### **Sanitary Sewer Study**

Lot 7 and Tract C Streets of West Pryor Lee's Summit, MO

September 23, 2020

Prepared By:

SM Engineering 5507 High Meadow Circle Manhattan, KS 66503



Samuel D. Malinowsky, P.E.

### **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	3
2. Proposed Development	3
3. Proposed Flow To Downstream System	3
4. South System	3
5. West System	4
6. Conclusion	4

Appendix A – Table B1, B2 and B3 Appendix B – West System Flow Analysis

#### INTRODUCTION

This sanitary sewer study is being prepared to address the proposed development on Lot 7 and Tract C of the Streets of West Pryor. Kaw Valley Engineering prepared a study in September of 2018 to address the additional flow as a result of the Streets of West Pryor development. This study addresses the increase in flow associated with the increased density proposed for Lot 7 and Tract C on the west end of the Streets of West Pryor. This study will use the same methodology of the original study and revise the charts in the KVE study based on the increased density. The 2 affected drainage basins are the west basin and the south basin.

#### **PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

The revised preliminary development plan for Lot 7 and Tract C of the Streets of West Pryor shows constructing an 83 unit townhome development on tract C. The original plan showed that this area would be comprised of a 29 lot single family development. On Lot 7 we are proposing to construct a 184 unit apartment complex as well as an 88 room hotel. Originally the plan was for a 166 unit senior housing facility with the hotel lot being part of a shared amenity area. As such there will be an increase in the potential sanitary sewer flow. The additional flow is summarized in Table B1 shown in Appendix A. This table is duplicated from the KVE study with revised flow data being shown in red.

#### PROPOSED FLOW TO DOWNSTREAM SYSTEM

To determine the effect on the downstream system as a result of the increased density the new flow data was applied to the downstream pipe network. This additional flow was then analyzed to see what effect it had on the existing pipe network and what improvements were warranted. Tables B2 and B3, shown in Appendix A, illustrate the increased flow in the south and the west pipe network. These tables are again duplicated from the KVE study with the revised flow data shown in red.

#### SOUTH SYSTEM

In the original study the senior housing facility was shown to drain to the south basin. The revised plan shows the 184 unit apartment complex also draining to the south basin. The slight increase in density results in an increase of 0.02 cfs draining to the south basin. To determine if the downstream network had excess capacity to handle the increased flow we compared the new flow values to the pipe capacity, per the KVE report, of each line in the system. After comparison no lines were seen to be over capacity. As such the existing pipe network can handle the increased flow.

#### WEST SYSTEM

In the original study the only new development that was proposed to drain to the west system were the 29 residential lots. The revised plan proposes to have the 83 townhomes along with the 88 unit hotel drain to the west basin. This increased density results in an increase of 0.06 cfs to the west basin over what was originally proposed.

As indicated in the KVE report there were capacity issues in the downstream reaches of the existing system. This resulted in the potential surcharging of the system. To determine the effect the additional flow would have we input a portion of the existing system into Stormwater Studio by Hydrology Studios. The results of this analysis is shown in Appendix B.

As illustrated in the original report 3 of the downstream line were being surcharged. Lines 5, 6 and 7 show surcharges above the crown of the pipe of 1.78 ft. to 3.45 ft. With the increase in flow line 8 is now showing a surcharge with lines 5, 6 and 7 showing a greater surcharge. These surcharges are between 3.33 ft. and 4.02 ft. above pipe crown. However, in no case is the surcharge above the manhole rim elevation.

As indicated in the original report to eliminate the surcharge would require upsizing line 5 from 8" to 10". This is still the case and would eliminate any surcharge

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the above discussion the effect the proposed increase in sanitary sewer flow to the south and west basin was analyzed. As shown, the south system will continue to operate as designed with minimal surcharge potential.

The increased flow to the west system will increase the potential surcharge depths in a section of the downstream line. However, in no case is the surcharge depth ever above the rim of any existing manhole rim. As discussed, this surcharge could be eliminated if one section of line is increased in size.

# Appendix A

- Table B1 Peak Flow Calculation for No-Residential and Multi-Family EDU
- Table B2 Total Sewer Flow Calculation For South System
- Table B3 Total Sewer Flow Calculation For West System

#### Table B1 Peak Base Flow Calculation for Non-Residential and Multi-Family EDU

Lot ID	Use Туре	EDU per Unit	Unit of Measure	# of Units	# of EDU	Total EDU per Sewer Zone	Total Peak Base Flow (at 300 gpd per EDU) per Zone	Sewered Acres in Zone	
Zone A - to F	l Bog's Hollow System (East)								╀
8	Restaurant	3 5	1000 sf	7500	26.3				╉
9	Restaurant		1000 sf	7500	26.3				╉
10	Restaurant (2 Tenants)		1000 sf	12300	43.1				╉
11	Hotel (80 Room)		room	80	24.0				t
12	Hotel (105 rooms)		room	105	31.5				t
						151.1	45,315	15.0	t
		will use the h	nigher facto	r from original	study for c			15.0	t
Zone B - Dire	ect Contribution to System South				,,,				t
na	Detention/Water Feature	0	n/a	0	-				T
1	Restaurant (Sit Down)	3.5	1000 sf	6500	22.8				Ť
2	Restaurant (Sit Down)	3.5	1000 sf	6500	22.8				T
5	Grocery	0.2	1000 sf	63119	12.6				
4	Retail	0.2	1000 sf	6500	1.3				Ι
3	Restaurant w/Drive Thru	1.6	1000 sf	5500	8.8				
7	Apartments w/Club House	1	Apt	251	251.0				
part of 7	Retail	0.2	1000 sf	10000	2.0				Τ
part of 7	Restaurant	3.5	1000 sf	5000	17.5				
13	Ball Court	0	n/a	0	-				Ι
Prop. Lot 2	Apartments	1	Apt	184	184				
						522.7	156,810	31.1	+
Zone C - to S	ystem West								t
Prop. Lot 1	Townhomes	1	Townhome	83	83			7.8	
Prop. Lot 3	Hotel (88 Room)	0.3	Room	88	26.4	109.4	32,820	11	
	lition for Homes on Black Twig to	City Sewers, to Sy	stem South						
Existing Lots	Single Family Residential							4.1	$\downarrow$
									╀

Revised 9-18-20

Peak Base Flow Per Acre Sewered in Zone
3,017 <b>3244</b>
5,042
1500 2,984
1500

#### Table B2

Total Sewer Flow Calculation for Streets of West Pryor Systems

South System

Line	Peak base flow (gpd/ac)	Res./Com. Peak infil. (gpd/ac)	Drainage Area (acres)	Cuml Drainage Area (acres)	Peak Base + Infiltration (gpd)	Peak Base + Infiltration (cfs)	(a) Cuml Peak Base +Infill (cfs)	K (Incr)	K*A Incr	Cuml K*A	Tc (min)	Rainfall Intensity (i) (50 yr)	(b) Cuml Peak inflow, Q = kAi (cfs)	(a+b) Cuml Total Peak Flow = Base + Infil + Inflow (cfs)
Couth Sustan (Zonos)	R and D) (using K-	0.002 for hot	h nour and a			·	1					1		
South System (Zones I	B ana D) (using K=	0.002 for bot	n new ana e	xisting area	s <i>j</i>									
P3 - P6 (Zone B)	5042	250	31.1	31.1	101 501	0.255	0.255	0.002	0.062	0.062	44	4.18	0.26	0.52
P6 - 10 (Zone D)	1500	500	4.1	35.2	<u>164,581</u> 8,200	0.255	0.255	0.002	0.008	0.070	46	4.12	0.29	0.56
B-A	1500	500	7.05	42.3	14,100	0.013	0.208	0.002	0.014	0.085	48	4.01	0.34	0.63
A-7A	1500	500	2.95	45.2	5,900	0.009	0.29	0.002	0.006	0.090	49	3.95	0.36	0.66
7A-6A	1500	500	5.57	50.8	11,140	0.017	0.299	0.002	0.011	0.102	50	3.83	0.39	0.71
6A-5A	1500	500	5.53	56.3	11,060	0.017	0.333	0.002	0.011	0.113	51	3.78	0.43	0.76
5A-4A	1500	500	5.09	61.4	10,180	0.016	0.349	0.002	0.010	0.123	52	3.72	0.46	0.81
4A-3A	1500	500	0.33	61.7	660	0.001	0.350	0.002	0.001	0.123	53	3.72	0.46	0.81
3A-2A	1500	500	0.32	62.0	640	0.001	0.351	0.002	0.001	0.124	53	3.72	0.46	0.81
2A-1A	1500	500	0.42	62.5	840	0.001	0.352	0.002	0.001	0.125	53	3.72	0.46	0.81
1A-7AA	1500	500	2.81	65.3	5,620	0.009	0.361	0.002	0.006	0.131	53	3.66	0.48	0.84
7AA-6AA	1500	500		65.3			0.361	0.002	-	0.131	53	3.66	0.48	0.84
6AA-5AA	1500	500	9.78	75.1	19,560	0.030	0.391	0.002	0.020	0.150	55	3.55	0.53	0.92
5AA-ADD	1500	500		75.1			0.391	0.002	-	0.150	55	3.55	0.53	0.92
ADD-4AA	1500	500	9.55	84.6	19,100	0.030	0.421	0.002	0.019	0.169	57	3.49	0.59	1.01
4AA-3AA	1500	500		84.6			0.421	0.002	-	0.169	57	3.49	0.59	1.01
3AA-2AA	1500	500	7.36	92.0	14,720	0.023	0.444	0.002	0.015	0.184	58	3.37	0.62	1.06
2AA-1AA	1500	500		92.0			0.444		-	0.184	58	3.37	0.62	1.06

Revised 9-18-20

## Table B3Total Sewer Flow Calculation for Streets of West Pryor Systems

#### West System, for K=0.003 in most areas

Line	Peak base flow (gpd/ac)	Res./Com. Peak infil. (gpd/ac)	Drainage Area (acres)	Cuml Drainage Area (acres)	Peak Base + Infiltration (gpd)	Peak Base + Infiltration (cfs)	(a) Cuml Peak Base +Infill (cfs)	K (Incr)	K*A Incr	Cuml K*A	Tc (min)	Rainfall Intensity (i) (50 yr)	(b) Cuml Peak inflow, Q = kAi (cfs)	(a+b) Cuml Total Peak Flow = Base + Infil + Inflow (cfs)
West System (Zones C)	(Base Scenario u	sing K=0.002	for new pro	ject, <u>K=0.00</u>	<u>130</u> for existin	g residential	areas served v	with PVC, a	and K=0.00	6 the lowe	st reach)			
B2(Zone C) to B-1	2984	250	11.0	11.0	35,574	0.055	0.055	0.002	0.022	0.022	31	4.92	0.11	0.17
B-1 to 2-6	1500	<u>250</u> 500	8.00	19.0	16,000	0.035	0.055	0.003	0.022	0.022	37	4.58	0.11	0.17
2-6 to 2-3	1500	500	10.00	29.0	20,000	0.031	0.000	0.003	0.030	0.076	42	4.29	0.33	0.44
2-3 to 2-1	1500	500	8.00	37.0	16,000	0.025	0.136	0.003	0.024	0.100	45	4.12	0.41	0.55
2-1 to 1-11	1500	500	5.00	42.0	10,000	0.015	0.151	0.003	0.015	0.115	47	4.06	0.47	0.62
1-11 TO 1-10	1500	500	7.48	49.5	14,960	0.023	0.174	0.003	0.022	0.137	49	3.95	0.54	0.71
1-10 TO 1-9	1500	500	4.85	54.3	9,700	0.015	0.189	0.003	0.015	0.152	50	3.83	0.58	0.77
1-9 TO 1-8	1500	500	1.02	55.4	2,040	0.003	0.192	0.003	0.003	0.155	50	3.83	0.59	0.78
1-8 TO 1-7	1500	500	1.84	57.2	3,680	0.006	0.198	0.003	0.006	0.161	51	3.83	0.62	0.82
1-7 TO 1-6	1500	500	1.34	58.6	2,680	0.004	0.202	0.003	0.004	0.165	51	3.78	0.62	0.82
1-4 TO 1-3	1500	500	2.34	60.9	4,680	0.007	0.209	0.003	0.007	0.172	52	3.78	0.65	0.86
1-3 TO 1-2	1500	500	5.27	66.2	10,540	0.016	0.225	0.003	0.016	0.188	53	3.72	0.70	0.93
1-2 TO 1-1	1500	500	21.08	87.3	42,160	0.065	0.290	0.003	0.063	0.251	57	3.49	0.88	1.17
J-5 TO J-4	1500	500	54.26	141.5	108,520	0.168	0.458	0.003	0.163	0.414	64	3.17	1.31	1.76
G-1 TO A-2	1500	500	37.92	179.5	75,840	0.117	0.575	0.003	0.114	0.528	68	3.09	1.63	2.21
A-2 TO A-1 (*)	1500	500	36.31	215.8	72,620	0.112	0.687	0.006	0.218	0.746	72	3.03	2.26	2.95
(*) Dominate type in th	is area is VCP, the	ugh some P	VC											

Revised 9-18-20

## Appendix B

• West System Flow Analysis

West System	
-------------	--

Line No.	Flow Rate		Line Length	Invert Dn	Invert Up	Line Slope	HGL Dn	HGL Up	HGL Junct	Capac. Full	Line No.	Line ID	Hw (ft)	Grnd/Rim Elev Up	n-value Pipe
	(cfs)	(in)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(cfs)				(ft)	
4	2.95	10	223.20	807.86	814.84	0.0313	808.44	815.59	815.59	3.60	4	A2-A1	0.75	820.87	0.014
5	2.21	8	199.38	815.00	820.05	0.0253	815.72	824.61	824.74	1.67	5	G1-A2	4.69	826.13	0.015
6	1.78	8	324.00	820.18	830.57	0.0321	825.12	834.49	834.57	1.87	6	J1 - G1	4.00	835.50	0.015
7	1.78	8	399.50	830.77	841.38	0.0266	834.74	846.29	846.37	1.71	7	J2-J1	4.99	846.68	0.015
8	1.78	8	307.50	841.58	850.96	0.0305	846.54	855.43	855.52	1.83	8	J3-J2	4.56	857.08	0.015
9	1.78	8	268.00	851.16	865.95	0.0552	855.68	866.56	866.56	2.46	9	J4-J3	0.61	870.35	0.015
10	1.78	8	322.00	866.15	877.83	0.0363	866.64	878.44	878.44	1.99	10	J5-J4	0.61	892.53	0.015
11	1.78	8	80.30	878.00	895.29	0.2153	878.28	895.90	895.90	5.20	11	J6-J5	0.61	900.49	0.014
12	1.17	8	143.45	895.66	907.28	0.081	895.94	907.79	907.79	3.19	12	1-1 - J6	0.51	916.21	0.014
13	1.17	8	137.80	907.80	911.08	0.0238	908.21	911.59	911.59	1.73	13	1-2 - 1-1	0.51	928.78	0.014
14	0.93	8	89.75	911.72	912.04	0.0036	912.39	913.00	913.03	0.67	14	1-3 - 1-2	0.99	928.60	0.014
15	0.86	8	152.23	912.25	913.49	0.0081	913.15	914.03	914.06	1.01	15	1-4 - 1-3	0.57	935.65	0.014
16	0.83	8	154.95	913.84	914.92	0.007	914.33	915.41	915.46	0.94	16	1-5 - 1-4	0.54	936.27	0.014