

---

December 11, 2019

Mike Weisenborn  
Project Manager, Development Center  
City of Lee's Summit  
220 SE Green Street  
Lee's Summit, MO 64063

Re: **18-0251 Sequoia Sanitary Sewer Impact Statement**

Mr. Weisenborn:

Per the requirements provided by the City of Lee's Summit's planning code regarding the preliminary development plan submittal for the proposed Sequoia, a sanitary sewer impact analysis has been conducted. The following is a report of the analysis.

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed Sequoia development is in the City of Lee's Summit, Jackson County, MO. The project is located on the southwest corner of NW Olive St and NW Orchard Dr and is 3.78 acres in size. A site location map has been provided as Exhibit A. The complex generally consists of fourteen duplex units and associated infrastructure. The entire site is located within the Cedar Creek Watershed. Refer to Exhibit B for a layout of the proposed complex.

### **METHODOLOGY**

Based on the provisions outlined in the Lee's Summit Design and Construction Manual (LS DCM) 6500 for Sanitary Sewers, the peak sanitary sewer flow has been determined. Using as-builts and survey information on the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure, the existing sanitary sewer system has been analyzed to determine if the proposed flows will require any modifications to the existing system.

### **EXISTING PEAK FLOW**

The existing sanitary sewer system services residential housing, two warehouses, a storage facility, a commercial lumber yard, an office building, a church, and a veterinarian complex. The existing residential area and surrounding non-residential developments were analyzed to determine the existing peak flowrate conditions. The LS DCM 6501.C was used to calculate peak sanitary sewer flowrates for the existing system. The peak sanitary sewer flow is the summation of the peak base flow, the peak infiltration, and peak inflow of the existing residential and non-residential developments. Jackson County Incentive Viewer was used to determine lot acreage. An existing sanitary sewer layout was provided by the City of Lee's Summit. Refer to Exhibit C (Existing Site) for a layout of the existing sanitary sewer and lot lines used to determine existing peak flowrates. A summary of the sanitary sewer peak flows calculated for the existing use has been provided in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Existing Sanitary Sewer Peak Flows**

	Contributing Residential and Non-Residential Developments	Area (Ac.)	EDU	Peak Base Flow (gpd)	Peak Infiltration	Peak Inflow				Peak Flow (gpd)	Peak Flow Rate (cfs)
						Time of Concentration T <sub>c</sub> (min)	Intensity i (iph)	Peak Inflow Q (cfs)	Peak Inflow (gpd)		
East of RR	Ex Residential 1	1.33	-	2,000	667	19.96	6.27	0.025	16,209	18,875	0.029
	Lumber Yard	0.58	0.1	761	291	16.19	6.98	0.024	15,752	16,804	0.026
	Ex Residential 2	1.68	-	2523	841	21.16	6.12	0.031	19,957	23,321	0.036
	Office Building	0.07	0.3	257	33	9.33	8.13	0.003	2,069	2,359	0.004
	Church	0.10	0.5	675	52	10.47	7.84	0.005	3,141	3,867	0.006
	Animal Hospital	0.21	0.4	1,093	105	12.50	7.58	0.010	6,147	7,345	0.011
West of RR	Ex Residential 3	1.44	-	2,153	718	20.33	6.22	0.054	34,624	37,495	0.058
	Ex Residential 4	1.81	-	2,716	905	21.56	6.07	0.066	42,616	46,237	0.072
	Storage Unit Facility	6.72	0.1	8,780	3,359	30.02	4.98	0.201	129,753	141,893	0.220
	Ex Residential 5	1.48	-	2,226	742	20.50	6.02	0.054	34,645	37,613	0.058
	Warehouse 1	1.03	0.1	1,348	516	18.71	6.43	0.040	25,720	27,584	0.043
	Warehouse 2	0.68	0.1	889	340	16.84	6.67	0.027	17,590	18,819	0.029
	Ex Residential 6	2.07	-	3,109	1,036	22.31	5.97	0.074	47,987	52,132	0.081
	<b>Total</b>	19.2		9,462	9604			0.613	396,210	415,275	0.672

**PROPOSED PEAK FLOW**

The proposed sanitary sewer system will service a 3.78 acre of duplex housing along NW Olive St. This residential development was analyzed to determine the proposed peak sanitary sewer flowrate conditions. The LS DCM 6501.C was used to calculate the peak sanitary sewer flow for the proposed duplex units. The peak sanitary sewer flow is the summation of the peak base flow, the peak infiltration, and the peak inflow of the proposed development as well as existing residential and non-residential developments. A summary of the flows calculated for proposed use and existing use has been provided in Table 2. Refer to Exhibit D (Proposed Site) for details regarding the proposed peak sanitary sewer flow calculations.

**Table 2. Summary of Proposed Sanitary Sewer Peak Flows**

	Contributing Residential and Non-Residential Developments	Area (Ac.)	EDU	Peak Base Flow (gpd)	Peak Infiltration	Peak Inflow				Peak Flow (gpd)	Peak Flow Rate (cfs)
						Time of Concentration T <sub>c</sub> (min)	Intensity i (iph)	Peak Inflow Q (cfs)	Peak Inflow (gpd)		
East of RR	Prop Development	3.78	-	5,280	1,760	25.50	5.56	0.059	37,948	<b>44,988</b>	<b>0.074</b>
	Ex Residential 1	1.33	-	2,000	667	19.96	6.27	0.025	16,209	<b>18,875</b>	<b>0.029</b>
	Lumber Yard	0.58	0.1	761	291	16.19	6.98	0.024	15,752	<b>16,804</b>	<b>0.026</b>
	Ex Residential 2	1.68	-	2523	841	21.16	6.12	0.031	19,957	<b>23,321</b>	<b>0.036</b>
	Office Building	0.07	0.3	257	33	9.33	8.13	0.003	2,069	<b>2,359</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	Church	0.10	0.5	675	52	10.47	7.84	0.005	3,141	<b>3,867</b>	<b>0.006</b>
	Animal Hospital	0.21	0.4	1,093	105	12.50	7.58	0.010	6,147	<b>7,345</b>	<b>0.011</b>
West of RR	Ex Residential 3	1.44	-	2,153	718	20.33	6.22	0.054	34,624	<b>37,495</b>	<b>0.058</b>
	Ex Residential 4	1.81	-	2,716	905	21.56	6.07	0.066	42,616	<b>46,237</b>	<b>0.072</b>
	Storage Unit Facility	6.72	0.1	8,780	3,359	30.02	4.98	0.201	129,753	<b>141,893</b>	<b>0.220</b>
	Ex Residential 5	1.48	-	2,226	742	20.50	6.02	0.054	34,645	<b>37,613</b>	<b>0.058</b>
	Warehouse 1	1.03	0.1	1,348	516	18.71	6.43	0.040	25,720	<b>27,584</b>	<b>0.043</b>
	Warehouse 2	0.68	0.1	889	340	16.84	6.67	0.027	17,590	<b>18,819</b>	<b>0.029</b>
	Ex Residential 6	2.07	-	3,109	1,036	22.31	5.97	0.074	47,987	<b>52,132</b>	<b>0.081</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>22.7</b>		<b>33,809</b>	<b>11364</b>			<b>0.672</b>	<b>434157</b>	<b>479,331</b>	<b>0.746</b>

**SANITARY IMPACT ANALYSIS**

The capacities of the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure have been modeled to verify that the existing infrastructure is adequate to support the estimated peak sanitary sewer flows from the proposed sites. Sanitary flows are conveyed to an existing 4' concrete sanitary sewer manhole north of the proposed site. Table 3 provides a summary of the existing pipes as well as pipe flow capacity in the system based on survey and provided as-built information. Flow capacities were calculated using Manning's Equation. The information for pipe 30-051 was provided via survey. Flowlines and length for pipes 30-068 through 30-009 were not provided by survey nor are reflected in the provided as-built information. Slopes for pipes 30-068 through 30-009 were assumed based on minimum requirements per LS DCM 6500 D.2.d. Pipe size was assumed to be 8" for pipes 30-068 through 30-010, and 10" for pipe 30-009. See Exhibit E for provided as-built information.

**Table 3. Summary of Existing Sanitary System & Flow Capacities**

	Pipe ID	Pipe Length (ft)	US Flowline (ft)	DS Flowline (ft)	Pipe Slope (ft/ft)	Manning's n	Pipe Size (in)	Pipe Area (sf)	Flow Capacity (cfs)
East of RR	30-051	323.77	1007.25	1005.95	0.004	0.015	8	0.35	0.664
	30-031	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-014	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-013	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-010	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
West of RR	30-047	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-029	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-068	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-030	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-026	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-012	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-005	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-006	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-007	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-008	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813
	30-009	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	10	0.55	1.475

Table 4 provides a summary of the proposed system as well as pipe flow capacity in the proposed system based on Manning's Equation.

**Table 4. Summary of Proposed Sanitary System & Flow Capacities**

Pipe ID	Pipe Length (ft)	US Flowline (ft)	DS Flowline (ft)	Pipe Slope (ft/ft)	Manning's n	Pipe Size (in)	Pipe Area (sf)	Pipe Flow Capacity (cfs)
Prop. Pipe	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.006	0.015	8	0.35	0.813

The existing peak flow rate was modeled in the existing system to determine current capacity and service conditions. The location of contributing laterals from residential and non-residential developments were assumed based on the existing sanitary sewer layout. Table 5 gives a summary of the existing sanitary sewer impact under existing conditions. See Exhibit C for pipe performance models of the existing sanitary pipes under existing conditions.

**Table 5. Summary of Existing Sanitary Impact Under Existing Conditions**

	Contributing Residential and Non-Residential Developments	Pipe ID	Pipe Slope (ft/ft)	Pipe Size (in)	Existing Flow Rate (cfs)	Depth (ft)	Accumulative Flow Rate (cfs)	Accumulative Depth (ft)	Pipe Velocity (ft/s)
<b>East of RR</b>	Ex Residential South + Lumber	30-051	0.004	8	0.055	0.13	0.055	0.13	1.14
	Ex Residential North	30-031	0.006	8	0.036	0.10	0.091	0.15	1.53
	Office, Church, Hospital	30-014	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.112	0.17	1.58
	N/A	30-013	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.112	0.17	1.58
	N/A	30-010	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.112	0.17	1.58
<b>West of RR</b>	Ex Residential 3	30-047	0.006	8	0.058	0.13	0.058	0.13	1.20
	Ex Residential 4	30-029	0.006	8	0.072	0.14	0.130	0.19	1.57
	Storage Unit Facility	30-068	0.006	8	0.220	0.24	0.220	0.24	1.93
	Ex Residential 5	30-030	0.006	8	0.058	0.13	0.407	0.34	2.25
	Warehouse 1	30-026	0.006	8	0.043	0.11	0.450	0.36	2.32
	Warehouse 2	30-012	0.006	8	0.029	0.09	0.479	0.37	2.39
	Ex Residential 6	30-005	0.006	8	0.081	0.15	0.081	0.15	1.36
	N/A	30-006	0.006	8	0.081	0.15	0.081	0.15	1.36
	N/A	30-007	0.006	8	0.110	0.17	0.560	0.41	2.47
	N/A	30-008	0.006	8	0.110	0.17	0.560	0.41	2.47
	N/A	30-009	0.006	10	0.131	0.17	0.672	0.40	2.60

The proposed peak flow rate (along with the existing peak flowrate) was modeled in the existing system to determine if it is adequate to receive and convey the flows from the proposed development. Table 6 gives a summary of the existing sanitary sewer impact under proposed conditions. See Exhibit D for pipe performance models of the existing sanitary pipes under proposed conditions.

**Table 6. Summary of Existing Sanitary Impact Under Proposed Conditions**

	Contributing Residential and Non-Residential Developments	Pipe ID	Pipe Slope (ft/ft)	Pipe Size (in)	Existing Flow Rate (cfs)	Depth (ft)	Accumulative Flow Rate (cfs)	Accumulative Depth (ft)	Pipe Velocity (ft/s)
East of RR	Prop Development	Prop. Pipe	0.006	8	0.070	0.14	0.070	0.14	1.31
	Ex Residential South + Lumber	30-051	0.004	8	0.055	0.13	0.125	0.20	1.40
	Ex Residential North	30-031	0.006	8	0.036	0.10	0.161	0.20	1.81
	Office, Church, Hospital	30-014	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.182	0.22	1.79
	N/A	30-013	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.182	0.22	1.79
	N/A	30-010	0.006	8	0.021	0.08	0.182	0.22	1.79
West of RR	Ex Residential 3	30-047	0.006	8	0.058	0.13	0.058	0.13	1.20
	Ex Residential 4	30-029	0.006	8	0.072	0.14	0.130	0.19	1.57
	Storage Unit Facility	30.068	0.006	8	0.220	0.24	0.220	0.24	1.93
	Ex Residential 5	30-030	0.006	8	0.058	0.13	0.407	0.34	2.25
	Warehouse 1	30-026	0.006	8	0.043	0.11	0.450	0.36	2.32
	Warehouse 2	30-012	0.006	8	0.029	0.09	0.479	0.37	2.39
	Ex Residential 6	30-005	0.006	8	0.081	0.15	0.081	0.15	1.36
	N/A	30-006	0.006	8	0.081	0.15	0.081	0.15	1.36
	N/A	30-007	0.006	8	0.110	0.17	0.560	0.41	2.47
	N/A	30-008	0.006	8	0.110	0.17	0.560	0.41	2.47
	N/A	30-009	0.006	10	0.131	0.17	0.742	0.42	2.69

## SUMMARY

The proposed use identified herein results in an increase in the expected sanitary sewer flows as compared to the existing conditions. The existing infrastructure, however, is still adequate to receive and convey the sanitary sewer peak flows from the proposed townhome development in addition to the existing residential and non-residential developments peak flows. Table 7 provides a summary comparing the proposed system sanitary sewer peak flow rates to the existing sanitary sewer pipe capacities.

**Table 7. Summary of Sanitary Sewer Flow Rates vs. Pipe Flow Capacities**

	Contributing Residential and Non-Residential Developments	Pipe ID	Pipe Size (in)	Existing Flow Rate (cfs)	Accumulative Flow Rate (cfs)	Pipe Flow Capacity (cfs)
<b>East of RR</b>	<b>Prop Development</b>	Prop. Pipe	8	0.070	0.070	0.813
	<b>Ex Residential South + Lumber</b>	30-051	8	0.055	0.125	0.664
	<b>Ex Residential North</b>	30-031	8	0.036	0.161	0.813
	<b>Office, Church, Hospital</b>	30-014	8	0.021	0.182	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-013	8	0.021	0.182	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-010	8	0.021	0.182	0.813
<b>West of RR</b>	<b>Ex Residential 3</b>	30-047	8	0.058	0.058	0.813
	<b>Ex Residential 4</b>	30-029	8	0.072	0.130	0.813
	<b>Storage Unit Facility</b>	30.068	8	0.220	0.220	0.813
	<b>Ex Residential 5</b>	30-030	8	0.058	0.407	0.813
	<b>Warehouse 1</b>	30-026	8	0.043	0.450	0.813
	<b>Warehouse 2</b>	30-012	8	0.029	0.479	0.813
	<b>Ex Residential 6</b>	30-005	8	0.081	0.081	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-006	8	0.081	0.081	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-007	8	0.110	0.560	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-008	8	0.110	0.560	0.813
	<b>N/A</b>	30-009	10	0.131	0.742	1.475

It is our opinion that no modifications to the existing public sanitary sewer infrastructure will be required to accommodate the sanitary sewer peak flows from the proposed development. If you have any questions or need additional clarification, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,



Mick E. Slutter, P.E.  
[msslutter@ric-consult.com](mailto:msslutter@ric-consult.com)

Jon Daldalian, E.I.  
[jdaldalian@ric-consult.com](mailto:jdaldalian@ric-consult.com)

**RENAISSANCE INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTING**

# **Exhibit A**

## **Project Location Map**



# **Exhibit A**

## **Project Location Map**

# Exhibit A: Burton Townhomes Project Location Map



Oct 24, 2019 11:20am  
Z:\RIC Design\2018\18-0251 Burton Townhomes Lees Summit\Drawings\18-0251 Location Map.dwg



Exhibit A  
18-0251  
Prepared: 12/11/19



**Renaissance  
Infrastructure  
Consulting**

1815 MCGEE STREET, SUITE. 200  
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64108

816.800.0950  
WWW.RIC-CONSULT.COM




# **Exhibit B**

## **Project General Layout**

**LEGEND**


- |                                     |                                    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| --- Existing Section Line           | --- R/W --- Proposed Right-of-Way  |
| --- Existing Right-of-Way Line      | --- P/L --- Proposed Property Line |
| --- Existing Lot Line               | --- L/L --- Proposed Lot Line      |
| --- Existing Easement Line          | --- U/E --- Proposed Easement      |
| --- Existing Curb & Gutter          | --- Proposed Curb & Gutter         |
| --- Existing Sidewalk               | --- Proposed Sidewalk              |
| --- Existing Storm Sewer            | --- Proposed Storm Sewer           |
| □ Existing Storm Structure          | □ Proposed Storm Structure         |
| --- W/L --- Existing Waterline      | --- WATER --- Proposed Waterline   |
| --- GAS --- Existing Gas Main       | --- SS --- Proposed Sanitary Sewer |
| --- SAN --- Existing Sanitary Sewer | ○ Proposed Sanitary Manhole        |
| ⊙ Existing Sanitary Manhole         | --- Proposed Contour Major         |
| --- Existing Contour Major          | --- Proposed Contour Minor         |
| --- Existing Contour Minor          | --- Future Curb & Gutter           |
| --- Proposed Asphaltic Pavement     |                                    |

**Note:**  
1) All fencing constructed adjacent to PI zoning districts shall conform to City of Lee's Summit UDO Section 8.890 minimum buffer screen requirements.




\*This width may be used only in planned development where a minimum of 4 off street parking spaces are provided for each dwelling unit.

\*\*Must be approved by the local authority during the preliminary planned development stage under special conditions such as extremely hilly topography, preserving existing trees or other site conditions.



**Land Use Schedule**  
Total Floor Area = 23,007 SF  
Dwelling Units/AC (MAX 7.5/AC) = 7.0  
Land Area = 3.76 AC  
Parking Required: 26 (2/Unit)  
Parking Provided: 26  
Impervious Coverage = 2.43 AC  
Floor Area Ratio (FAR) = 0.14



# **Exhibit C**

## **Existing Sanitary Sewer**

Mar 13, 2019 12:03pm  
Z:\RIC Design\2018\18-0251 Burton Townhomes Lees Summit\Office\Utility Impact and Demand Statement\Sanitary Impact\18-0251 Sanitary Impact.dwg




Exhibit C

Existing Sanitary Sewer Layout  
 18-0251 Burton Townhomes  
 Lee's Summit, Jackson Co., Missouri

NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION
1	MES 12/28/19		ORIGINAL SUBMITTAL

**Renaissance Infrastructure Consulting**  
 913.317.9500  
 WWW.RIC-CONSULT.COM  
 132 ABBE AVENUE  
 KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 64108

# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-051 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.40

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.06

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.13

Q (cfs) = 0.055

Area (sqft) = 0.05


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.14

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.61

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.11

Top Width (ft) = 0.53

EGL (ft) = 0.15



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-031 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.09

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.15

Q (cfs) = 0.091

Area (sqft) = 0.06


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.53

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.66

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.14

Top Width (ft) = 0.56

EGL (ft) = 0.19





# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-014 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.11

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.17

Q (cfs) = 0.112

Area (sqft) = 0.07


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.58

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.71

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.16

Top Width (ft) = 0.58

EGL (ft) = 0.21



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-047 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.06

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.13

Q (cfs) = 0.058

Area (sqft) = 0.05


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.20

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.61

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.11

Top Width (ft) = 0.53

EGL (ft) = 0.15



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-029 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.13

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.19

Q (cfs) = 0.130

Area (sqft) = 0.08


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.57

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.75

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.17

Top Width (ft) = 0.60

EGL (ft) = 0.23



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-068 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.22

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.24

Q (cfs) = 0.220

Area (sqft) = 0.11


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.93

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.86

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.22

Top Width (ft) = 0.64

EGL (ft) = 0.30



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-030 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.41

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.34

Q (cfs) = 0.407

Area (sqft) = 0.18


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.25

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.07

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.30

Top Width (ft) = 0.67

EGL (ft) = 0.42



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-026 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.45

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.36

Q (cfs) = 0.450

Area (sqft) = 0.19


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.32

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.11

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.32

Top Width (ft) = 0.67

EGL (ft) = 0.44



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-012 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.48

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.37

Q (cfs) = 0.479

Area (sqft) = 0.20


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.39

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.13

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.33

Top Width (ft) = 0.67

EGL (ft) = 0.46



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-005 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.08

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.15

Q (cfs) = 0.081

Area (sqft) = 0.06


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.36

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.66

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.13

Top Width (ft) = 0.56

EGL (ft) = 0.18





# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-007 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.56

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.41

Q (cfs) = 0.560

Area (sqft) = 0.23


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.47

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.21

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.35

Top Width (ft) = 0.65

EGL (ft) = 0.50



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-009 Existing Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.83

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.67

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.40

Q (cfs) = 0.672

Area (sqft) = 0.26


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.60

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.27

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.37

Top Width (ft) = 0.83

EGL (ft) = 0.51



# **Exhibit D**

## **Proposed Conditions**

# Channel Report

## Prop. Pipe Proposed Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.07

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.14

Q (cfs) = 0.070

Area (sqft) = 0.05


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.31

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.64

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.12

Top Width (ft) = 0.54

EGL (ft) = 0.17



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-051 Proposed Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.40

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.13

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.20

Q (cfs) = 0.125

Area (sqft) = 0.09


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.40

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.78

Crit Depth,  $Y_c$  (ft) = 0.17

Top Width (ft) = 0.61

EGL (ft) = 0.23



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-031 Proposed Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.67

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.16

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.20

Q (cfs) = 0.161

Area (sqft) = 0.09


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.81

Wetted Perim (ft) = 0.78

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.19

Top Width (ft) = 0.61

EGL (ft) = 0.25



# Channel Report

## Pipe 30-009 Proposed Conditions

### Circular

Diameter (ft) = 0.83

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00

Slope (%) = 0.60

N-Value = 0.015

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q

Known Q (cfs) = 0.74

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.42

Q (cfs) = 0.742

Area (sqft) = 0.28


Velocity (ft/s) = 2.69

Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.32

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.38

Top Width (ft) = 0.83

EGL (ft) = 0.53



# **Exhibit E**

## **City of Lee's Summit As-Builts**




# CORRECTION TO BASE MAP

Date: 8-25-04 Map Number: SS-30 Reporter: T. REYNOLDS

Date found: 8-25-04 Date correction was submitted to PW: 8/31/04 WJS

Date correction was made on the computer & notification sent to WU: 10/13/05, Sent 10/17/05

In the area below, sketch the way the infrastructure should be shown on the base map. Include dimensions to assist in locating the item relative to the back-of-curb and other readily-visible features. If items are shown on the base map, but do not exist in the field, attach a marked up copy of the relevant portion of the base map indicating items to be deleted.



MA 30-067 DOES NOT EXIST MA 30-051 IS a DEADEND MH with ✓



30-031

30-051

3530