BORING	SAMPI F	SAMPLE	MOISTURE	DRY	VOID	SATURATION	UNCONFINED	STRAIN	A	ITERBERG LIM	ITS		27211
NUMBER	I.D.	DEPTH (ft)	CONTENT (%)	(pcf)	RATIO	(%)	STRENGTH (tsf)	(%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	P-200	CLASS.
B-1B	U-1	1.0 - 3.0'	20.3	94.0	0.792	69.1							
B-1B	U-2	3.0 - 5.0'	23.7	92.1	0.830	77.1							
B-1B	U-3	8.0 - 10.0'	20.1	100.3	0.680	80.0							
B-2B	U-1	1.0 - 3.0'	17.1	110.9	0.519	88.9							
B-2B	U-2	3.0 - 5.0'	24.1	98.1	0.719	90.5							
B-3B	U-1	1.0 - 3.0'	21.0	105.6	0.596	95.0							
B-3B	U-2	3.0 - 5.0'	20.4	92.8	0.816	67.6							
B-3B	U-3	8.0 - 10.0'	30.3	92.9	0.815	100.0	2.8	4.5	65	22	43		CH
B-3B	SS-4	13.5 - 14.1'	28.8										
B-4B	U-1	1.0 - 3.0'	26.7	93.5	0.803	89.8	1.3	5.4					
B-4B	U-2	3.0 - 5.0'	27.4	96.6	0.744	99.3	1.8	11.9					
B-6B	U-1	1.0 - 3.0'	25.5	98.7	0.708	97.1							
B-6B	U-2	3.0 - 5.0'	23.5	97.8	0.724	87.8			52	18	34		СН

APPENDIX D

2021 Previous Olsson Borings



		Boring Location Plan
olsson	Scale: n.t.s. Project No. 021-04157	Scannell Lee's Summit Building B
	Approved by: JDP	Lee's Summit, Missouri
	Date: 1/5/2022	

	Olsson	BOREHOLE	RE	POF	RT NC). B	-1		S	Sheet 1 of 1			
PROJ	ECT NAME Scannell Lee's Sun	nmit Tudor Road		CLIEN	IT	ç	Scannell	Prop	ertie	s	C		
PROJ	ECT NUMBER			LOCA	TION		Joannon	1100		0, <u>_</u>	•		
	021-04	157	1		1	· · · · ·	Lee's S	ummi	t, Mis	sour	i		
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DE	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	<u>973</u>		0									
	FAT CLAY	/											
 970	Stiff, dark brown with reddis orgaincs Stiff, reddish brown, moist	sh brown, moist, trace 2.0'			U 1				28.7	94.2		P.P. = 4.0	
	-			 5	SS 2		2-4-5 N=9		28.0				
 965					-								
	Very stiff, reddish brown, ve			10	U 3			2.6	30.0	96.7			
					-								
	WEATHERED LIMESTONI	E]]]									
_960		<u></u> <u></u>	\cdot	- 1	-								
	REFUSAL AT	- 13.4 FEET											
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	6	/1/21	FINISI	HED:	6/1/21	
WD		OLSSON, INC. 1700 E. 123RD STRE OLATHE, KANSAS 66				DRIL	L COALPI	HA OM	EGA	DRILL	RIG:	CME 55	
IAD	▼ Not Encountered					DRILLER: K. KEMPTON LOGGED BY: D. MART					. D. MARTIN		
AD	▼ Not Encountered				METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER								

[olsson	BOREHOLE REP				PORT NO. B-2				Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJ	ECT NAME Scannell Lee's Su	mmit Tudor Road		CLIE	INT		Scannell	Prop	ertie	s, LL	с				
PROJ	ECT NUMBER 021-0	4157		LOC	LOCATION Lee's Summit, Missouri										
EVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	RAPHIC	LOG DEPTH		SSIFICATION (USCS)	4-VALUE	NC. STR. (tsf)	OISTURE (%)	Y DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS			
Ш		. 000		,	SAN	CLAS	<u></u> ∞ ∠		Σ	DR					
	ROOT ZONE	<u> </u>	.2'												
	FAT CLAY														
	<u>Firm, dark brown, very mo</u>	ist, trace orgaincs	<u>.</u>]				2-4-4 N=8		30.5						
960	Firm, reddish brown with tr moist	ace light brown, shaley,													
		4	l.6'		- U 2				23.2	93.7	49/23	P.P. = 4.5+			
	LEAN CLAY			5											
L -	Stiff, yellow brown, shaley,	moist 5	<u>5.9'</u>		_										
WAT	ER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STA	RTED:	6/	/1/21	FINISI	HED:	6/1/21			
WD		OLSSON). DEET		DRIL	L COALPH	HA OM	EGA	A DRILL RIG: CME 5						
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	1700 E. 123R OLATHE, KAN	REE 1 66061		DRIL	DRILLER: K. KEMPTON			N LOGGED BY: D. MARTIN						
AD	$\underline{\Psi}$ Not Encountered	-			METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						ER				

LEE'S SUMMIT LOGISTICS – BUILDING NO.2

Lee's Summit, Missouri - 2022

April, 2022

Olsson Project No. B21-04157