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## 1) INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to examine the potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed Hook Farms North development located north of SW Hook Road and west of SW Pryor Road in Lee's Summit, Missouri. The development will be constructed with access onto both SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road.

The study area is shown in Figure 1. The site layout is shown in Figure 2.

## 2) EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing site is located in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road. The property is currently used for agricultural purposes.

SW Pryor Road is a two-lane roadway adjacent to this property with a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour. SW Pryor Road is classified as a Major Arterial by the City of Lee's Summit's Thoroughfare Master Plan. SW Pryor road has been previously improved to conform to the City's Unimproved Roads Policy's Interim Roadway Status. The City of Lee's Summit has identified a future project on Pryor Road to improve the roadway to a four-lane cross with sidewalks and other infrastructure upgrades. Project limits for this improvement will be between Longview Road and M-150.

SW Hook Road is a two-lane road with a posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour. SW Hook Road is classified as a two-lane Minor Arterial by the City of Lee's Summit. There have already been improvements to Hook Road east of Ward Road. The City of Lee's Summit has identified the portion of SW Hook Road west of Ward Road for a future construction project. As part of this project's improvements the construction of paved shoulders would bring the roadway in compliance the City's Unimproved Roads Policy's Interim Roadway Status. The project will have limits from Ward Road to the western city limits and is scheduled for the summer of 2019.

Currently, the intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook road is unsignalized with stop signs controlling movements in all four directions.

There is a construction project identified for the stop-controlled intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Scherer Road, upgrading it to a signalized intersection. This proposed improvement is approximately 1.5 miles north of the proposed development. The project is scheduled for December of this year.

The proposed development site is bounded on the east by SW Pryor Road. Along the south boundary of the property is SW Hook Road. To the southeast of the site, are large lot single family homes. To the of the east of the site lies Hawthorn Hill Elementary School.

Peak Hour turning movement traffic counts for the intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road were conducted on a typical weekday in October of 2016 between the hours of 7:00 and 9:00 AM and from 4:00 to 6:00 PM. The peak hours were determined to be 7:45 to 8:45 in the AM and from $4: 45$ to $5: 45$ in the PM. The complete traffic counts are shown in Appendix II. The peak hour traffic volumes and existing lane configurations are shown in Figures 3-7.

## 3) APPROVED CONDITIONS

At the time that traffic counts were taken, there were several developments that were approved near the proposed Hook Farms North site that were not yet under construction. These developments are discussed in the following paragraphs.

## Whispering Woods

The approved Whispering Woods development will construct 164 single family units in the northeast quadrant of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road. The development will include two residential street connections to SW Pryor Road. Additionally, the Hawthorn Hill Elementary School entrance that is currently located onto SW Pryor Road will be relocated to travel through the Whispering Woods development, and will access SW Pryor Road through the southernmost residential street connection. The Whispering Woods development trips were distributed onto the SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road intersection as shown in the approved traffic impact study.

## Arborwalk North

The approved Arborwalk North single-family residential development is located on the south side of SW Hook Road between SW Ward Road and SW Pryor Road, to the east of Hook Farms North. The proposed development will have 204 single family units with two residential collector streets accessing SW Hook Road. The proposed residential streets will be constructed with 200 ' left turn lanes and 150 ' right turn lanes.

An approved traffic impact study was not provided for the Arborwalk North project. Based on 204 proposed homes, it was estimated that the AM Peak Hour volumes for this project would be 153 vehicles, and the PM Peak Hour traffic would be 204. A distribution similar to that discussed in section 6 was assumed, with the majority of the vehicles traveling to and from the north and south utilizing Ward Road.

## Arborwalk South

The approved Arborwalk South single-family residential development will be located east of Pryor Road and north of MO 150 Highway. The development will consist of 361 units, and will have access to MO 150, SW Pryor Road, and SW Ward Road through existing residential and collector streets.

An approved traffic impact study was not provided for the Arborwalk South project. Based on 361 proposed homes, it was estimated that the AM Peak Hour volumes for this project would be 262 vehicles, and the PM Peak Hour traffic would be 334. A distribution similar to that discussed in section 6 was assumed, with the majority of the vehicles traveling to and from the east, and west utilizing MO 150. The assumption was made that $75 \%$ of the vehicles traveling to and from the north would use SW Pryor Road.

## Summit View Farms

The proposed Summit View Farms development will be located one half mile west of SW Pryor Road and south of Hook Road. The project includes 122 units, and will have a single residential street connecting to SW Hook Road. The Summit View Farms development trips were distributed through the SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road intersection as illustrated in the traffic study provided.

The approved development trips were added to the existing traffic volumes and are illustrated in Figures 7 and 8 in Appendix I.

## 4) PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed site plan is shown in Figure 2. The proposed development consists of 258 units of Single Family Detached Residences.

The proposed development will have two entrances onto SW Hook Road and a single entrance onto SW Pryor Road.

## 5) TRIP GENERATION

The vehicle trips generated by the proposed development were estimated using the Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation, 10 th Edition. Land Use 210, Single Family Detached Residences, was used. The estimated AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes associated with these uses are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Trip Generation

| Land Use |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
|  |  | 2487 | 188 | 47 | 141 | 252 | 159 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total New Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 6) TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Trips generated by the Hook Farms North development were distributed based on existing traffic flows and a general analysis of the surrounding area. The trips were distributed onto the existing street system approximately as follows:

- 40 percent to/from the north on SW Pryor Road
- 30 percent to/from the south on SW Pryor Road
- 15 percent to/from the east via SW Hook Road
- 15 percent to/from the west via SW Hook Road

The proposed development trips are shown in Figures 11-12.

## 7) SIGNAL WARRANTS

The Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devised (MUTCD) peak hour signal warrants were checked for the intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road.

According to Warrant 3, the Peak Hour Warrant, the intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road is not met during the existing Peak Hours. However, because SW Pryor Road has a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour, the $70 \%$ factor was also considered for Warrant 3. When considering this factor, the intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road meets peak hour signal warrants during existing PM Peak Hour conditions.

The $70 \%$ factor is met during both the AM and PM Peak Hour when the approved, but not yet constructed projects (discussed in sections 3) have been built. The PM Peak Hour Warrant is also met in the PM Peak without the 70\% factor in this scenario.

Table 2 below summarizes the Peak Hour Signal Warrant.

| Table 2: Signal Warrants |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major Street <br> Volume | Minor Street <br> Volume | Peak Hour | Peak Hour <br> $(70 \%$ Factor) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Scenario | 580 | 124 | NO | NO |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pryor \& | Existing AM | 692 | 173 | NO | YES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hook | Existing PM | 724 | 188 | NO | YES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approved AM | 916 | 222 | YES | YES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Approved PM | 801 | 233 | NO | YES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Proposed AM | 1022 | 253 | YES | YES |  |  |  |  |  |

## 8) LEVEL OF SERVICE AND VOLUME/CAPACITY ANALYSES

Capacity analysis was used to quantify the impacts of the increased traffic on the intersections studied. The methodology outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition, was used as a basis to perform the analysis for this study. Capacity analysis defines the quality of traffic operation for an intersection using a grading system called Level of Service (LOS). The LOS is defined in terms of average vehicle delay. Levels of service A through $F$ have been established with $A$ representing the best and $F$ the worst.

## Table 3: Level of Service Definitions

| Level of Service | Unsignalized Intersection | Signalized Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ Seconds | $<10$ Seconds |
| B | $<15$ Seconds | $<20$ Seconds |
| C | $<25$ Seconds | $<35$ Seconds |
| D | $<35$ Seconds | $<55$ Seconds |
| E | $<50$ Seconds | $<80$ Seconds |
| F | $\geq 50$ Seconds | $\geq 80$ Seconds |

The study intersections were evaluated using Synchro, an analysis package based in part on Highway Capacity Manual methods. The analysis reports are included in Appendix II.

## Existing Conditions

The levels of service and lane configuration for existing conditions are shown in Figures 5 and 6 in Appendix I.

During the AM Peak Hour, the intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road experiences levels of service for individual movements at a level of service $C$ or better meeting the desired goal of the City's Level of Service Policy. During the PM Peak Hour, the intersection of SW

Pryor Road and SW Hook Road experiences levels of service for individual movements at a level of service C or better for all movements except through movements on SW Pryor Road. The northbound SW Pryor Road through movement has a level of service D and the southbound through movement has a level of service E. Both of these existing conditions fall below the goals for the City's Level of Service Policy.

## Existing + Approved Conditions

The levels of service and lane configuration, for the existing plus approved development scenario are shown in Figures 9 and 10 in Appendix I.

During the AM Peak Hour, the stop-controlled intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road meets the goals of the City's Level of Service Policy for movements on SW Hook Road in either direction. The combined through and right turn movement for northbound SW Pryor Road is a level of service E. The southbound through movement is a level of service D. All other movements on SW Pryor Road meet the City's goals stated in the Level of Service Policy.

During the PM Peak Hour, the goals stated in the City's Level of Service Policy are not met for through movements in all directions. All other movements meet the goals of the City's Level of Service Policy. The most severe delays are experienced on through movements on SW Pryor Road. The anticipated design queues associated with these delays will be approximately 24 vehicles for southbound SW Pryor Road through movements and approximately 12 vehicles for northbound through movements.

## Existing + Approved+ Proposed Conditions

The levels of service and lane configuration, for the ultimate buildout of the Hook Farms development are shown in Figures 13 and 14 in Appendix I.

During the AM Peak Hour, the stop-controlled intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road meets the goals of the City's Level of Service Policy for movements on SW Hook Road in either direction. The combined through and right turn movement for northbound SW Pryor Road is a level of service F. The southbound through movement is a level of service F. All other movements on SW Pryor Road meet the City's goals stated in the Level of Service Policy.

During the PM Peak Hour, the goals stated in the City's Level of Service Policy are not met for through movements in all directions. All other movements meet the goals of the City's Level of Service Policy. The most severe delays are experienced on through movements on SW Pryor Road. The anticipated design queues associated with these delays will be approximately 27 vehicles for southbound SW Pryor Road through movements and approximately 16 vehicles for northbound through movements.

Both proposed access points onto SW Hook Road and the proposed access point onto SW Pryor Road perform at a level of service C or better for all movements in both the AM and PM Peak Hours exceeding the stated goals of the City's Level of Service Policy.

## 9) TURN LANES AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT

According to the City of Lee's Summit Access Management Code, "Left-turn lanes shall be provided on minor arterial streets at the intersection with any local street or driveway where the left-turn volume is at least 20 vehicles in any hour" the 2018 amended Access Management Code, right turn lanes are "Required on arterial streets at each intersecting street or driveway where the right-turn volume on the major arterial street is or is projected to be at least 30
vehicles in any hour, or the right turn volume on the minor arterial street is or is projected to be at least 60 vehicles in any hour" In compliance with this code, a right and a left turn lane is required on Pryor Road. The City of Lee's Summit plans to improve both SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road in the future. An agreement between the developer and the City is needed to coordinate the improvements of the Developer and the City.

The spacing between the proposed Drive 1 entrance onto SW Hook Road (west access point) and Drive 2 (east access point) onto SW Hook Road is approximately 920'. The spacing between Drive 2 onto SW Hook Road and the intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road is approximately 1170'. The spacing between the entrance onto SW Pryor Road (Drive 3) and the intersection of SW Hook Road and SW Pryor Road is approximately 2140'. The spacing between Drive 3 and SW Eagle Drive is approximately 890'. The spacing of all intersections exceeds the Access Management Code minimum spacing requirements.

The site has been laid out to provide for good site circulation and future connectivity. There are two local road connections to SW Hook Road. Each connection will have 180' or more of throat length.

## 10) UNIMPROVED ROAD POLICY

The City of Lee's Summit Unimproved Road Policy outlines the relation to unimproved roads to proposed developments. Unimproved roads are typically those roads that are narrow in width with drainage ditches adjacent to the roadway. Traffic volumes provided from the City on June 21, 2017 indicate an existing 24 traffic volume of 2476 vehicles on SW Hook Road and 4393 vehicles on SW Pryor Road. The Unimproved Road Policy allows development up to 11000 vehicles per day when the road is brought to an interim standard with two 12-foot lanes and sixfoot grass shoulders. SW Pryor Road adjacent to this project has twelve-foot lanes and six-foot shoulders. Another project identified by the City of Lee's Summit will upgrade SW Hook Road to the interim standard.

To evaluate the total volume of traffic that will be generated by approved developments a comparison of was made calculated between of the total volumes observed during the June 21, 2017 count as compared to the 2016 PM Peak Hour count for the same intersection. The Peak Hour Volume for SW Hook road was found to be approximately $12 \%$ of the total volume on SW Hook. Similarly, SW Pryor Road was found to have a Peak Hour volume of approximately 16\% of the total volume on SW Pryor. It is estimated that on a 24 -hour basis, the approved developments will add an estimated 917 additional vehicles on SW Hook Road and 900 additional vehicles on SW Pryor Road. Using a similar methodology, 433 additional vehicles will be added to SW Hook Road and 481 vehicles on SW Pryor Road will be added at this location. This will result on a total volume on SW Hook road of 3,826 and a total volume on SW Pryor Road of 5,774 .

## 11) SIGHT DISTANCE

Intersection sight distance and stopping sight distance were measured for the proposed Drives 1, 2, and 3. Intersection sight distance represents the distance and time required for the drive to make the decision to turn and to complete the turn without slowing oncoming traffic. Stopping sight distance represents the distance and time required for the drive to detect an object in the roadway and safely come to a stop. Both of these measurements are typically taken from a point that is fourteen feet from the edge of the pavement to simulate the conditions of an average passenger car driver stopped on the minor roadway of an intersection. Measurements
on SW Pryor Road were taken at a point that was approximately 6.5 ' from the edge of and measurements performed on SW Hook Road were performed at a location approximately 2‘ from the edge of pavement to approximate the future elevation of the proposed entrances. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Sight Distance Values

|  | Access <br> Management <br> Code Required <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Measured <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Access <br> Management <br> Code Required <br> ntersection Sight <br> Distance | Measured <br> Intersection <br> Sight Distance |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drive 1 (40 MPH design) |  | $>670^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| To the West | $275^{\prime}$ | $>670^{\prime}$ | $470^{\prime}$ | $>440^{\prime}$ |
| To the East | $275^{\prime}$ |  |  | $>670^{\prime}$ |
| Drive 2 (40 MPH design) |  | $>670^{\prime}$ | $470^{\prime}$ |  |
| To the West | $275^{\prime}$ |  | $440^{\prime}$ | $>670^{\prime}$ |
| To the East | $275^{\prime}$ |  |  | $>670^{\prime}$ |
| Drive 3 (50 MPH design) |  | $>670^{\prime}$ | $550^{\prime}$ |  |
| To the North | $400^{\prime}$ |  | $590^{\prime}$ | $>670^{\prime}$ |
| To the South | $400^{\prime}$ |  | $>670^{\prime}$ |  |

## 12) RECOMMENDATIONS \& CONCLUSIONS

This study documents the impact of the proposed Hook Farms North Development on adjacent intersection during the AM and PM peak hours. Based on the findings of this report, the following improvements are recommended:

- Construction of a 150' plus taper length southbound right-turn lane into the SW Pryor Road entrance
- Construction of a 200' plus taper length northbound left-turn lane into SW Pryor Road entrance

The intersection of SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road meets peak hour signal warrants during the existing PM Peak Hour based upon the $70 \%$ Factor discussed in section 7 of this report. When adding the additional traffic generated by other approved developments, the intersection will meet both AM and PM 70\% Factor which could warrant signalization. The existing plus approved scenario also meets the PM Peak Hour warrant without including the 70\% Factor. For the existing plus approved scenario, there are diminished levels of service for through movements, as discussed in Section 8 that do not meet the City's Level of Service Policy for Stop Controlled Intersections.

It is recommended that the City consider signalization of the SW Pryor Road and SW Hook Road intersection.

No additional improvements are necessary as a result of this development.
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## APPENDIX II

| Peak Hour Traffic Counts |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Synchro Reports | Pages 1 |
| Existing AM Peak Hour | Pages 2 |
| Existing PM Peak Hour | Pages 3 |
| Existing + Approved Development AM Peak Hour | Pages 4 |
| Existing + Approved Development PM Peak Hour | Pages 5-8 |
| Existing + Proposed + Approved Development AM Peak Hour | Pages 9-12 |


|  | A | C | D | E | H | 1 | J | M | N | 0 | R | S | T | V | W | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Time | SB <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WB Right | WB <br> Thru | WB Left | NB Right | NB <br> Thru | NB Left | EB Right | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EB } \\ \text { Thru } \end{gathered}$ | EB Left |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 07:00 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 |  |
| 4 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 5 | 07:30 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 53 |  |
| 6 | 07:45 | 4 | 47 | 24 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 51 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 12 |  | 206 | 269 |
| 7 | 08:00 | 3 | 51 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 45 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 12 |  | 207 | 466 |
| 8 | 08:15 | 6 | 38 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 41 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 5 |  | 142 | 608 |
| 9 | 08:30 | 4 | 24 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 9 |  | 113 | 668 |
| 10 | 08:45 | 2 | 40 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 5 |  | 116 | 578 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 17 | 160 | 61 | 40 | 33 | 20 | 21 | 185 | 12 | 6 | 75 | 38 |  | 668 |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | AM Peak Hour Count SW Pryaor road @SW Hook Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 10/5/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | A | C | D | E | H | 1 | J | M | N | 0 | R | S | T | W | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Time | SB Right | SB Thru | SB Left | WB Right | WB <br> Thru | WB Left | NB Right | NB Thru | NB Left | EB Right | EB Thru | EB Left |  |  |
| 2 | 16:00 | 8 | 55 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 165 |  |
| 3 | 16:15 | 10 | 53 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 155 |  |
| 4 | 16:30 | 8 | 66 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 51 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 9 | 208 |  |
| 5 | 16:45 | 4 | 76 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 4 | 61 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 233 | 761 |
| 6 | 17:00 | 6 | 54 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 64 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 9 | 219 | 815 |
| 7 | 17:15 | 7 | 60 | 6 | 17 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 59 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 228 | 888 |
| 8 | 17:30 | 9 | 78 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 244 | 924 |
| 9 | 17:45 | 8 | 57 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 65 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 9 | 225 | 916 |
| 10 | 18:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | 26 | 268 | 43 | 67 | 63 | 41 | 18 | 244 | 28 | 32 | 60 | 34 | 924 |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | PM Peak Hour SW Pryor Road @ SW Hook Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 10/5/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 14.6 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 75 | 6 | 20 | 33 | 45 | 12 | 210 | 21 | 86 | 227 | 24 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 75 | 6 | 20 | 33 | 45 | 12 | 210 | 21 | 86 | 227 | 24 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 54 | 119 | 8 | 40 | 48 | 54 | 24 | 231 | 32 | 134 | 291 | 34 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.5 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 12 | 231 | 43 | 81 | 20 | 78 | 86 | 227 | 24 |
| LT Vol | 12 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 210 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 227 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 24 | 263 | 54 | 127 | 40 | 102 | 134 | 291 | 34 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.05 | 0.509 | 0.121 | 0.262 | 0.09 | 0.204 | 0.27 | 0.544 | 0.056 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.546 | 6.973 | 7.985 | 7.424 | 8.127 | 7.207 | 7.234 | 6.726 | 6.015 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 475 | 517 | 449 | 484 | 441 | 498 | 500 | 538 | 599 |
| Service Time | 5.286 | 4.713 | 5.73 | 5.169 | 5.876 | 4.956 | 4.934 | 4.426 | 3.715 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.051 | 0.509 | 0.12 | 0.262 | 0.091 | 0.205 | 0.268 | 0.541 | 0.057 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.7 | 16.8 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | C | $B$ | $B$ | $B$ | $B$ | $B$ | C | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 26.5 |
| Intersection LOS | D |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 35 | 60 | 32 | 41 | 63 | 69 | 28 | 244 | 18 | 51 | 321 | 31 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 35 | 60 | 32 | 41 | 63 | 69 | 28 | 244 | 18 | 51 | 321 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 44 | 95 | 43 | 82 | 91 | 83 | 56 | 268 | 27 | 80 | 412 | 44 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 15 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 28 | 262 | 35 | 92 | 41 | 132 | 51 | 321 | 31 |
| LT Vol | 28 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 244 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 321 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 18 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 56 | 295 | 44 | 138 | 82 | 174 | 80 | 412 | 44 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.135 | 0.665 | 0.115 | 0.328 | 0.207 | 0.397 | 0.182 | 0.882 | 0.085 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.667 | 8.104 | 9.339 | 8.573 | 9.078 | 8.189 | 8.23 | 7.718 | 7.001 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 415 | 446 | 384 | 419 | 395 | 440 | 437 | 473 | 513 |
| Service Time | 6.389 | 5.825 | 7.096 | 6.33 | 6.83 | 5.94 | 5.948 | 5.436 | 4.719 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.135 | 0.661 | 0.115 | 0.329 | 0.208 | 0.395 | 0.183 | 0.871 | 0.086 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.7 | 25.5 | 13.3 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 45.3 | 10.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | D | $B$ | $C$ | $B$ | $C$ | $B$ | E | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.5 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 0.3 |


| Intersection |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 25.7 |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 66 | 98 | 24 | 32 | 56 | 59 | 18 | 276 | 25 | 100 | 267 | 38 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 66 | 98 | 24 | 32 | 56 | 59 | 18 | 276 | 25 | 100 | 267 | 38 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 84 | 156 | 32 | 64 | 81 | 71 | 36 | 303 | 38 | 156 | 342 | 54 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 17.7 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 35.1 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 18 | 301 | 66 | 122 | 32 | 115 | 100 | 267 | 38 |
| LT Vol | 18 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 276 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 267 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 25 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 36 | 341 | 84 | 188 | 64 | 152 | 156 | 342 | 54 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.09 | 0.8 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.173 | 0.373 | 0.377 | 0.776 | 0.111 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 9.015 | 8.439 | 9.48 | 8.823 | 9.706 | 8.819 | 8.68 | 8.165 | 7.445 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 397 | 430 | 378 | 409 | 369 | 408 | 414 | 442 | 481 |
| Service Time | 6.77 | 6.194 | 7.241 | 6.583 | 7.469 | 6.581 | 6.434 | 5.919 | 5.198 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.091 | 0.793 | 0.222 | 0.46 | 0.173 | 0.373 | 0.377 | 0.774 | 0.112 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.7 | 37.5 | 14.9 | 18.9 | 14.5 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 34.1 | 11.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | E | B | C | B | C | C | D | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 0.4 |


| Intersection |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 82.7 |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | F |  | \% | 1 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 55 | 86 | 47 | 49 | 86 | 87 | 56 | 304 | 31 | 70 | 396 | 59 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 55 | 86 | 47 | 49 | 86 | 87 | 56 | 304 | 31 | 70 | 396 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 70 | 137 | 63 | 98 | 125 | 105 | 112 | 334 | 47 | 109 | 508 | 83 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 23.8 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 65.3 |  |  | 144.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $0 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 56 | 335 | 55 | 133 | 49 | 173 | 70 | 396 | 59 |
| LT Vol | 56 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 304 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 396 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 112 | 381 | 70 | 199 | 98 | 229 | 109 | 508 | 83 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.311 | 0.997 | 0.208 | 0.555 | 0.287 | 0.618 | 0.303 | 1.332 | 0.201 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 10.643 | 10.051 | 11.557 | 10.773 | 11.314 | 10.421 | 9.963 | 9.443 | 8.715 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 340 | 362 | 313 | 337 | 319 | 349 | 361 | 386 | 412 |
| Service Time | 8.343 | 7.751 | 9.257 | 8.473 | 9.014 | 8.121 | 7.716 | 7.196 | 6.468 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.329 | 1.052 | 0.224 | 0.591 | 0.307 | 0.656 | 0.302 | 1.316 | 0.201 |
| HCM Control Delay | 18.1 | 79.2 | 17.3 | 26.1 | 18.5 | 28.6 | 17 | 193.1 | 13.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | F | C | D | C | D | C | F | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 1.3 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 23.7 | 0.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 个 | $\mathbf{r}$ | rin |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 210 | 132 | 8 | 23 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 210 | 132 | 8 | 23 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 150 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 228 | 143 | 9 | 25 | 4 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 36.3 |
| Intersection LOS | E |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 4 | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 90 | 105 | 38 | 32 | 58 | 64 | 23 | 285 | 25 | 114 | 295 | 59 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 90 | 105 | 38 | 32 | 58 | 64 | 23 | 285 | 25 | 114 | 295 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 114 | 167 | 51 | 64 | 84 | 77 | 46 | 313 | 38 | 178 | 378 | 83 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.9 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 50.2 |  |  | 41.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 23 | 310 | 90 | 143 | 32 | 122 | 114 | 295 | 59 |
| LT Vol | 23 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 285 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 295 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 25 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 46 | 351 | 114 | 217 | 64 | 161 | 178 | 378 | 83 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.125 | 0.9 | 0.321 | 0.57 | 0.188 | 0.434 | 0.461 | 0.925 | 0.187 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 9.812 | 9.233 | 10.151 | 9.44 | 10.59 | 9.688 | 9.326 | 8.809 | 8.085 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 364 | 393 | 353 | 380 | 338 | 371 | 385 | 412 | 442 |
| Service Time | 7.599 | 7.02 | 7.941 | 7.23 | 8.389 | 7.486 | 7.109 | 6.591 | 5.866 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.126 | 0.893 | 0.323 | 0.571 | 0.189 | 0.434 | 0.462 | 0.917 | 0.188 |
| HCM Control Delay | 14 | 54.9 | 17.7 | 24.1 | 15.8 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 57.8 | 12.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | F | C | C | C | C | C | F | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.4 | 9.3 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 0.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


HCMLOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1434 | - | - | -672 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.001 | - | - | -0.032 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 0 | - | -10.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |
| H | 0.1 |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 215 | 229 | 26 | 15 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 215 | 229 | 26 | 15 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 150 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 234 | 249 | 28 | 16 | 3 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 107.3 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{17}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 83 | 91 | 56 | 49 | 94 | 103 | 72 | 336 | 31 | 79 | 415 | 89 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 83 | 91 | 56 | 49 | 94 | 103 | 72 | 336 | 31 | 79 | 415 | 89 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 105 | 144 | 75 | 98 | 136 | 124 | 144 | 369 | 47 | 123 | 532 | 125 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 28.9 |  |  | 34.9 |  |  | 104.8 |  |  | 174.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 72 | 367 | 83 | 147 | 49 | 197 | 79 | 415 | 89 |
| LT Vol | 72 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 336 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 415 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 31 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 144 | 416 | 105 | 219 | 98 | 260 | 123 | 532 | 125 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.424 | 1.16 | 0.328 | 0.637 | 0.302 | 0.74 | 0.356 | 1.458 | 0.319 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 11.508 | 10.918 | 12.464 | 11.655 | 12.302 | 11.39 | 10.899 | 10.375 | 9.642 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 315 | 337 | 290 | 311 | 294 | 320 | 332 | 356 | 375 |
| Service Time | 9.208 | 8.618 | 10.164 | 9.355 | 10.002 | 9.09 | 8.599 | 8.075 | 7.342 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.457 | 1.234 | 0.362 | 0.704 | 0.333 | 0.813 | 0.37 | 1.494 | 0.333 |
| HCM Control Delay | 22.4 | 133.3 | 21.1 | 32.7 | 20.2 | 40.5 | 19.5 | 248.1 | 16.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | F | $C$ | $D$ | $C$ | $E$ | $C$ | F | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2 | 15.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 26.9 | 1.3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | P | $\mathbf{7}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 209 | 213 | 19 | 11 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 209 | 213 | 19 | 11 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 150 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 227 | 232 | 21 | 12 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 253 | 0 | - | 0 | 465 | 232 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 232 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 233 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1312 | - | - | - | 556 | 807 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 807 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 806 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1312 | - | - | - | 554 | 807 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 554 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 805 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 806 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | SB |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 0 | 11.3 |  |  |  |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1312 | - | - | -582 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -0.024 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0 | - | -11.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |


[^0]:    LEGEND
    $>\mathrm{HCM}$ LOS

    - Stop Sign
    (A) Traffic Signal LOS

