

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**Commercial Final Development Plan
Applicant's Letter**

Date: Monday, September 14, 2020

To:

Property Owner: SCHOOL DISTRICT 7 Email:
REORGANIZED

Applicant: DLR GROUP Email:

Engineer: OLSSON ASSOCIATES Email:

Other: MCCOWN GORDON CONSTRUCTION LLC Email: MDUNLAP@MCCOWNGORDON.COM

From: Hector Soto Jr., Planning Division Manager

Re:

Application Number: PL2020259

Application Type: Commercial Final Development Plan

Application Name: Middle School #4 - Bailey Rd

Location: 1001 SE BAILEY RD, LEES SUMMIT, MO 64081

Electronic Plans for Resubmittal

All Planning application and development engineering plan resubmittals shall include an electronic copy of the documents as well as the required number of paper copies.

Electronic copies shall be provided in the following formats:

- Plats – All plats shall be provided in multi-page Portable Document Format (PDF).
- Engineered Civil Plans – All engineered civil plans shall be provided in multipage Portable Document Format (PDF).
- Architectural and other plan drawings – Architectural and other plan drawings, such as site electrical and landscaping, shall be provided in multi-page Portable Document Format (PDF).
- Studies – Studies, such as stormwater and traffic, shall be provided in Portable Document Format (PDF).

Please contact Staff with any questions or concerns.

Excise Tax

On April 1, 1998, an excise tax on new development for road construction went into effect. This tax is levied based on the type of development and trips generated. If you require additional information about this development cost, as well as other permit costs and related fees, please contact the Development Services Department at (816) 969-1200.

Review Status:

Revisions Required: One or more departments have unresolved issues regarding this development application. See comments below to determine the required revisions and resubmit to the Development Services Department.

Resubmit

one (1) digital copy following the electronic plan submittal guides as stated above. Revised plans will be reviewed within five (5) business days of the date received.

Required Corrections:

Fire Review	Jim Eden (816) 969-1303	Assistant Chief Jim.Eden@cityofls.net	Pending
Planning Review	Hector Soto Jr. (816) 969-1238	Planning Division Manager Hector.Soto@cityofls.net	Corrections

1. Some of the Planning comments contained in this letter may have been overlooked due to the number of sheets contained in the submittal. If that is the case, please respond to the comment by indicating where to find the information on the submittal.
2. SIDEWALKS. Label the sidewalk width along Bailey Rd. The sidewalk shall be a minimum 5' in width.
3. FLOODPLAIN NOTE. Include a note on the plans indicating the location of the site relative to the 100 year floodplain. Cite the FIRM panel number and date used to make this determination.
4. RIGHT-OF-WAY. Label the ROW widths for 13th St and Cape Dr.
5. OIL AND GAS WELLS. Add a note on the plans regarding the presence or absence of any active, inactive or capped oil and gas wells on the property. Cite the source of information used to make this determination. The Missouri Dept of Natural Resources maintains a database of well information for the state.
6. NEW PARKING AREA. This FDP added a new parking area west of the sports utility/concessions building.
 - Dimension the parking space widths. The spaces shall be a minimum 9' wide.
 - The drive connecting the parking area from the outer road shall have a minimum pavement width of 24', excluding curb and gutter.
 - What is the pavement design for this parking area? The legend shows it as being the same concrete type used on the internal sidewalk system as opposed to a different concrete design specific for a parking lot.
7. DRIVE AISLE WIDTHS. The noses of the landscape islands flanking all of the entrances/exists of each of the parking lot aisles west of the main building need to be pulled back so the driveable pavement width is a minimum 24', excluding curb and gutter.
8. ADA.
 - Label and identify the accessible routes from the ADA parking spaces to the building on the site plan. Label the slopes of said accessible route.
 - Revise the ADA parking sign detail on Sheet C1050. The sign shall be mounted a minimum height of 5' above finished, measured to the bottom of the sign.
9. SIGNAGE. FYI - sign permit approval is required for all proposed signage under separate application.

1. Comments on the stream buffer waiver were provided under separate cover (i.e., email dated Sep. 9, 2020). At this time, the waiver request should be resubmitted in accordance with the comments provided in the Sep. 9, 2020 email.
2. The "Final Stormwater Study" dated Aug. 28, 2020 (hereinafter referred to as "the stormwater study") is geared towards a preliminary stormwater study. There is no detailed discussion within the text of the outlet structures and orifices/weirs, nor the emergency spillway system. The appendix does not appear to show any detailed pond setup. In short, the study needs to be updated to a final report, not a preliminary report. It must include a discussion of the sizing of the orifice/weir combinations within the basins, the type of riser structure, the emergency spillway, along with calculations of the 100% clogged, zero available storage event.
3. The stormwater study appears to contain many missing elements that are reserved for the next submittal as evidenced by the terms "Error! Bookmark not defined". There is also an erroneous reference to a 1 year design storm. For this reason, no further review is being performed on this incomplete stormwater study.
4. The private sanitary sewer system was missing a profile view. This private system is large enough and long enough that a profile view is warranted.
5. Hydraulic grade lines for the design storm event should be shown on the profile view of all storm lines greater than 6 inches in diameter.
6. Detention basin outlet structures were missing from the plan set. It is impossible to review the detention basin design without these details.
7. General Layout Sheet: Please label all detention basins. We are showing three (3) total, while the report discusses four (4) total. Is there an additional basin that is now shown?
8. Grading Detail Sheets: Please call out the bottom slope within the detention basins. It must be at least 2.0%.
9. Detention basin footprint on Sheet C1014: Is it really the intention to have square corners for the basins? Wouldn't it be better to have rounded corners?
10. The Utility Plan shown in the approved Preliminary Development Plan does not match what is shown in terms of water service for the development. Please review the approved Preliminary Development Plan. You are showing a single domestic water service connection to a line on Bailey Rd. which was not shown on the approved Preliminary Development Plan. This is a 16 inch water main, and cannot be connected for a single domestic service.
11. The public road extensions of 13th St. and Cape Dr. should be separate public engineering plans.
12. The southwest detention basin is shown discharging onto rip rap, and then descending down a steep slope. This is going to lead to severe erosion issues. Finally, no grading is shown to direct stormwater to the creek. It is recommended that this design be re-evaluated. It does not appear there was much thought given to this issue.
13. Separate public water line plans are required along Cape Dr. These may be combined with the street plans discussed in the previous comment above.

14. It was our understanding that all domestic water service would be served from the water line extension along Cape Dr. This was shown in the approved Preliminary Development Plan.
15. Notes are provided concerning water meter sizing. This must be provided on the plans prior to approval.
16. The note under domestic water on Sheet C1027 note "d" is not correct. It should read that a minimum of 10 feet of copper line be installed from the meter to the building, in addition to the copper line required from the main to the meter.
17. Sheet 1027: The domestic water service line should be a separate connection.
18. Sheet C1027: The backflow vault should be located closer than shown. It should be located outside of any easement or right of way, generally 6 feet from either.
19. Sheet C1027: Provide a note which references the separate public water main extension plans. They can and should be shown on the FDP, but perhaps greyed-out with notes indicating that these are to be built under separate plans.
20. Sheet C1027: The gate valve is called-out on the notes for the backflow vault, but must also be shown on the plan view. Finally, an additional valve is required on the public side across the street on the south side of Cape Dr.
21. Sheet C1027: The public fire hydrant should be located on the south side of Cape Dr. It may be installed as an inline temporary hydrant, since it will be extended in the future. The private fire line and the private domestic service lines must be connected prior to the fire hydrant on the south side of Cape Dr.
22. Plan and profile views of the private fire line are required. The plans must show the placement of the bends (including the magnitude of the bend), tees, thrust blocks, etc. Pipe cannot be allowed to bend under any circumstances, so the location of these bends must be shown where curvature would introduce stresses in the line. Please be aware this is only required for the fire line, not the domestic water service where a plan view and call-outs are sufficient.
23. All Utility Sheets: Why is the proposed private sanitary sewer line greyed-out as if it were an existing feature? Please use standard drafting practice and show a bold line, along with a profile view of the lines.
24. Sheet C1026: A gate valve is required on both sides of Bailey Rd. when installing the backflow vault. One should be placed on the north side of Bailey, and the other should be placed just prior to the backflow vault. A note is not sufficient. It should be shown on the plan view.
25. Sheet C1026: Why is an existing sanitary sewer line shown along the south side of Bailey Rd.? Is this a drafting error?
26. All Utility Sheets: How will the backflow vaults be drained? The standard drawing requires the design engineer to provide a method to drain the backflow vault, either by daylighting, or construction of an infiltration trench below the sump. It must be shown in plan view, a note is not sufficient. A very small pipe is all that is required to drain these backflow vaults, so it will not be necessary to provide a profile view unless the engineer deems this a necessity.
27. It is difficult to determine whether the discharge points for the two (2) northern detention basins are appropriate. It appears at least one of the basins is discharging into the main channel of the stream, with a bed of rip rap. Is this appropriate? Please re-evaluate this design and please consult the Design and Construction Manual concerning discharges into streams, and best practices.

28. Rip rap calculations were not provided for any of the rip rap locations. There is a chart in the standard details, but this is not a design. It references "as shown on the plans", but the only thing shown on the plan view is a vague outline of the rip rap. Please use standard notation on the plan view so everyone knows what is being installed at that particular location, including width, length, depth, type of rip rap. In addition, no details were provided. The purpose of the calculations would be to verify the design, and the notes on the plans will enable the contractor and inspector to be able to order appropriate materials, and place the rip rap according to plan. As of now, there is no plan other than a placeholder on the plan set.

29. Sheet C1050: The detail for the pavement design for light duty asphaltic concrete pavement does not quite meet the Unified Development Ordinance. A minimum of 4" base course is required, with 1.5 inch surface course. In addition, the typical section views refer to a geotechnical report. Please be aware that if the geotechnical report recommends a different pavement section than shown on these plans, that must be evaluated separately. We have no such report in our possession.

30. The standard details need to show pavement within the private drives and parking lots having the prepared subgrade extend a minimum of one (1) foot beyond the back of curb.

31. CG-1 curb should be used, and should be called-out on the plans. The standard details provide all of the curb and gutter section views, with no specific design for this particular project.

32. Road abandonment plans for 15th St. can be combined with the public water main, and public street plans described elsewhere in this comment letter.

33. General Layout Sheet: Please note the location of the public street extension on 13th St., the public street extension on Cape Dr., the public street abandonment on 15th St., the public water main extension on Cape Dr. and the public sanitary sewer extension to the south (which also includes the public extension to the east to serve the future development to the east). Additional notes should be provided that these improvements are covered under separate engineering plans, and in the case of the future extension of sanitary sewer to the east, a note stating that an easement is being granted to serve this future development to the east.

34. The hydraulic grade line for the 100 year event (nominal) must be shown within the detention basins both in numerical and graphic format. A minimum of 20 feet is required from this elevation to the property line or any building. Finally, the 100% clogged, zero available storage 100 year water surface elevation must be shown in the same format, and a discussion should be initiated between the Engineering Group on whether the nominal or clogged condition be considered for the 20 foot setback requirement (i.e., there may be a situation where it makes sense to use the most stringent, or vice versa).

35. Plan and Profile Views of Stormwater: There are numerous instances where pipe is being laid in locations where fill is being brought in. It is now standard practice to show in graphic format (i.e., hatched pattern, or equivalent) with sufficient notes that the contractor shall fill to a point 18 inches above the proposed top of pipe, and then excavate a trench for placement of the pipe. In other words, the City will not allow placement of the pipe on the ground and backfilling around it. This should be specifically shown in graphic and notation format on the profile view.

36. The same comment above applies to all pipes, whether sanitary sewer, water line, or stormwater lines. Since no profile view was provided for the sanitary sewer line, it is unclear whether these notes will be required.

37. Very little is known about the detention basin outlet structures. However, it appears that Nyloplast inlets are being proposed. The City does not support the use of these types of inlets for detention basin outlet structures. We would recommend a concrete structure with appropriate anti-clogging measures installed.

38. General Layout Sheet: Please label all detention basins.

39. Please determine the best placement of the future public sanitary sewer extension to the east, to serve the future development to the east. This location should be able to serve the eastern development in a low point.

40. All three (3) commercial entrances must be constructed of KCMMB 8 inch thick concrete from the right of way line, to the sawcut in the public pavement. Notes must be provided on the plan views for each.

41. A note must be provided on the general layout sheet which states that all detention basins must be constructed prior to any other improvements. This note should be bold, and clearly-visible to the contractor.

42. It is our understanding that all ADA-accessible ramps will be detailed with the public improvement plans.

43. An erosion and sediment control plan was missing.

Traffic Review	Michael Park (816) 969-1820	City Traffic Engineer Michael.Park@cityofls.net	Corrections
-----------------------	--------------------------------	--	-------------

1. The limits of public improvement plan for 13th Street should end at the beginning (or west) curb return PC so that the intersection is part of the school driveway/roadway (a continuous private drive/road).

Building Codes Review	Joe Frogge (816) 969-1241	Plans Examiner Joe.Frogge@cityofls.net	Corrections
------------------------------	------------------------------	---	-------------

1. 2018 IPC 708.1.3 Building drain and building sewer junction. The junction of the building drain and the building sewer shall be served by a cleanout that is located at the junction or within 10 feet of the developed length of piping upstream of the junction. For the requirements of this section, the removal of a water closet shall not be required to provide cleanout access.

Action required: Cleanouts required near locations where sewers leave buildings. May be field verified.

2. Inadequate information to complete review.

Provide the following:

- Size of water meter to serve school building.

3. A-series & E-series drawings not included in this review.