STORMWATER DRAINAGE REPORT

THE RETREAT AT HOOK FARMS SECOND PLAT

Prepared for:

Hunt Midwest Real Estate Development, Inc. 8300 NE Underground Drive Kansas City, MO 64161 Contact: Aaron Schmidt

Prepared by:

Olsson 1301 Burlington Street, Suite 100 North Kansas City, MO 64116 Contact: Julie E. Sellers, P.E.



May 2021 Olsson Project No. A19-4059



I. GENERAL INFORMATION

This report is being submitted as a summary of the stormwater drainage design for The Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat, located at the northwest corner of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road in the City of Lee's Summit, Jackson County, Missouri. A preliminary stormwater study has previously been completed by Olsson named "Hook Farms Preliminary Stormwater Drainage Study" (Preliminary Study) dated March 2019. The purpose of this report is to verify that the final design of The Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat meets the analysis and intent of the Preliminary Study. The full Preliminary Study can be found in Appendix C of this report.

II. HOOK FARMS SECOND PLAT

A. Site Description

The Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat encompasses 24.92 acres of the Hook Farms development and includes 37 single family home lots and three tracts along with the public infrastructure to support those lots. Generally, the drainage patterns, proposed grading, and proposed impervious area in the current design remains the same as the Preliminary Study. All assumptions and statements within the Preliminary Study remain the same. Further analysis on the water quality basin (WQB) is detailed below.

B. Water Quality Basin

Per the Preliminary Study, proposed detention required is limited to the water quality storm event. The water quality basin located in the Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat area is named WQB 2 in the Preliminary Study. The volume required is 9,219 cubic feet and the tributary area planned is 9.20 acres. The proposed pond is in the same location and has 34,435 cubic feet of volume and 14.43 acres tributary to it. The water quality volume will be held in the pond for 40 hours past the peak time. The release rate from the pond will be controlled by a $2-\frac{1}{4}$ " x $2-\frac{1}{4}$ " square orifice cut into a steel plate on the outlet control structure. This basin exceeds the requirements of the Preliminary Study. Final design and supporting calculations for this water quality pond can be found in Appendix B of this report.

C. Storm Sewer System

A public storm sewer system is proposed to convey runoff generated on-site to the water quality basin. This storm sewer system consists of HDPE pipe with sizes ranging from 15" to 30", and several curb and field inlets to capture runoff. The system is designed to capture and convey the 10-year storm event with HGL below the pipe crown and no more than 1 cfs inlet bypass flow across intersections. Final design and calculations for the storm sewer system can be found in Appendix B of this report.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECCOMENDATIONS

The calculated peak runoff rates and volume of runoff generated for the Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat development will be equal to or lower than the pre-development peak runoff rates planned in the Preliminary Stormwater Drainage Study. Storm sewer systems proposed will convey runoff safely to the water quality basin for treatment before being released to the surrounding creeks. Drainage patterns, impervious areas, and all other assumptions made in the Preliminary Study are confirmed to be the same with the current design of the second plat. Based on the information provided, Olsson requests approval of this stormwater drainage report for the proposed development of The Retreat at Hook Farms Second Plat.

APPENDIX A

Hook Farms Second Plat Water Quality Basin Calculations

Multi-Hydrograph Plot

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 1

Water Quality Basin 2

=	SCS Runoff
=	3.973 cfs
=	12.03 hrs
=	11,476 cuft
	= = =

Hyd. No. 1

Water Quality Basin 2

= SCS Runoff
= 3.97 cfs
= 12.03 hrs
= 11,476 cuft



Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 2

Water Quality Basin 2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.133 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 17.83 hrs
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 11,432 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Elevation	= 942.61 ft
Reservoir name	= Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 6,508 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Thursday, 04 / 1 / 2021

Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 2

Water Quality Basin 2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.133 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 17.83 hrs
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 11,432 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Elevation	= 942.61 ft
Reservoir name	= Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 6,508 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Thursday, 04 / 1 / 2021

Multi-Hydrograph Plot

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 1

Water Quality Basin 2

= SCS Runoff
= 98.53 cfs
= 12.00 hrs
= 266,156 cuft

Hyd. No. 1

Water Quality Basin 2

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff
Peak discharge	= 98.53 cfs
Time to peak	= 12.00 hrs
Hyd. Volume	= 266,156 cuft



Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 2

Water Quality Basin 2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 97.16 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 12.03 hrs
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 266,112 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Elevation	= 944.16 ft
Reservoir name	= Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 23,508 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Thursday, 04 / 1 / 2021

Hydrograph Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2020

Hyd. No. 2

Water Quality Basin 2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 97.16 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 12.03 hrs
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 266,112 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 1 - Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Elevation	= 944.16 ft
Reservoir name	= Water Quality Basin 2	Max. Storage	= 23,508 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Thursday, 04 / 1 / 2021

APPENDIX B

Hook Farms Second Plat Storm Sewer Calculations





L C Se Se 2 19 05





			165			W	SW CROWN DRIVE	26137400 AM
	/	CU STA: 11- STA: 14+00 26.5 <u>' B.L.</u> /U.E. —	C.I. 3- RB INLET (5'X3' INSID +76.30 (STORM LINE D.21 (SW CROWN DRIV N: 984357.59 E: 2810308.19	-1 E) 3) E) 98 97	W	₹ 2+0	<u>502+/11,33</u>	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- SS SS		R/W	SS SS	ss	17" 55		
)AD 	15+00	 			16+00	14+48.53		<u></u>
M					M	M A		м — 15' ₩.Ε. — -
					C.I. 3-1 2-1	SIA: 114 /6.30 RIM= 958.72	C.I. 3-2 STA: 12+11.33 RIM= 958.89	
	EXISTING G	ROUND -		PROPOSED WATE 8" CLASS 52 D INV. = 954.	ER IP	SEE NOT		
		176.30 LF 15" H	PROPOSED GF			35.03 LF 15" @ 2.005		
Н		HGL					PRO 8" F INV.	POSED SANITARY VC = 942.05
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		STC	DRM LINE 3			NV. 001 = 302.00 (15" H VV. IN= 953.33 (15" H	IV. OUT= 954.03 (15"	
8 2			11+00		L	וׂב אוֹב 12	∠+00	12+5







_A19, A194(N N L C 94 94 ך ⊲ Stor - ଅ ets\ ______ 19 05 F: \2 May



Riprap Calculations								
		Pipe			Apron	Apron		
End Section	Q ₁₀₀	Diameter	Class*	D50*	Length	Depth	Area	
	(cfs)	(ft)		(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(SY)	
E.S. 6-1	25.96	2	3	10	10	2.00	10.4	
*Per Table 10.	1 HEC 14-FH	WA-Energy Di	ssipators Pg.	10-18				









10	Year Return F	requency				
	Captured		Inlet		Gutter	Pondina
Inlet ID	Flow	Bypass Flow	Efficiency	Gutter Depth	Spread	Depth
		- ,,	(Note 2)			
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
C.I. 1-2(L)	0.75	0.10	100.00%	0.12	5.88	
C.I. 1-2(R)	1.58	0.14	100.00%	0.15	7.63	
C.I. 1-2	2.93	1.40	100.00%			
C.I. 1-3(L)	0.15	0.00	100.00%	0.06	3.16	
C.I. 1-3(R)	3.73	0.00	100.00%	0.21	10.73	
C.I. 1-3	5.35	0.00	100.00%		•••	
F.I. 1-4	4.24	0.00	100.00%			0.19
F.I. 1-5	4.31	0.00	100.00%		•••	0.19
C.I. 1-6	1.35	0.67	66.75%	0.13	6.31	
C.I. 1-7	1.01	0.31	76.72%	0.11	5.36	
F.I. 1-8	2.96	0.00	100.00%			0.15
C.I. 2-1(L)	2.02	0.00	100.00%	0.16	8.05	
C.I. 2-1(R)	0.00	0.00				
C.I. 2-1	2.44	0.00	100.00%			
C.I. 2-2(L)	0.06	0.00	100.00%	0.04	2.03	
C.I. 2-2(R)	4.58	0.00	100.00%	0.22	11.03	
C.I. 2-2	6.33	0.00	100.00%		•••	
C.I. 2-3	0.58	0.02	96.23%	0.10	5.19	
C.I. 2-4	0.30	0.00	98.51%	0.08	3.93	
F.I. 2-5	2.89	0.00	100.00%		•••	0.14
C.I. 3-1	3.48	2.35	59.75%	0.23	11.61	
C.I. 3-2	1.08	0.15	87.91%	0.12	6.21	
C.I. 4-1	2.05	4.81	29.85%	0.20	9.80	
C.I. 4-2	0.90	0.26	77.83%	0.10	5.04	
F.I. 5-2	5.66	0.00	100.00%		•••	0.23
C.I. 6-2(L)	0.64	0.00	100.00%	0.11	5.52	
C.I. 6-2(R)	2.18	0.00	100.00%	0.17	8.68	
C.I. 6-2	3.41	0.00	100.00%		•••	
C.I. 6-3(L)	3.26	0.00	100.00%	0.19	9.73	
C.I. 6-3(R)	1.01	0.00	100.00%	0.13	6.56	
C.I. 6-3	7.08	0.00	100.00%		•••	
C.I. 6-4	3.04	1.27	70.56%	0.21	10.69	
F.I. 6-5	1.09	0.00	100.00%		•••	0.08

Both theoretical capacity and reduced capacity are shown.

2. Inlet efficiency shown in the tables is Captured Flow/Total Flow, denoting the actual percentage

100	Year Return F	requency				1
	Captured		Inlet		Gutter	Pondin
Inlet ID	Flow	Bypass Flow	Efficiency	Gutter Depth	Spread	Depth
			(Note 2)			
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
C.I. 1-2(L)	1.32	0.46	100.00%	0.15	7.26	
C.I. 1-2(R)	2.76	0.70	100.00%	0.19	9.42	
C.I. 1-2	5.13	3.62	100.00%		•••	
C.I. 1-3(L)	0.26	0.00	100.00%	0.08	3.90	
C.I. 1-3(R)	9.56	0.00	100.00%	0.31	15.27	
C.I. 1-3	12.39	0.00	100.00%			
F.I. 1-4	7.44	0.00	100.00%			0.27
F.I. 1-5	7.57	0.00	100.00%			0.27
C.I. 1-6	1.81	1.75	50.86%	0.16	7.79	
C.I. 1-7	1.46	0.84	63.36%	0.13	6.62	
F.I. 1-8	5.20	0.00	100.00%			0.21
C.I. 2-1(L)	4.12	0.00	100.00%	0.21	10.50	
C.I. 2-1(R)	0.00	0.00				
C.I. 2-1	4.84	0.00	100.00%			
C.I. 2-2(L)	0.14	0.00	100.00%	0.06	2.83	
C.I. 2-2(R)	8.35	0.00	100.00%	0.28	13.82	
C.I. 2-2	11.45	0.00	100.00%			
C.I. 2-3	0.99	0.08	92.61%	0.13	6.43	
C.I. 2-4	0.51	0.02	96.62%	0.10	4.86	
F.I. 2-5	5.07	0.00	100.00%		•••	0.21
C.I. 3-1	4.57	7.13	39.04%	0.30	15.08	
C.I. 3-2	1.87	0.56	77.13%	0.16	8.01	
C.I. 4-1	2.12	9.92	17.63%	0.24	12.10	
C.I. 4-2	1.32	0.72	64.85%	0.12	6.22	
F.I. 5-2	9.94	0.00	100.00%			0.33
C.I. 6-2(L)	1.12	0.00	100.00%	0.14	6.82	
C.I. 6-2(R)	3.82	0.00	100.00%	0.21	10.71	
C.I. 6-2	5.99	0.00	100.00%			
C.I. 6-3(L)	7.08	0.00	100.00%	0.26	13.02	
C.I. 6-3(R)	1.78	0.00	100.00%	0.16	8.10	
C.I. 6-3	13.79	0.00	100.00%		•••	
C.I. 6-4	4.27	3.59	54.31%	0.27	13.39	
F.I. 6-5	1.91	0.00	100.00%			0.11

Both theoretical capacity and reduced capacity are shown.

2. Inlet efficiency shown in the tables is Captured Flow/Total Flow, denoting the actual percentage

USER: bworthley	•
_A194059.dwg)
r Plans\C_TAB01.	•
t & Storm Sewer	
ets\GNCV\Stree	•
Final Plans\She	•
\\AutoCAD\	
40-Design	
9-4059-A	
1-4500\01	
\400	
:\2019	
11	

≥.

Drainage Area	Des
10	real
Inlat ID	וט
iniet iD	
C 1-2()	
C + 1-2(R)	
$C \mid 1-2(R)$	
C.I. 1-2	
C.I. 1-3(L)	
C.I. 1-3(R)	
C.I. 1-3(B)	
C.I. 1-3	
F.I. 1-4	
F.I. 1-5	
C.I. 1-6	
C.I. 1-7	
F.I. 1-8	
C.I. 2-1(L)	
C.I. 2-1(R)	
C.I. 2-1(B)	
C.I. 2-1	
C.I. 2-2(L)	
$C_{1} = 2 - 2(R)$	
C = 2(D)	
C 2-3	
C.I. 2-4	
F.I. 2-5	
C.I. 3-1	
C.I. 3-2	
C.I. 4-1	
C.I. 4-2	
F.I. 5-2	
C.I. 6-2(L)	
C.I. 6-2(R)	
C.I. 6-2(B)	
C.I. 6-2	
C.I. 6-3(L)	
C.I. 6-3(R)	
C.I. 6-3(B)	
C.I. 0-4	
1.1.0-5	

100
Inlet ID
C.I. 1-2(L)
C.I. 1-2(R)
C.I. 1-2(B)
C.I. 1-2
C.I. 1-3(L)
C.I. 1-3(R)
C.I. 1-3(B)
C.I. 1-3
F.I. 1-4
F.I. 1-5
1.1.1-8
C = 2 - 1(R)
$C \mid 2 \cdot 1(R)$
C.L. 2-1
C.I. 2-2(L)
C.I. 2-2(R)
C.I. 2-2(B)
C.I. 2-2
C.I. 2-3
C.I. 2-4
F.I. 2-5
C.I. 3-1
C.I. 3-2
C.I. 4-1
C.I. 4-2
F.I. 5-2
C.I. 6-2(L)
C.I. 6-2(R)
C.I. 6-2(B)
C = C = 2(R)
C.I. 6-4
F.I. 6-5

a Design Table					
rear Keturn Fi	requency			1	1
Drainage					
Area	С	Тс	i	К	Peak Flow
(ac)		(min)	(in/hr)		(cfs)
0.20	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.75
0.42	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.58
0.16	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.60
0.78	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.93
0.04	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.15
0.37	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.39
0.39	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.46
0.80	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	3.00
1.13	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	4.24
1.15	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	4.31
0.54	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.03
0.35	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.31
0.79	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.96
0.36	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.35
0.00	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.00
0.11	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.41
0.47	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.76
0.01	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.04
1.14	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	4.28
0.45	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.69
1.60	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	6.00
0.16	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.60
0.08	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.30
0.77	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.89
0.27	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.01
0.26	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.98
1.83	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	6.86
0.31	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.16
1.51	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	5.66
0.17	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.64
0.58	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.18
0.16	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	0.60
0.91	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	3.41
0.53	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.99
0.27	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.01
0.75	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	2.81
1.55	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	5.81
1.11	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	4.16
0.29	0.51	5.00	7.35	1.00	1.09

Storm Sewer Des	Storm Sewer Design Calculation Table												
10	Year Return Freq	uency											
Upstream	Downstream		Upstream	Downstream			Manning's					Upstream	Upstream
Structure	Structure	Length	Invert	Invert	Slope	Diameter	n	Total Flow	Velocity	Capacity	Flow Depth	Struct. HGL	Top Elev.
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(in)		(cfs)	(ft/s)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
C.I. 1-2	E.S. 1-1	166.93	945.50	942.00	2.10	30	0.012	47.86	12.27	64.33	2.27	947.77	956.26
C.I. 1-3	C.I. 1-2	34.00	946.44	946.00	1.29	30	0.012	44.93	10.69	50.55	2.22	948.66	956.26
F.I. 1-4	C.I. 1-3	55.49	948.55	946.94	2.90	24	0.012	27.04	9.22	41.74	1.81	950.36	956.25
F.I. 1-5	F.I. 1-4	256.31	954.18	949.05	2.00	24	0.012	18.24	7.71	34.66	1.54	955.72	961.63
C.I. 1-6	F.I. 1-5	148.04	959.22	954.93	2.90	18	0.012	10.98	9.09	19.37	1.27	960.49	966.98
C.I. 1-7	C.I. 1-6	34.01	960.40	959.72	2.00	18	0.012	9.63	7.94	16.09	1.20	961.60	966.93
F.I. 1-8	C.I. 1-7	143.00	962.33	960.90	1.00	15	0.012	2.96	4.23	7.00	0.69	963.02	967.73
C.I. 2-1	C.I. 1-3	110.35	947.82	946.94	0.80	24	0.012	12.54	5.15	21.88	1.27	949.09	957.16
C.I. 2-2	C.I. 2-1	35.70	949.11	948.32	2.21	18	0.012	10.10	8.27	16.92	1.22	950.33	957.15
C.I. 2-3	C.I. 2-2	54.05	950.04	949.61	0.80	15	0.012	3.77	4.89	6.24	0.78	950.82	958.52
C.I. 2-4	C.I. 2-3	126.26	951.55	950.54	0.80	15	0.012	3.19	4.74	6.26	0.72	952.27	960.42
F.I. 2-5	C.I. 2-4	120.09	953.01	952.05	0.80	15	0.012	2.89	4.61	6.25	0.68	953.69	958.32
C.I. 3-1	F.I. 1-4	176.30	952.83	949.30	2.00	15	0.012	4.56	4.58	9.90	0.86	953.69	958.72
C.I. 3-2	C.I. 3-1	35.03	954.03	953.33	2.00	15	0.012	1.08	3.36	9.89	0.41	954.44	958.89
C.I. 4-1	F.I. 1-5	154.77	960.35	954.93	3.50	15	0.012	2.95	3.94	13.09	0.69	961.04	966.97
C.I. 4-2	C.I. 4-1	41.55	962.10	960.85	3.01	15	0.012	0.90	4.36	12.13	0.37	962.47	968.41
M.H. 5-1	C.I. 1-7	183.70	970.90	960.90	5.45	15	0.012	5.66	6.81	16.32	0.96	971.87	977.66
F.I. 5-2	M.H. 5-1	82.02	972.22	971.40	1.00	15	0.012	5.66	5.96	6.99	0.96	973.18	979.65
C.I. 6-2	E.S. 6-1	185.17	943.68	940.50	1.72	18	0.012	14.62	9.07	14.91	1.40	945.08	951.68
C.I. 6-3	C.I. 6-2	34.00	944.52	944.18	1.00	18	0.012	11.21	7.16	11.38	1.28	945.80	951.68
C.I. 6-4	C.I. 6-3	165.15	947.30	945.02	1.38	15	0.012	4.13	4.98	8.22	0.82	948.12	953.84
F.I. 6-5	C.I. 6-4	178.97	954.96	947.80	4.00	15	0.012	1.09	3.73	13.99	0.41	955.37	962.34

Drainage Area	a Design Table					
100	Year Return F	requency				
	Drainage					
Inlet ID	Area	с	Тс	i	к	Peak Flow
	(ac)		(min)	(in/hr)		(cfs)
C.I. 1-2(L)	0.20	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.32
C.I. 1-2(R)	0.42	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.76
C.I. 1-2(B)	0.16	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.05
C.I. 1-2	0.78	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	5.13
C.I. 1-3(L)	0.04	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	0.26
C.I. 1-3(R)	0.37	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.43
C.I. 1-3(B)	0.39	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.57
C.I. 1-3	0.80	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	5.26
F.I. 1-4	1.13	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	7.44
F.I. 1-5	1.15	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	7.57
C.I. 1-6	0.54	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	3.55
C.I. 1-7	0.35	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.30
F.I. 1-8	0.79	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	5.20
C.I. 2-1(L)	0.36	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.37
C.I. 2-1(R)	0.00	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	0.00
C.I. 2-1(B)	0.11	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	0.72
C.I. 2-1	0.47	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	3.09
C.I. 2-2(L)	0.01	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	0.07
C.I. 2-2(R)	1.14	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	7.50
C.I. 2-2(B)	0.45	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.96
C.I. 2-2	1.60	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	10.53
C.I. 2-3	0.16	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.05
C.I. 2-4	0.08	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	0.53
F.I. 2-5	0.77	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	5.07
C.I. 3-1	0.27	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.78
C.I. 3-2	0.26	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.71
C.I. 4-1	1.83	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	12.04
C.I. 4-2	0.31	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	2.04
F.I. 5-2	1.51	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	9.94
C.I. 6-2(L)	0.17	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.12
C.I. 6-2(R)	0.58	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	3.82
C.I. 6-2(B)	0.16	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.05
C.I. 6-2	0.91	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	5.99
C.I. 6-3(L)	0.53	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	3.49
C.I. 6-3(R)	0.27	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.78
C.I. 6-3(B)	0.75	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	4.94
C.I. 6-3	1.55	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	10.20
C.I. 6-4	1.11	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	7.30
F.I. 6-5	0.29	0.51	5.00	10.32	1.25	1.91

Storm Sewer Design Calculation Table

100	Year Return Freq	uency											
Upstream	Downstream		Upstream	Downstream			Manning's					Upstream	Upstream
Structure	Structure	Length	Invert	Invert	Slope	Diameter	n	Total Flow	Velocity	Capacity	Flow Depth	Struct. HGL	Top Elev.
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(%)	(in)		(cfs)	(ft/s)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
C.I. 1-2	E.S. 1-1	166.93	945.50	942.00	2.10	30	0.012	83.68	17.07	64.33	2.50	950.16	956.26
C.I. 1-3	C.I. 1-2	34.00	946.44	946.00	1.29	30	0.012	78.55	16.00	50.54	2.50	952.58	956.26
F.I. 1-4	C.I. 1-3	55.49	948.55	946.94	2.90	24	0.012	43.30	13.78	41.74	2.00	955.91	956.25
F.I. 1-5	F.I. 1-4	256.31	954.18	949.05	2.00	24	0.012	29.42	9.37	34.66	2.00	960.79	961.63
C.I. 1-6	F.I. 1-5	148.04	959.22	954.93	2.90	18	0.012	18.41	10.42	19.37	1.50	965.21	966.98
C.I. 1-7	C.I. 1-6	34.01	960.40	959.72	2.00	18	0.012	16.60	9.39	16.09	1.50	966.44	966.93
F.I. 1-8	C.I. 1-7	143.00	962.33	960.90	1.00	15	0.012	5.20	4.24	7.00	1.25	967.78	967.73
C.I. 2-1	C.I. 1-3	110.35	947.82	946.94	0.80	24	0.012	22.86	7.28	21.88	2.00	955.13	957.16
C.I. 2-2	C.I. 2-1	35.70	949.11	948.32	2.21	18	0.012	18.02	10.20	16.92	1.50	956.28	957.15
C.I. 2-3	C.I. 2-2	54.05	950.04	949.61	0.80	15	0.012	6.57	5.35	6.24	1.25	957.24	958.52
C.I. 2-4	C.I. 2-3	126.26	951.55	950.54	0.80	15	0.012	5.58	4.55	6.26	1.25	958.22	960.42
F.I. 2-5	C.I. 2-4	120.09	953.01	952.05	0.80	15	0.012	5.07	4.13	6.25	1.25	958.98	958.32
C.I. 3-1	F.I. 1-4	176.30	952.83	949.30	2.00	15	0.012	6.44	5.25	9.90	1.25	958.58	958.72
C.I. 3-2	C.I. 3-1	35.03	954.03	953.33	2.00	15	0.012	1.87	1.52	9.89	1.25	958.74	958.89
C.I. 4-1	F.I. 1-5	154.77	960.35	954.93	3.50	15	0.012	3.44	2.80	13.09	1.25	961.70	966.97
C.I. 4-2	C.I. 4-1	41.55	962.10	960.85	3.01	15	0.012	1.32	2.34	12.13	0.45	962.55	968.41
M.H. 5-1	C.I. 1-7	183.70	970.90	960.90	5.45	15	0.012	9.94	8.19	16.32	1.18	972.09	977.66
F.I. 5-2	M.H. 5-1	82.02	972.22	971.40	1.00	15	0.012	9.94	8.10	6.99	1.25	974.31	979.65
C.I. 6-2	E.S. 6-1	185.17	943.68	940.50	1.72	18	0.012	25.96	14.69	14.91	1.50	951.93	951.68
C.I. 6-3	C.I. 6-2	34.00	944.52	944.18	1.00	18	0.012	19.97	11.30	11.37	1.50	953.98	951.68
C.I. 6-4	C.I. 6-3	165.15	947.30	945.02	1.38	15	0.012	6.18	5.04	8.22	1.25	956.06	953.84
F.I. 6-5	C.I. 6-4	178.97	954.96	947.80	4.00	15	0.012	1.91	1.56	13.99	1.25	956.36	962.34

	うつつ	Olsson - Civil Engineering	Missouri Certificate of Authority #001592	1301 Burlington Street TEL 816.361.1177	North Kansas City, MO 64116 FAX 816.361.1888 www.olsson.com
BY					
REV. DATE REVISIONS DESCRIPTION					REVISIONS
					2021
DRAINAGE TABLES STREET & STORM SEWER PLANS	THE RETREAT AT HOOK FARMS	SECOND PLAT			LEE'S SUMMIT, MO
drawn by: checked by designed by QA/QC by: project no.: date:		B.N B.N 04	1.W B. 1.W \19- 01-	/A M.\ /A J.E -20	A. N. A. S. 59 21

C131

APPENDIX C

Hook Farms Preliminary Stormwater Drainage Study

HOOK FARMS PRELIMINARY STORMWATER DRAINAGE STUDY

Prepared for:

Hunt Midwest Real Estate Development, Inc. 8300 NE Underground Drive Kansas City, Missouri 64161



March 2019 Olsson Project No. 018-1853



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Gene	eral Information	.1
1	.1	FEMA Floodplain Classification	2
1	.2	Soil Classifications	2
2.0	Meth	odology	.2
3.0	Exist	ing Conditions	.3
4.0	Prop	osed Conditions	.6
4	.1	Detention Analysis	7
4	.2	Free Release Analysis	8
4	.3	Extended Detention	9
4	.4	Impacts to Stream Buffer1	0
5.0	Sum	mary1	0
6.0	Cond	clusions and Recommendations1	0

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. Precipitation Depths.3Table 3. Curve Numbers.4Table 4. Existing Conditions Subarea Data.5Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.5Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.5Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 1. Soil Classifications.	2
Table 3. Curve Numbers.4Table 4. Existing Conditions Subarea Data.5Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.5Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.5Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 2. Precipitation Depths.	3
Table 4. Existing Conditions Subarea Data.5Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.5Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.5Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 3. Curve Numbers.	4
Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.5Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.5Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 4. Existing Conditions Subarea Data	5
Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.5Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.	5
Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.6Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.	5
Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.7Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.	. 6
Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.7Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data	7
Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.	7
Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.8Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.	8
Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.8Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.9	Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.	8
Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis 9	Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.	8
	Table 13. Free Release Analysis vs. Detention Analysis.	. 9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Location Ma	ρ	1
-----------------------	---	---

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Site Maps

- Appendix B: Existing Conditions TR-55 Inputs and Results
- Appendix C: Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Model Inputs and Results
- Appendix D: Proposed Conditions TR-55 Inputs and Results
- Appendix E: Detention Analysis HEC-HMS Model Inputs & Results
- Appendix F: Free Release Analysis HEC-HMS Model Inputs & Results
- Appendix G: Waiver Request
- Appendix H: Extended Detention Calculations

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

Hook Farms is a proposed 258-lot single-family residential development on approximately 160 acres. The project is located at the northwest corner of Hook Road and Pryor Road, and is adjacent to the Eagle Creek and Monarch View developments. The project lies in the southeast corner of Section 23, Township 47 North, Range 32 West, Lee's Summit, Jackson County, Missouri.

Stormwater from Hook Farms is conveyed into the Mouse Creek Watershed primarily via Mouse Creek (which flows east to west through the property), Mouse Creek Tributary M5 (which flows south to north through the property), and Mouse Creek Tributary M4 (which flows through the northwest corner of the property).



Figure 1. Location Map

1.1 FEMA Floodplain Classification

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Boundary and Floodway Map Community Panel Number 29095C0531G classifies portions of the Hook Farms property as "Zone AE" and portions as unshaded "Zone X" area. See Exhibit 1 in Appendix A for the location of the site in relation to FEMA flood boundaries.

1.2 Soil Classifications

Soil maps published by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey were used to categorize soils on the Hook Farms property (see Table 1). Exhibit 2 in Appendix A shows a map of soils on the property.

Symbol	Name	Slopes	HSG
10000	Arisburg silt loam	1-5%	С
10024	Greenton-Urban land complex	5-9%	D
10082	Arisburg-Urban land complex	1-5%	С
10116	Sampsel silty clay loam	2-5%	C / D
10117	Sampsel silty clay loam	5-9%	C / D
10128	Sharpsburg-Urban land complex	2-5%	D
10180	Udarents-Urban land-Sampsel complex	2-5%	С
10181	Udarents-Urban land-Sampsel complex	5-9%	С
36083	Kennebec silt loam	1-4%	С

Table 1. Soil Classifications.

*HSG = Hydrologic Soil Group

2.0 METHODOLOGY

This drainage study has been prepared to evaluate the hydrologic impact generated by development of Hook Farms. The base data for the models has been obtained from available online maps and aerial imagery. Stormwater quantity management is based upon methods and objectives defined in the "Kansas City Metropolitan Chapter American Public Works Association (KC-APWA) Section 5600 Storm Drainage Systems & Facilities" (2011).

The following methods were used in this study to model existing and proposed conditions for stormwater runoff:

United States Army Corps of Engineers Hydraulic Engineering Center Hydraulic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) Version 4.3

- Loss Method: SCS Curve Number
- Transform Method: SCS Unit Hydrograph

- 2-year, 10-year and 100-year Return Frequency Storms
- 24-Hour SCS Type II Rainfall Distribution

United States Department of Agriculture WinTR-55 Small Watershed Hydrology

 SCS TR-55 methods for determination of Time of Concentration and Travel Time. Where specific data pertaining to channel geometry is not available, "Length & Velocity" estimates for channel flow Travel Time is used per Section 5600, KC-APWA Standard Specifications and Design Criteria.

Stormwater runoff models were created for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year design storm events. The precipitation depths used in the analysis have been interpolated from the "Technical Paper No. 40 Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States" (TP-40) isopluvial maps (May 1961). Table 2 summarizes the rainfall depths used in this analysis:

 Table 2. Precipitation Depths.

Return Period (year)	24-Hour Precipitation Depth (inches)		
2	3.60		
10	5.34		
100	7.90		

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

To quantify the effects of development of this project, the following areas and points of interest have been used for existing and proposed conditions analysis. See Exhibit 3 in Appendix A, Existing Conditions Drainage Area Map.

Watershed A discharges to Mouse Creek. The total area modeled within this watershed is approximately 1,808 acres, of which 8.4 percent is within the Hook Farms overall property boundary and therefore considered "on-site."

The majority of Watershed A is off-site and located upstream of the property. **Point 1** is a point approximately 1,460 feet downstream of the property boundary, where Mouse Creek converges with Mouse Creek Tributary M4 and includes all on-site and off-site drainage areas. **Point 2** is a point approximately 2,210 feet upstream of Point 1 and is a convergence point where discharge from subareas A6, A5, and A4 via Mouse Creek and discharge from subareas A3 and A2 via Mouse Creek Tributary M5 converge.

Runoff from **Subarea A5** enters the property from the east via Mouse Creek through an existing box culvert located under Southwest Pryor Road. Runoff from **Subarea A6** enters the property from the southeast via an unnamed tributary and runs through an existing box culvert located under Southwest Hook Road and Southwest Pryor Road. Runoff from **Subarea A3** enters the property from the south via Mouse Creek Tributary M5 that flows under an existing bridge at Southwest Hook Road. The entirety of these three subareas are considered off-site and remain

unchanged in the proposed conditions analysis. Drainage area, curve numbers, and time of concentration for Watershed A can be seen in Table 4.

Watershed B discharges to the southwest via Mouse Creek Tributary M4. The total area modeled within this watershed is approximately 368 acres, about 2 percent of which is within the Hook Farms overall property boundary and considered "on-site." Where development occurs along the ridgeline between Watershed B and Watershed A, approximately 1.5 acres is expected to be redirected to the south from Watershed B to Watershed A. Drainage area, curve numbers and time of concentration for Watershed B can be seen in Table 4.

To provide a direct comparison between the existing and proposed conditions models, the points of interest have been kept consistent throughout the analysis.

Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6 summarize the results of the existing conditions analysis. The proposed conditions data will be compared to these results in Section 4 of this report. Refer to Appendix B for existing conditions TR-55 results. Refer to Appendix C for output and a schematic of the existing conditions HEC-HMS model.

Curve numbers were determined based on the soil classifications outlined in Section 1.2 and existing land use. Land use was determined from Geographic Information System (GIS) information provided by the City and updated per recent aerial imagery. Curve numbers were assumed as shown in Table 3.

Land Use	HSG	CN
Single-Family Residential	С	83
Multifamily Residential	С	90
Public / Semi-Public Use	С	86
Road / Right-of-Way	С	90
Undeveloped	С	74
Agricultural	С	79
Commercial	С	94
Single-Family Residential	D	87
Multifamily Residential	D	92
Public / Semi-Public Use	D	89
Road / Right-of-Way	D	92
Undeveloped	D	80
Agricultural	D	84
Commercial	D	95

Table 3. Curve Numbers.

*HSG = Hydrologic Soil Group, *CN = Curve Number

Subarea	Onsite Area (acres)	Offsite Area (acres)	Total Area (acres)	T _c (hour)	Weighted CN
A1	31.01	55.18	86.19	0.346	82
A2	35.13	3.68	38.81	0.270	80
A3	0.00	592.18	592.18	0.765	80
A4	86.02	28.20	114.22	0.399	80
A5	0.00	857.89	857.89	0.781	80
A6	0.00	118.35	118.35	0.446	79
Total A	152.16	1,655.48	1,807.64		
B1	8.58	358.99	367.57	0.623	81
Total B	8.58	358.99	367.57		

Table 4. Existing Conditions Subarea Data.

*Tc = Time of Concentration, *CN = Curve Number

Table 5. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.

Point of Interest	Q ₂ (cfs)	Q ₁₀ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
Point 1	2,222	4,259	7,229
Point 2	1,810	3,474	5,878

*Q = Flow Rate, *cfs = cubic feet per second

Table 6. Existing Conditions Point of Interest Onsite Area.

Point of Interest	Total Area (acres)	Onsite Area (acres)	Percent Onsite
Point 1	2,175.21	160.74	7.4%
Point 2	1,721.45	121.15	7.0%

Mouse Creek and Mouse Creek Tributary M5 fall within the requirements of KC-APWA Section 5605.3 Stream Preservation and Buffers Zones. This approach to designating the stream buffer width includes defining the Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHM) and defining a width of preservation zone from the OHM on either side of the channel. The OHM for each channel was roughly defined using GIS contours and aerial data.

Mouse Creek and Mouse Creek Tributary M5 flow through the site and are located within Watershed A. Mouse Creek flows into the site on the eastern property boundary with approximately 858 acres of contributing area. Mouse Creek Tributary M5 enters the site on the southern property boundary with approximately 592 acres of contributing area. The confluence of Mouse Creek and Mouse Creek Tributary M5 is located on-site at Point 2, with approximately

1,721 acres of total contributing area. Per KC-APWA Table 5605-1, the stream buffer width for both channels is defined as 100 feet measured outwards from the OHM in each direction.

Mouse Creek Tributary M4 is located within Watershed B. The channel flows from northeast to southwest through the northwest corner of the site before the confluence with Mouse Creek at Point 1 and has approximately 368 acres of contributing area. Per KC-APWA Table 5605-1, the stream buffer width is defined as 100 feet measured outwards from the OHM in each direction.

4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed conditions section of analysis assumes completion of the entire Hook Farms development. A shift of ridgelines within the property boundary because of anticipated grading activities shifts the drainage boundaries between subareas A1, A2, A4, and B1; in addition, subarea A4 has been divided into two subareas (A4-1 and A4-2) and subarea A1 has been divided into three subareas (A1-1, A1-2, and A1-3). The overall drainage area contributing to Point 1 remains 2,175 acres, of which 161 acres is considered on-site. The modeled subareas A3, A5, and A6, and the points of interest remain the same as the existing conditions model. See Exhibit 4 in Appendix A, Proposed Conditions Drainage Area Map. Table 7 contains a summary of the subarea data for proposed conditions. Runoff curve numbers, times of concentration, routings, and tributary regions that are outside the property boundary remain the same as in Section 3. Refer to Appendix D for proposed conditions TR-55 results.

Subarea	Onsite Area (acres)	Offsite Area (acres)	Total Area (acres)	T _c (hour)	Weighted CN
A1-1	0.00	29.22	29.22	0.173	84
A1-2	47.88	0.47	48.35	0.280	84
A1-3	0.00	27.98	27.98	0.197	89
A2	33.22	1.21	34.43	0.241	83
A3	0.00	592.18	592.18	0.765	80
A4-1	71.19	6.11	77.30	0.343	84
A4-2	0.00	22.09	22.09	0.198	85
A5	0.00	857.89	857.89	0.781	80
A6	0.00	118.35	118.35	0.446	79
Total A	152.29	1,655.50	1,807.79		
B1	8.45	358.97	367.42	0.623	81
Total B	8.45	358.97	367.42		

Table 7. Proposed Conditions Subarea Data.

*Tc = Time of Concentration, *CN = Curve Number

4.1 Detention Analysis

The existing conditions HEC-HMS model was updated to reflect the changes outlined in Section 4.0 to analyze the effects of detention for the developed site. Conceptual basins were input into the model for subareas A1-2, A2 and A4-1 and sized based on the extreme flood event control release rates outlined in APWA Section 5608.4 (100-year storm peak rate less than or equal to 3.0 cfs per site acre, 10-year storm peak rate less than or equal to 2.0 cfs per site acre). All three conceptual basins meet the allowable release rates for the 10-year and 100-year events aside from Basin A1-2, which does not meet the allowable release rate for the 10-year event by 2 cfs. Subarea B1 was not analyzed for detention due to the minimal amount of onsite area and site restrictions. Possible locations for the conceptual basins can be seen in Exhibit 5 of Appendix A. Tables 8 and 9 summarize the results of the detention analysis. Refer to Appendix E for output and a schematic of the detention analysis HEC-HMS model.

Return Period (year)	Peak Q In (cfs)	Peak Q Out (cfs)	Allowable Q (cfs)	T _p In (hour)	T _p Out (hour)	Peak Storage (acre-feet)
		Bas	sin A1-2			
2	109	62	N / A	12.00	12.25	2.2
10	192	99	97	12.00	12.25	4.0
100	309	139	145	12.00	12.25	6.7
		Ва	asin A2	•		
2	77	44	N / A	11.92	12.17	1.4
10	141	69	69	11.92	12.25	2.7
100	231	97	103	11.92	12.25	4.7
Basin A4-1						
2	162	94	N / A	12.00	12.25	3.5
10	290	152	155	12.00	12.33	6.2
100	470	215	232	12.00	12.33	10.6

 Table 8. Detention Analysis Flow and Volume Data.

*Q = Flow, *cfs = cubic feet per second, *T_p = Time of Peak

Table 9. Detention Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.

Point of Interest	Q ₂ (cfs)	T _{p2} (hour)	Q ₁₀ (cfs)	T _{p10} (hour)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	T _{p100} (hour)
Point 1	2,250	12.42	4,277	12.42	7,205	12.42
Point 2	1,839	12.42	3,508	12.42	5,892	12.42

*Q = Flow, *cfs = cubic feet per second, *T_p = Time of Peak

Table 10 compares the results of the detention analysis to the existing conditions analysis from Section 3, at the points of interest. Negative values indicate a reduction in peak flow rate, while positive values indicate an increase. Flow rates for the 100-year event are lower for the detention analysis than for existing conditions at Point 1, and higher at Point 2.

Point of Interest	ΔQ₂ (cfs)	ΔQ₂ %	∆Q ₁₀ (cfs)	ΔQ ₁₀ %	∆Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	∆Q ₁₀₀ %
Point 1	28	1.26	18	0.42	-24	-0.29
Point 2	29	1.60	34	0.98	14	0.24

Table 10. Detention Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.

 $*\Delta Q$ = Change in Flow Rate, *cfs = cubic feet per second

4.2 Free Release Analysis

Peak flow rates to the points of interest were also analyzed for free release conditions or without detention basins onsite. Runoff curve numbers, times of concentration, routings, and tributary regions remain the same as in the detention analysis. Table 11 summarizes the results of the free release analysis. Refer to Appendix F for output and a schematic of the free release analysis HEC-HMS model.

Table 11. Free Release Analysis Point of Interest Peak Flow Rates.

Point of Interest	Q ₂ (cfs)	Q ₁₀ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
Point 1	2,193	4,188	7,098
Point 2	1,790	3,428	5,793

*Q = Flow Rate, *cfs = cubic feet per second

Table 12 compares the results of the free release analysis to the existing conditions from Section 3, at the points of interest. Table 13 compares the results of the detention analysis to the existing conditions from Section 4.1, at the points of interest. Negative values indicate a reduction in peak flow rate, while positive values indicate an increase.

Table 12. Free Release Analysis vs. Existing Conditions.

Point of Interest	ΔQ₂ (cfs)	ΔQ₂ %	∆Q ₁₀ (cfs)	ΔQ ₁₀ %	∆Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	ΔQ ₁₀₀ %
Point 1	-29	-1.31	-71	-1.67	-131	-1.81
Point 2	-20	-1.10	-46	-1.32	-85	-1.45

 $^{*}\Delta Q$ = Change in Flow Rate, $^{*}cfs$ = cubic feet per second

Point of Interest	ΔQ₂ (cfs)	ΔQ2 %	ΔQ₁₀ (cfs)	ΔQ ₁₀ %	∆Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	ΔQ ₁₀₀ %
Point 1	-57	-2.60	-89	-2.13	-107	-1.51
Point 2	-49	-2.74	-80	-2.33	-99	-1.71

Table 13	. Free Release	Analysis v	s. Detention	Analysis.
----------	----------------	------------	--------------	-----------

 $*\Delta Q$ = Change in Flow Rate, *cfs = cubic feet per second

The proposed development results in increased curve numbers and decreased times of concentration for subareas A1-2, A2 and A4-1. While this causes an increase in peak discharges for these subareas, it also changes the timing of the peak discharges. In proposed conditions peak discharges from subareas A1-2, A2, and A4-1 occur prior to the peak discharges within Mouse Creek, causing an overall decrease in peak discharges to Point 1 and Point 2 compared to existing conditions.

Construction of detention basins would delay the timing of the peak discharges from the site to closer coincide with peak discharges in Mouse Creek; thus, causing an increase in peak discharges to Point 1 and Point 2.

A waiver is requested for the peak attenuation of stormwater discharge for the proposed development, which has been provided in Appendix G. The free release peak discharges at the comparison points will be reduced to less than existing conditions and less than in the detention analysis. This waiver is also requested due to several challenges in relation to detention design, described below.

- The proposed site is very flat, making it difficult to construct basins to the necessary depth.
- Two tributaries flow through the project site, which results in stormwater generally sheet flowing directly to the tributary, instead of channelizing to create points of discharge where detention can be effective.
- Detention within the channel is not advisable.
- The channel is protected by a stream setback zone and should not be disturbed unless necessary.
- Construction of a dam would provide a barrier for aquatic organism passage and would restrict the travel of aquatic organisms in Mouse Creek and its tributaries.
- Existing sanitary sewer lines follow along both channels and would be located underneath any new detention facility in the channel.

4.3 Extended Detention

In addition to mitigation of peak flow rates, KC-APWA Section 5608.4 also requires 40 hour extended detention of runoff from the local 90% mean annual event (1.37"/24-hour rainfall). Five basins have been graded, locations of which can be seen in Exhibit 6 of Appendix A. Basin sizing and calculations have been provided in Appendix H.

4.4 Impacts to Stream Buffer

Much of the defined stream buffer is not impacted by development. However, a few encroachments have been made to accommodate the proposed layout.

Watershed A

Impacts to the stream buffer within Watershed A will occur toward the middle of the site on the west side. The proximity of the lots to the stream will require an impact to the stream buffer. An asphalt trail will also be constructed as part of the development and will encroach on the stream buffer toward the middle of the site on the east side. The trail is planned just south of a number of lots that are within proximity to the stream. A minimum of 25-foot width of the stream buffer will remain undisturbed, and an equal or greater amount of native vegetation adjacent to the stream buffer will be designated as preserved stream buffer to mitigate for the impacts. Small encroachments made for the installation of storm and sanitary sewers will be replanted with native grasses to restore the vegetation as much as possible.

Watershed B

Impacts to the stream buffer within Watershed B will occur at the northwest corner of the site. The proximity of the lots to the stream will require an impact to the stream buffer. A minimum of 25-foot width of the stream buffer will remain undisturbed, and an equal or greater amount of native vegetation adjacent to the stream buffer will be designated as preserved stream buffer to mitigate for the impacts. Small encroachments made for the installation of storm and sanitary sewers will be replanted with native grasses to restore the vegetation as much as possible.

5.0 SUMMARY

This stormwater drainage study was prepared to evaluate the hydrologic impact generated by the development of Hook Farms and to provide recommendations for a comprehensive stormwater management plan. The project is a 258-lot single family residential development on approximately 160 acres.

A decrease in peak flow rates downstream of the project site is a result of the proposed development. Detention of peak flow rates is not recommended for the proposed development. However, water quality basins will be constructed to provide extended detention of runoff for the local 90% mean annual event.

Stream buffers will be designated based on watershed size, per KC-APWA standards. Where encroachments are necessary, the impacts will be mitigated with preservation of adjacent native vegetation elsewhere on the site, and within the same watershed.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This proposed stormwater management plan was designed to achieve compliance with current design criteria in effect for the City of Lee's Summit, Missouri; however, a waiver is requested for
the peak attenuation of stormwater discharge for the proposed development. A final macro and first plat micro stormwater drainage study will be required with the submittal of the first plat of this development.

The results of the analysis demonstrate that the future stormwater management plan for the project achieves compliance with design criteria or the requested waiver. We therefore request approval of this Hook Farms Preliminary Stormwater Drainage Study. This approval is conditional and should be substantiated with each future plat of Hook Farms.















HOOK FARMS CONCEPTUAL DETENTION BASINS EXHIBIT 5







HOOK FARMS WATER QUALITY BASINS EXHIBIT 5

<u>LEGEND</u>

CD





APPENDIX B

Existing Conditions TR-55 Inputs and Results

Subarea A1

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0250	Grass -Range Short (0.15)		0.143
Shallow Concentrated	145	0.0700	Unpaved		0.009
Channel	4,887			7.000	0.194
Total	5,132			4.1201	0.346

Subarea A2

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0200	Grass -Range Short (0.15)		0.156
Shallow Concentrated	554	0.0380	Unpaved		0.049
Channel	1,650			7.000	0.065
Total	2,304			2.3704	0.270

Subarea A3

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0100	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.300
Shallow Concentrated	861	0.0140	Unpaved		0.125
Channel	8,567			7.000	0.340
Total	9,528			3.4597	0.765

Subarea A4

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0230	Grass -Range Short (0.15)		0.148
Shallow Concentrated	150	0.0400	Unpaved		0.013
Channel	5,987			7.000	0.238
Total	6,237			4.3421	0.399

Subarea A5

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0060	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.368
Shallow Concentrated	266	0.0170	Unpaved		0.035
Channel	9,537			7.000	0.378
Total	9,903			3.5222	0.781

Subarea A6

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0200	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.227
Shallow Concentrated	483	0.0120	Unpaved		0.076
Channel	3,593			7.000	0.143
Total	4,176			2.6009	0.446

Subarea B1

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0190	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.232
Shallow Concentrated	570	0.0190	Unpaved		0.071
Channel	8,061			7.000	0.320
Total	8,731			3.8929	0.623

APPENDIX C

Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Model Inputs and Results

Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Model Schematic



Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Inputs

Sub-Basin Inputs

	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	B1
Area (MI ²)	0.1347	0.0606	0.9253	0.1785	1.3405	0.1849	0.5743
Downstream	Point 1	Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2	A5 to Point 3	A6 to Point 3	Point 1
Loss Method		SCS Curve Number					
Transform Method			SCS	Unit Hydrog	jraph		
Curve Number	82	80	80	80	80	79	81
Graph Type	Standard (PRF 484)						
Lag Time (MIN)	12.5	9.7	27.5	14.4	28.1	16.1	22.4

Reach Inputs

	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1		
Downstream	Point 3	Point 3	Point 2	Point 2	Point 1		
Time Step Method		Automatic Fixed Interval					
Length (FT)	1,137	1,141	1,861	1,465	2,225		
Slope (FT/FT)	0.0126	0.0076	0.0048	0.0082	0.0033		
Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048		
Shape	Eight Point						
Left Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048		
Right Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048		
Cross Section	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1		

Junction Inputs

	Point 3	Point 2	Point 1
Downstream	Point 3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1	None

Meteorological Models

	SCS 2-Year	SCS 10-Year	SCS 100-Year			
Precipitation	SCS Storm					
Unit System	U.S. Customary					
Replace Missing	Abort Compute					
Method	Туре 2					
Depth (IN)	3.6	5.4	7.9			

Control Specifications

	24-Hour Storm
Start Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	01Jan2018
Start Time (HH:mm)	00:00
End Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	02Jan2018
End Time (HH:mm)	01:00
Time Interval	5 Minutes

	A6 to Point 3
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
144.05	953.42
170.24	947.63
180.07	949.00
193.16	948.86
212.81	949.89
225.90	951.69
242.27	950.88
261.94	951.410

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 2

	A5 to Point 3
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
91.64	949.55
94.36	949.46
97.33	948.76
110.31	940.97
116.80	940.37
155.73	949.20
171.95	949.36
188.18	951.24

	Point 3 to Point 2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
52.37	943.93
104.74	943.13
130.93	938.58
150.56	932.79
176.75	938.61
193.12	942.31
232.39	943.17
255.31	943.03

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 4

	A3 to Point 2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
25.81	944.02
70.99	941.56
106.49	943.59
122.62	938.98
141.98	937.01
161.34	943.78
190.27	942.52
280.07	943.76

	Point 2 to Point 1
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
68.18	938.05
97.39	933.21
100.64	933.21
136.35	936.26
146.09	935.85
152.58	936.23
162.32	935.96
168.82	936.86

Existing Conditions HEC-HMS Results

Results – Point 1

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year
Peak Discharge (CFS)	2,222.2	4,259.0	7,228.5
Volume (IN)	1.73	3.26	5.55
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25

Results – Point 2

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year
Peak Discharge (CFS)	1,810.1	3,474.2	5,878.4
Volume (IN)	1.71	3.23	5.52
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25

APPENDIX D

Proposed Conditions TR-55 Inputs and Results

Subarea A1-1

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0800	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.090
Shallow Concentrated	176	0.0247	Unpaved		0.019
Channel	2,310			10.000	0.064
Total	2,586			4.1522	0.173

Subarea A1-2

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0182	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.162
Shallow Concentrated	566	0.0285	Unpaved		0.058
Channel	2,166			10.000	0.060
Total	2,832			2.8095	0.280

Subarea A1-3

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0274	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.138
Shallow Concentrated	146	0.0690	Unpaved		0.010
Channel	1,753			10.000	0.049
Total	1,753			2.8187	0.197

Subarea A2

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0225	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.149
Shallow Concentrated	300	0.0225	Paved		0.027
Channel	1,650			7.000	0.065
Total	2,050			2.3628	0.241

Subarea A3

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0100	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.300
Shallow Concentrated	861	0.0140	Unpaved		0.125
Channel	8,567			7.000	0.340
Total	9,528			3.4597	0.765

Subarea A4-1

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0339	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.126
Shallow Concentrated	561	0.0345	Unpaved		0.052
Channel	4,162			7.000	0.165
Total	4,823			3.9059	0.343

Subarea A4-2

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0259	Grass-Range Short (0.15)		0.141
Shallow Concentrated	150	0.0402	Unpaved		0.013
Channel	1,596			10.000	0.044
Total	1,846			2.5898	0.198

Subarea A5

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0060	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.368
Shallow Concentrated	266	0.0170	Unpaved		0.035
Channel	9,537			7.000	0.378
Total	9,903			3.5222	0.781

Subarea A6

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0200	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.227
Shallow Concentrated	483	0.0120	Unpaved		0.076
Channel	3,593			7.000	0.143
Total	4,176			2.6009	0.446

Subarea B1

	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Surface (Manning's n)	Velocity (ft/s)	Time (hr)
Sheet	100	0.0190	Grass Dense (0.24)		0.232
Shallow Concentrated	570	0.0190	Unpaved		0.071
Channel	8,061			7.000	0.320
Total	8,731			3.8929	0.623

APPENDIX E

Detention Analysis HEC-HMS Model Inputs and Results

Detention Analysis HEC-HMS Model Schematic



Detention Analysis HEC-HMS Inputs

Sub-Basin Inputs

	A1-1	A1-2	A1-3	A2	A3	A4-1	A4-2	A5	A6	B1
Area (MI ²)	0.045 7	0.075 5	0.043 7	0.053 8	0.925 3	0.120 8	0.034 5	1.340 5	0.184 9	0.574 1
Downstream	A1	Detent -ion A1-2	A1	Detent -ion A2	A3 to Point 2	Detent -ion A4-1	A4	A5 to Point 3	A6 to Point 3	Point 1
Loss Method		SCS Curve Number								
Transform Method		SCS Unit Hydrograph								
Curve Number	84	84	89	83	80	84	85	80	79	81
Graph Type	Standard (PRF 484)									
Lag Time (MIN)	6.3	10.1	7.1	8.7	27.5	12.3	7.1	28.1	16.1	22.4

Junction Inputs

	A1	A4	Point 3	Point 2	Point 1
Downstream	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1	None

Reach Inputs

	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1
Downstream	Point 3	Point 3	Point 2	Point 2	Point 1
Time Step Method		Aut	omatic Fixed Int	erval	
Length (FT)	1,137	1,141	1,861	1,465	2,225
Slope (FT/FT)	0.0126	0.0076	0.0048	0.0082	0.0033
Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Shape			Eight Point		
Left Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Right Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Cross Section	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1

Reservoir Inputs

	Detention A1-2	Detention A2	Detention A4-1		
Downstream	A1	Point 2	A4		
Method		Outflow Structures			
Storage Method		Elevation Area			
Elev-Area Function	A1-2	A2	A4-1		
Initial Condition		Inflow = Outflow			
Main Tailwater		Assume None			
Auxiliary	None				
Time Step Method	Automatic Adaptation				
Outlets	1	1	1		
Spillways	0	0	0		
Dam Tops	0	0	0		
Pumps	0	0	0		
Dam Break	No	No	No		
Dam Seepage	No No No				
Release	No	No	No		
Evaporation	No	No	No		

Reservoir Outlets

	Detention A1-2	Detention A2	Detention A4-1		
Method		Culvert Outlet			
Direction		Main			
Number Barrels	2	2	2		
Solution Method		Automatic			
Shape		Circular			
Chart	1: Concrete Pipe Culvert				
Scale	1: Squar	re Edge Entrance with ⊦	leadwall		
Length (FT)	100	100	100		
Diameter (FT)	2.5	2	3		
Inlet Elevation (FT)	940	940	940		
Entrance Coefficient	0.4	0.4	0.4		
Outlet Elevation (FT)	939 939 939				
Exit Coefficient	1	1	1		
Manning's n	0.013	0.013	0.013		

Meteorological Models

	SCS 2-Year	SCS 10-Year	SCS 100-Year			
Precipitation	SCS Storm					
Unit System	U.S. Customary					
Replace Missing		Abort Compute				
Method	Туре 2					
Depth (IN)	3.6	5.4	7.9			

Control Specifications

	24-Hour Storm
Start Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	01Jan2018
Start Time (HH:mm)	00:00
End Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	02Jan2018
End Time (HH:mm)	01:00
Time Interval	5 Minutes

	A6 to Point 3
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
144.05	953.42
170.24	947.63
180.07	949.00
193.16	948.86
212.81	949.89
225.90	951.69
242.27	950.88
261.94	951.41

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 2

	A5 to Point 3
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
91.64	949.55
94.36	949.46
97.33	948.76
110.31	940.97
116.80	940.37
155.73	949.20
171.95	949.36
188.18	951.24

	Point 3 to Point 2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
52.37	943.93
104.74	943.13
130.93	938.58
150.56	932.79
176.75	938.61
193.12	942.31
232.39	943.17
255.31	943.03

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 4

	A3 to Point 2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
25.81	944.02
70.99	941.56
106.49	943.59
122.62	938.98
141.98	937.01
161.34	943.78
190.27	942.52
280.07	943.76

	Point 2 to Point 1
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
68.18	938.05
97.39	933.21
100.64	933.21
136.35	936.26
146.09	935.85
152.58	936.23
162.32	935.96
168.82	936.86

Paired Data (Elevation-Area) Table 1

	A1-2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : AC
Elevation (FT)	Area (FT)
940.0	0.40
952.0	1.03

Paired Data (Elevation-Area) Table 2

	A2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : AC
Elevation (FT)	Area (FT)
940.0	0.22
952.0	0.68

Paired Data (Elevation-Area) Table 3

	A1-2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : AC
Elevation (FT)	Area (FT)
940.0	0.40
952.0	1.03

Detention Analysis HEC-HMS Results

Results – Point 1

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year	
Peak Discharge (CFS)	2,249.7	4,276.5	7,204.8	
Volume (IN)	1.76	3.30	5.59	
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	

Results – Point 2

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year
Peak Discharge (CFS)	1,839.0	3,508.4	5,891.7
Volume (IN)	1.73	3.26	5.55
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25

APPENDIX F

Free Release Analysis HEC-HMS Model Inputs and Results

Free Release Analysis HEC-HMS Model Schematic



Free Release Analysis HEC-HMS Inputs

	A1-1	A1-2	A1-3	A2	A3	A4-1	A4-2	A5	A6	B1
Area (MI ²)	0.0457	0.0755	0.0437	0.0538	0.9253	0.1208	0.0345	1.3405	0.1849	0.5741
Downstream	A1	A1	A1	Point 2	A3 to Point 2	A4	A4	A5 to Point 3	A6 to Point 3	Point 1
Loss Method	SCS Curve Number									
Transform Method	SCS Unit Hydrograph									
Curve Number	84	84	89	83	80	84	85	80	79	81
Graph Type	Standard (PRF 484)									
Lag Time (MIN)	6.3	10.1	7.1	8.7	27.5	12.3	7.1	28.1	16.1	22.4

Reach Inputs

	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1
Downstream	Point 3	Point 3	Point 2	Point 2	Point 1
Time Step Method	Automatic Fixed Interval				
Length (FT)	1,137	1,141	1,861	1,465	2,225
Slope (FT/FT)	0.0126	0.0076	0.0048	0.0082	0.0033
Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Shape	Eight Point				
Left Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Right Manning's n	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Cross Section	A6 to Point 3	A5 to Point 3	Point 3 to Point 2	A3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1

Junction Inputs

	A1	A4	Point 3	Point 2	Point 1
Downstream	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3 to Point 2	Point 2 to Point 1	None

Meteorological Models

	SCS 2-Year	SCS 10-Year	SCS 100-Year	
Precipitation	SCS Storm			
Unit System	U.S. Customary			
Replace Missing	Abort Compute			
Method	Туре 2			
Depth (IN)	3.6	5.4	7.9	

Control Specifications

	24-Hour Storm	
Start Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	01Jan2018	
Start Time (HH:mm)	00:00	
End Date (dd/MMM/YYYY)	02Jan2018	
End Time (HH:mm)	01:00	
Time Interval	5 Minutes	

	A6 to Point 3	
Data Source	Manual Entry	
Units	FT : FT	
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)	
144.05	953.42	
170.24	947.63	
180.07	949.00	
193.16	948.86	
212.81	949.89	
225.90	951.69	
242.27	950.88	
261.94	951.41	

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 2

	A5 to Point 3	
Data Source	Manual Entry	
Units	FT : FT	
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)	
91.64	949.55	
94.36	949.46	
97.33	948.76	
110.31	940.97	
116.80	940.37	
155.73	949.20	
171.95	949.36	
188.18	951.24	

	Point 3 to Point 2
Data Source	Manual Entry
Units	FT : FT
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)
52.37	943.93
104.74	943.13
130.93	938.58
150.56	932.79
176.75	938.61
193.12	942.31
232.39	943.17
255.31	943.03

Paired Data (Cross-Section) Table 4

	A3 to Point 2	
Data Source	Manual Entry	
Units	FT : FT	
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)	
25.81	944.02	
70.99	941.56	
106.49	943.59	
122.62	938.98	
141.98	937.01	
161.34	943.78	
190.27	942.52	
280.07	943.76	

	Point 2 to Point 1	
Data Source	Manual Entry	
Units	FT : FT	
Station (FT)	Elevation (FT)	
68.18	938.05	
97.39	933.21	
100.64	933.21	
136.35	936.26	
146.09	935.85	
152.58	936.23	
162.32	935.96	
168.82	936.86	

Free Release Analysis HEC-HMS Results

Results – Point 1

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year
Peak Discharge (CFS)	2,193.0	4,187.5	7,098.1
Volume (IN)	1.76	3.30	5.60
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25

Results – Point 2

	2-Year	10-Year	100-Year
Peak Discharge (CFS)	1,789.7	3,427.6	5,792.9
Volume (IN)	1.73	3.26	5.55
Date/Time of Peak Discharge	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25	01Jan2018, 12:25

APPENDIX G Waiver Request


DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION MANUAL DESIGN MODIFICATION REQUEST

PROJECT NAME: Hook Farms

PREMISE ADDRESS: 2020 SW Hook Road, Lee's Summit, MO 64082

PERMIT NUMBER:

OWNER'S NAME: Hunt Midwest Real Estate Development, Inc.

TO: The City Engineer

In accordance with the Lee's Summit Design and Construction Manual (DCM) Section 1002.A, I wish to apply for a modification to one or more specification (s). The following articulates my request for your review and action. (NOTE: Cite specific code sections and engineering justification and drawings.) A waiver is requested for detention at the site (outlined in Section 5608 of KC-APWA 5600). The peak discharges at the points of interest for free flow are lower than the peak discharges with detention. Detention basins would also be difficult to construct due to several site limitations, which are outlined in the drainage study.

SUBMITTED BY:			
NAME: Brian Ladd	() OWNER (x) OWNER'S AGENT	
ADDRESS: 7301 West 133 rd St, Suite 200	Tel.# <u>(913) 381</u>	-1170	
CITY, STATE, ZIP: Overland Park, KS 66213	h	ni Q nn	
Email: <u>bladd@olsson.com</u>	SIGNATURE: //2	H Jabl	
FORWARDING MANAGER:	RECOMMENDATION	() APPROVAL	() DENIAL
SIGNATURE:	DATE:		
GEORGE BINGER III, P.E. – CITY ENGINEER:	() APPROVED	() DENIED	
SIGNATURE:	DATE:		
COMMENTS			

A COPY MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE APPROVED PLANS

APPENDIX H

Extended Detention Calculations

Note: 1001.88 CF of storage required per acre per PondPack (TR-55)

Elevation (ft)	Area (sf)	A1+A2+SQR[A1*A2] (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume Sum (cf)	Volume Sum (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
934.0	16,948	0	0	0	0.00	0.39
935.0	1,8511	53,171	17,724	17,724	0.41	0.43

Water Quality Basin 1 - Drainage Area = 9.95 acres, Required Storage = 9,971 cf

Water Quality Basin 2 - Drainage Area = 9.20 acres, Required Storage = 9,219 cf

Elevation (ft)	Area (sf)	A1+A2+SQR[A1*A2] (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume Sum (cf)	Volume Sum (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
944.0	10,013	0	0	0	0.00	0.23
945.0	11,536	32,297	10,766	10,766	0.25	0.27

Water Quality Basin 3 - Drainage Area = 12.76 acres, Required Storage = 12,786 cf

Elevation (ft)	Area (sf)	A1+A2+SQR[A1*A2] (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume Sum (cf)	Volume Sum (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
944.0	15,882	0	0	0	0.00	0.37
945.0	17,323	49,792	16,597	16,597	0.38	0.40

Water Quality Basin 4 - Drainage Area = 24.46 acres, Required Storage = 24,503 cf

Elevation (ft)	Area (sf)	A1+A2+SQR[A1*A2] (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume Sum (cf)	Volume Sum (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
941.5	18,531	0	0	0	0.00	0.43
942.0	20,841	59,024	9,837	9,837	0.23	0.48
943.0	23,212	66,048	22,016	31,853	0.73	0.53

Water Quality Basin 5 - Drainage Area = 8.06 acres, Required Storage = 8,077 cf

Elevation (ft)	Area (sf)	A1+A2+SQR[A1*A2] (sf)	Volume (cf)	Volume Sum (cf)	Volume Sum (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
955.5	5,798	0	0	0	0.00	0.133
956.0	6,681	18,703	3,117	3,117	0.07	0.153
957.0	7,635	21,458	7,153	10,270	0.24	0.175

Table of Contents

	Master Network Summary	1
KCMO TR-55	Time-Depth Curve, 1 years	2
CM-1	Runoff CN-Area, 1 years	4
	Unit Hydrograph Equations	5
CM-1		
	Unit Hydrograph Summary, 1 years	7
	Unit Hydrograph (Hydrograph Table), 1 years	9
0-2	Addition Summary, 1 years	11

Subsection: Master Network Summary

Catchments Summary

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft ³ /s)
CM-1	Base	1	0.023	12.000	0.34

Node Summary

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)
0-2	Base	1	0.023	12.000	0.34

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 1 of 12 Subsection: Time-Depth Curve Label: KCMO TR-55

Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

Time-Depth Curve: WQ STORM	1
Label	WQ STORM
Start Time	0.000 hours
Increment	0.100 hours
End Time	24.000 hours
Return Event	1 years

CUMULATIVE RAINFALL (in) Output Time Increment = 0.100 hours Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

Time	Depth	Depth	Depth	Depth	Depth
(hours)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.500	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.500	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.500	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3.000	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
3.500	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
4.000	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
4.500	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
5.000	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
5.500	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
6.000	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
6.500	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
7.000	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
7.500	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
8.000	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
8.500	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
9.000	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
9.500	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
10.000	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
10.500	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
11.000	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
11.500	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8
12.000	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
12.500	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
13.000	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
13.500	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
14.000	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
14.500	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
15.000	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
15.500	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
16.000	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
16.500	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
17.000	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
17.500	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 2 of 12 Subsection: Time-Depth Curve Label: KCMO TR-55

Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

Time on left represents time for first value in each row.								
Time (hours)	Depth (in)	Depth (in)	Depth (in)	Depth (in)	Depth (in)			
18.000	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
18.500	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
19.000	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
19.500	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
20.000	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
20.500	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
21.000	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
21.500	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
22.000	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3			
22.500	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4			
23.000	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4			
23.500	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4			
24.000	1.4	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)			

CUMULATIVE RAINFALL (in) Output Time Increment = 0.100 hours ime on left represents time for first value in each row

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 3 of 12 Subsection: Runoff CN-Area Label: CM-1

Runoff Curve Number Data

Soil/Surface Description	CN	Area (ft²)	C (%)	UC (%)	Adjusted CN
pervious	74.000	28,314.000	0.0	0.0	74.000
impervious	98.000	15,246.000	0.0	0.0	98.000
COMPOSITE AREA & WEIGHTED CN>	(N/A)	43,560.000	(N/A)	(N/A)	82.400

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 4 of 12 Subsection: Unit Hydrograph Equations

Unit Hydrograph Method (Computational Notes) Definition of Terms

At	Total area (acres): At = Ai+Ap
Ai	Impervious area (acres)
Ар	Pervious area (acres)
CNi	Runoff curve number for impervious area
CNp	Runoff curve number for pervious area
fLoss	f loss constant infiltration (depth/time)
gKs	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (depth/time)
Md	Volumetric Moisture Deficit
Psi	Capillary Suction (length)
hK	Horton Infiltration Decay Rate (time^-1)
fo	Initial Infiltration Rate (depth/time)
fc	Ultimate(capacity)Infiltration Rate (depth/time)
Ia	Initial Abstraction (length)
dt	Computational increment (duration of unit excess rainfall)
	Default dt is smallest value of 0.1333Tc, rtm, and th
	(Smallest dt is then adjusted to match up with Tp)
UDdt	User specified override computational main time increment
	(only used if UDdt is => .1333Tc)
D(t)	Point on distribution curve (fraction of P) for time step t
К	2 / (1 + (Tr/Tp)): default K = 0.75: (for Tr/Tp = 1.67)
Ks	Hydrograph shape factor = Unit Conversions * K: = ((1hr/3600sec) *
	(1ft/12in) * ((5280ft)**2/sq.mi)) * K
100	Default KS = 045.555 $^{\circ}$ 0.75 = 464
Lay	Lag time from center of excess runoin (at) to Tp. Lag = 0.01 C
	Accumulated winfall at time store t
Pd(l)	Accumulated faillian at time step t
PI(L)	Incremental rainfall at time step t Deale discharge (cfc) for time supplify for the for the for the minimum $-$ (Ke * A * O) /
ЧÞ	The (where $\Omega = 1$ in runoff $\Lambda = sami$)
Ou(t)	Unit hydrograph ordinate (cfc) at time step t
$Q_{u}(t)$	Final hydrograph ordinate (cfs) at time step t
Q(l) Rai(t)	Accumulated runoff (inches) at time step t
Ran(t)	Accumulated runoff (inches) at time step t for hindervious area
Rip(t)	Incremental runoff (inches) at time step t for impervious area
$\operatorname{Pin}(t)$	Incremental runoff (inches) at time step t for penvious area
	Incremental weighted total runoff (inches)
Ptm	Time increment for rainfall table
Ci	S for impenvious area: $Si = (1000/CNi) = 10$
Sn	S for pervious area: $S_{\rm D} = (1000/CNn) - 10$
5p +	Time step (row) number
To	Time of concentration
Th	Time (hrs) of entire unit hydrograph: Th $-$ Th $+$ Tr
Tn	Time (init) of endied unit flydrograph: $TD = TP + TT$ Time (brc) to peak of a unit bydrograph: $TD = (dt/2) + Lag$
יץ Tr	Time (in s) to peak of a unit figurograph. The ($u(z) \neq Ldy$
11	$r_{1111} = r_{111} = r_{$

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 5 of 12 Subsection: Unit Hydrograph Equations

Unit Hydrograph Method Computational Notes Precipitation

Column (1)	Time for time step t
Column (2)	D(t) = Point on distribution curve for time step t
Column (3)	Pi(t) = Pa(t) - Pa(t-1): Col.(4) - Preceding Col.(4)
Column (4)	$Pa(t) = D(t) \times P$: Col.(2) x P

Pervious Area Runoff (using SCS Runoff CN Method)

Column (5)	$ \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Rap}(t) = \mbox{Accumulated pervious runoff for time step t} \\ \mbox{If (Pa}(t) \mbox{ is } <= 0.2 \mbox{Sp}) \mbox{ then use: } \\ \mbox{If (Pa}(t) \mbox{ is } > 0.2 \mbox{Sp}) \mbox{ then use: } \end{array} $
Column (6)	$ \begin{array}{l} {\sf Rap}(t) = ({\sf Col.}(4){\rm -}0.2{\sf Sp})^{**2} \ / \ ({\sf Col.}(4){\rm +}0.8{\sf Sp}) \\ {\sf Rip}(t) = {\rm Incremental pervious runoff for time step t} \\ {\sf Rip}(t) = {\sf Rap}(t) \ - {\sf Rap}(t{\rm -}1) \\ {\sf Rip}(t) = {\sf Col.}(5) \ {\rm for \ current \ row \ - {\sf Col.}(5) \ for \ preceding \ row. } \end{array} $

Impervious Area Runoff

Column (7 & 8)... Did not specify to use impervious areas.

Incremental Weighted Runoff

Column (9)	$R(t) = (Ap/At) \times Rip(t)$	+	(Ai/At) x Rii(t)
	$R(t) = (Ap/At) \times Col.(6)$	+	(Ai/At) x Col.(8)

SCS Unit Hydrograph Method

Column (10)	Q(t)	is computed with the SCS unit hydrograph method
	using	R(t) and Qu(t).

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 6 of 12

Subsection: Unit Hydrograph Summary Label: CM-1

Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

_					
-	Storm Event	WQ STORM			
	Return Event	1 years			
	Duration	24.000 hours			
	Depth	1.4 in			
	Time of Concentration (Composite)	0.150 hours			
_	Area (User Defined)	43,560.000 ft ²			
=					
	Computational Time Increment	0.020 hours			
	Time to Peak (Computed)	12.020 hours			
	Flow (Peak, Computed)	0.35 ft ³ /s			
	Output Increment	0.050 hours			
	Time to Flow (Peak Interpolated Output)	12.000 hours			
_	Flow (Peak Interpolated Output)	0.34 ft³/s			
-	Drainage Area				
-	SCS CN (Composite)	82.000			
	Area (User Defined)	43,560.000 ft ²			
	Maximum Retention (Pervious)	2.2 in			
_	Maximum Retention (Pervious, 20 percent)	0.4 in			
-	Cumulative Runoff				
_	Cumulative Runoff Depth (Pervious)	0.3 in			
_	Runoff Volume (Pervious)	0.023 ac-ft			
-	Hydrograph Volume (Area un	der Hydrograph curve)			
	Volume	0.023 ac-ft			
-	SCS Unit Hydrograph Parameters				
	Time of Concentration (Composite)	0.150 hours			
	Computational Time Increment	0.020 hours			
	Unit Hydrograph Shape 483.432 Factor				
	K Factor	0.749			
	Receding/Rising, Tr/Tp	1.670			
	Unit peak, qp	7.55 ft ³ /s			
	Unit peak time, Tp	0.100 hours			
	Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution				
C	Center				

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 7 of 12 Subsection: Unit Hydrograph Summary Label: CM-1

Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

SCS Unit Hydrograph Parameters	
Unit receding limb, Tr	0.400 hours
Total unit time, Tb	0.500 hours

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 8 of 12

Subsection: Unit Hydrograph (Hydrograph Table) Label: CM-1

Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

Storm Event	WQ STORM
Return Event	1 years
Duration	24.000 hours
Depth	1.4 in
Time of Concentration (Composite)	0.150 hours
Area (User Defined)	43,560.000 ft ²

HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES (ft³/s) Output Time Increment = 0.050 hours Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

Time	Flow	Flow	Flow	Flow	Flow
(hours)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)	(ft³/s)
11.650	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.09
11.900	0.19	0.29	0.34	0.33	0.25
12.150	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.07
12.400	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05
12.650	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
12.900	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
13.150	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
13.400	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
13.650	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
13.900	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
14.150	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
14.400	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
14.650	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
14.900	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
15.150	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
15.400	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
15.650	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
15.900	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
16.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
16.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
16.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
16.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
17.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
17.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
17.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
17.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
18.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
18.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
18.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
18.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
19.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
19.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
19.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
19.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
20.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center

27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 9 of 12

Subsection: Unit Hydrograph (Hydrograph Table) Label: CM-1 Return Event: 1 years Storm Event: WQ STORM

Time on left represents time for first value in each row.						
Time (hours)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	
20.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
20.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
20.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
21.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
21.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
21.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
21.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
22.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
22.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
22.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
22.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
23.150	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
23.400	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
23.650	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
23.900	0.01	0.01	0.01	(N/A)	(N/A)	

HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES (ft³/s) Output Time Increment = 0.050 hours Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 10 of 12 Subsection: Addition Summary Label: O-2

Summary for Hydrograph Addition at 'O-2'

Upstream Link		Upstream Node
<catchment node="" outflow="" to=""></catchment>	CM-1	

Node Inflows

Inflow Type	Element	Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Flow (Peak) (ft ³ /s)
Flow (From)	CM-1	0.023	12.000	0.34
Flow (In)	0-2	0.023	12.000	0.34

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 11 of 12

Index

C CM-1 (Runoff CN-Area, 1 years)...4 CM-1 (Unit Hydrograph (Hydrograph Table), 1 years)...9, 10 CM-1 (Unit Hydrograph Summary, 1 years)...7, 8 K KCMO TR-55 (Time-Depth Curve, 1 years)...2, 3 M Master Network Summary...1 O O-2 (Addition Summary, 1 years)...11 U Unit Hydrograph Equations...5, 6

2019.03.20.WQV.Basins.ppc 3/27/2019

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.56] Page 12 of 12

HOOK FARMS SECOND PLAT

Lee's Summit, MO

May 2021

Olsson Project No. A19-4059