

November 17, 2022

Pella Building Systems, Inc. 906 West 9th Pella, IA 50219

RE: Mini-storage Foundation – Lee's Summit, MO

All,

Soils 12" under the slabs and turn downs shall be replaced with fill that meets the criteria of the ASCE 32 for non-frost susceptible layers. Therefore, the shallow foundation design is adequate as per the 2015 International Building Code with reference to the ASCE 32.

From IBC 2015:

1809.5 Frost Protection

Except where otherwise protected from frost, foundations and other permanent supports of buildings and structures shall be protected from frost by one or more of the following methods:

- Extending below the frost line of the locality.
- Constructing in accordance with ASCE 32.
- Erecting on solid rock.

From ASCE 32:

4.1 GENERAL

In regions of seasonal ground freezing, shallow foundations not extending below the design frost depth shall be protected against frost heave by one or more of the following methods:

1. use of non-frost-susceptible layers of undisturbed ground or fill materials (Section 4.2);

 insulation of foundations to mitigate frost penetration and effects of frost heave (Section 4.3); or
 approved design and details supported by engineering analysis.

4.2 FOUNDATIONS ON NON-FROSTSUSCEPTIBLE GROUND OR FILL MATERIAL

Foundations placed on a layer of well-drained, undisturbed ground or fill material that is not susceptible to frost shall have the thickness of such a layer included in meeting the design frost depth defined in Section 3.2. Undisturbed granular soils or fill material with less than 6% of mass passing a #200 (0.074 mm) mesh sieve in accordance with ASTM D422 and other approved non–frost-susceptible materials shall be considered non–frost-susceptible. Classification of frost susceptibility of soil shall be determined by a soils or geotechnical engineer, unless otherwise approved.



The soils investigation, prepared by Intertek-PSI., is attached for reference.

Thank you for allowing R.K.J. Engineering, LLC to be of service. Please call with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Richard K. Joyce, P.E.





Intertek-PSI 1211 W. Cambridge Circle Drive Kansas City, Kansas 66103

Tel +1 913 310 1600 Fax +1 913 310 1601 intertek.com/building

June 10, 2021

Mr. Josh Wilson WHD Management PO Box 1059 Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Services Report Proposed Lot 1 Development NE Town Centre Drive and NE Town Centre Boulevard Lee's Summit, Missouri PSI Project Number: 03382230

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Thank you for choosing **Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI), an Intertek company**, as your consultant for the Proposed Lot 1 Development project in Lee's Summit, Missouri. Per your authorization, PSI has completed a geotechnical engineering study for the referenced project.

Should there be questions pertaining to this report, please contact our office at (913) 310-1600. PSI would be pleased to continue providing geotechnical services throughout the implementation of the project, and we look forward to working with you and your organization on this and future projects.

Respectfully submitted, Professional Service Industries, Inc.

Courtney Dieckmann Project Manager Geotechnical Services

Robert A. Trompke, PE (Florida) Principal Consultant

21500

Kelly E. Rotert, PE, DBIA Vice President



intertek 05

Geotechnical Services Report Proposed Lot 1 Development NE Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Centre Blvd. Lee's Summit, Missouri PSI Report No. 03382230 June 10, 2021 Geotechnical Engineering Services Report

for the Proposed Lot 1 Development NE Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Centre Blvd. Lee's Summit, Missouri

Prepared for

WHD Management PO Box 1059 Lee's Summit, Missouri 64063

Prepared by

Professional Service Industries, Inc. 1211 West Cambridge Circle Drive Kansas City, Kansas 66103

June 10, 2021

PSI Project 03382230

intertek.

Robert A. Trompke, P.E. (FL) Principal Consultant Geotechnical Services

Courtweik

Courtney Dieckmann, E.I. Staff Engineer Geotechnical Services



The above Professional Engineering Seal and signature is an electronic reproduction of the original seal and signature. An original hard copy was sent to the client listed on this document. This electronic reproduction shall not be construed as an original or certified document.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT INFORMATION	• 1
Project Authorization Project Description Purpose and Scope of Services	1
SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	3
Site Location and Description Site History (Timeline) Geology Exploration Procedures and Subsurface Conditions Subsurface Conditions Water Level Measurements	5 6 6
GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION	. 7
Geotechnical Discussion Shear Strength and Compressibility of Soil High Plasticity Clay Shallow Rock Shallow Ground Water/Moisture Sensitive Soils Soil Compaction	7 8 8 8
GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS	. 9
GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS Site Preparation	9 11 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17
Site Preparation High Plasticity Clay Considerations Foundation Recommendations Footing Excavations and Backfilling Earthquake and Seismic Design Consideration Floor Slab Recommendations Utilities Trenching Pavement Recommendations Asphalt Pavement Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Pavement Subgrade Preparation Pavement Drainage & Maintenance	9 11 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 17 17



GEOTECHNICAL RISK	. 19
REPORT LIMITATIONS	19

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Site Vicinity Map
- Appendix B Boring Location Plan
- Appendix C Boring Logs
- Appendix D General Notes/Soil Classification Chart
- Appendix E Drilled, Field and Lab Testing Procedures
- Appendix F Laboratory Data

(in)

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Authorization

The following table summarizes, in chronological order, the Project Authorization History for the services performed and represented in this report by Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI).

PROJECT TITLE: PROPOSED LOT 1 DEVELOPMENT				
Document and Reference Number Date Requested/Provided By				
Request for Proposal	2/25/21	Mr. Chris Hafner of Davidson A+E		
PSI Proposal Number: 0338336170	3/02/21	Mr. Darrin Wilson and Mr. Kelly Rotert of PSI		
Notice to Proceed	04/02/21	Mr. Chris Hafner of Davidson A+E		

Project Description

PSI understands that the project consists of a commercial development including construction of eight new, 1story buildings, ranging from 7,500 to 20,130 square feet in plan area.

The following table lists the material and information provided for this project:

DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	PROVIDER/SOURCE	DATED	
Plan Sheet 20231A1-1-A1.1	Davidson A+E	12/16/20	

The following table lists the structural loads and site features that are required for or are the design basis for the conclusions of this report:

STRUCTURAL LOAD/PROPERTY REQUIREMENT/REPORT BASIS					
BUILDING			B *		
Maximum Column Loads	150 kips		Х		
Maximum Wall Loads	5 kips per lineal foot		Х		
Finish Floor Type Slab on Grade; Shallow Foundations			Х		
Maximum Floor Loads 150 psf			Х		
Settlement Tolerances 1 inch total, ¾ inch Differential			Х		
PAV	PAVEMENTS				
Pavement 18-kip ESAL (cycle & duration)	Light Duty- 30,000 ESAL Heavy Duty – 60,000 ESAL; with a life expectancy of 20 years		х		
GI	GRADING				
Planned Grade Variations at Site	Up to 5 feet		Х		

*"R" = Requirement indicates specific design information was supplied.

*"B" = Report Basis indicates specific design information was not supplied; therefore, this report is based on this parameter.



A 7.500 sp. ft. 14 parking spages 37,755 sq. ft. .87 ac. .93 ac.	E 12,600 sq. ft. 21 parking spaces 72,724 sq.ft. 1.67 ac
C 9,000 sp. ft. 17 parking spages 40,340 sp.ft. .03 ac. D 9,000 sp. ft. 17 parking spages 43,542 sp.ft. 1.00 ac.	F 9.000 sq. ft. 27 parking spaces 47.344 sq.ft. 41.370 sq.ft. 41.370 sq.ft. 41.370 sq.ft. 41.370 sq.ft.
100.569 sq. ft.	
2.31ac	D-Bat 20,130 sg. ft. 38 parking spaces 80,789 sg. ft. 1.85 ac.
	NE Town Contro Dr

The following image of the site plan was provided to PSI for the preparation of this project:

Figure 1. Preliminary Site Plan

The geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are based on the available project information, building location, and the subsurface materials described in this report. If the noted information is incorrect, please inform PSI in writing so that we may amend the recommendations presented in this report if appropriate and if desired by the client. PSI will not be responsible for the implementation of its recommendations when it is not notified of changes in the project.

Purpose and Scope of Services

The purpose of this study was to explore the subsurface conditions within the site to evaluate and provide recommendations for site preparation and grading and for design of foundation and pavement section systems for the proposed construction. PSI's contracted scope of services included drilling twenty-nine (29) soil test borings at the site, select laboratory testing, and preparation of this geotechnical report. This report briefly outlines the testing procedures, presents available project information, describes the site and subsurface conditions, and presents recommendations regarding the following:



- A discussion of subsurface conditions encountered including recommended soil properties, site location plan, boring location plan, boring logs, site profiles, and laboratory data.
- Grading procedures for site development.
- Foundation types, depths, allowable bearing capacities and settlement.
- Seismic parameters for use in design.
- Floor Slab Recommendations.
- Pavement section design and pavement subgrade preparation.
- Comments regarding geotechnical factors that will impact construction and performance of the proposed construction.

The scope of services did not include an environmental assessment for determining the presence or absence of wetlands, or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, bedrock, surface water, groundwater, or air on, below, or around this site. Any statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odors, colors, and unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for informational purposes. PSI's scope also did not provide any service to investigate or detect the presence of moisture, mold or other biological contaminants in or around any structure, or any service that was designed or intended to prevent or lower the risk of the occurrence or the amplification of the same. Client should be aware that mold is ubiquitous to the environment with mold amplification occurring when building materials are impacted by moisture.

SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Site Location and Description

The approximate 11.6-acre site for the Proposed Lot 1 Development is located in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of NE Town Centre Drive and NE Town Centre Boulevard in Lee's Summit, Missouri. The property is bordered by vacant/farmland to the north and east, NE Town Centre Drive to the south, and NE Town Centre Boulevard to the west. At the time of drilling, the site was covered with grass. The site had visual difference in elevation of about 20 feet and generally slopes downwards to the south-southwest. The site latitude and longitude are approximately 38.9508° and -94.3676°, respectively. The following is an aerial image from 2020 and generally illustrates the site conditions at the time of drilling:





Figure 2. Aerial Site Photo – April 2020



Site History (Timeline)

Based on historical images obtained from Google Earth[™], the site has been used primiarily for agricultural purposes going back to at least March 1990. NE Town Centre Drive was built between 1996 and 2002.



Figure 3. Historical Aerial Site Photo – March 1990



Geology

According to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources - 2003 Geologic Map of Missouri, the bedrock of the subject area belongs to the Kansas City Group, which consists of cyclic deposits of shale, sandstone, siltstone, clay and limestone with several significant coal beds.




Figure 4. Missouri Department of Natural Resources - 2003 Geologic Map of Missouri

Exploration Procedures and Subsurface Conditions

The soil borings were performed with a truck-mounted rotary head drill rig and were advanced using 3¼-inch inside diameter hollow-stem augers. Representative samples were obtained employing split-spoon and thin-wall tube sampling procedures in general accordance with ASTM procedures. The laboratory testing program was conducted in general accordance with applicable ASTM specifications. The results of these tests are to be found on the accompanying boring logs located in the Appendix.

Subsurface Conditions

The site subsurface conditions were explored with twenty-nine (29) soil test borings. Nineteen (19) of these borings were drilled within the proposed building area and ten (10) borings were drilled within parking and drive areas. Building boring depths ranged from 5 feet to 20 feet and pavement borings were drilled to depths ranged from 7.5 feet to 10 feet.

The boring locations and depths were suggested by PSI and reviewed with the client prior to drilling. PSI personnel staked the borings in the field by measuring distances from available surface features.

Based on the borings performed by PSI, the subsurface conditions generally comprised clay underlain by shale. Some of the shae was noted to be in a weathered condition. Based on results of laboratory testing and



visual classification, the soils were classified as low to high plasticity clays (CL and CH material) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The standard penetration N-values within these fine-grained soils generally indicate stiff consistencies. Overall, the moisture contents of the fine-grained soils varied from 17 to 64 percent. The shale had SPT N-values ranging from 7 blows per foot to 50 blows for 2 inches of sample spoon penentration.

Auger refusal materials were encountered within some of the borings. Refusal is a designation applied to materials that cannot be further penetrated by the power auger with ordinary effort and is normally indicative of a very hard or very dense material, such as competent shale. Rock coring was beyond the scope of this exploration; therefore, the character and continuity of the refusal materials could not be determined.

The above subsurface description is of a generalized nature to highlight the major subsurface stratification features and material characteristics. The boring logs included in the Appendix should be reviewed for specific information at individual boring locations. These records include soil/rock descriptions, stratifications, penetration resistances, and locations of the samples and laboratory test data. The stratifications shown on the boring logs represent the conditions only at the actual boring locations. Variations may occur and should be expected between and away from PSI's boring locations. The stratifications represent the approximate boundary between subsurface materials and the actual transition may be gradual. Water level information obtained during field operations is also shown on these boring logs. The samples that were not altered by laboratory testing will be retained for sixty (60) days from the date of this report and then will be discarded.

Water Level Measurements

Free water was observed in some, but not all of, the borings and was measured at depths between 1.5 and 14 feet in the borings upon completion, indicating that groundwater at the site at the time of the exploration was either below the terminated depths of some of the borings, or that the soils encountered are relatively impermeable. Although free water was not encountered in some of the borings at this time, water can be present within the depths explored during other times of the year depending upon climatic and rainfall conditions. The water level measurements presented in this report are the levels that were measured at the time of PSI's field activities.

GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION

Geotechnical Discussion

There are five primary geotechnical characteristics at this site, which will affect the selection and performance of the foundations for this structure and the development of the site. The following summarizes those concerns:

- 1. The shear strength and compressibility of the upper soils will control the behavior of the proposed structures.
- 2. High plasticity "fat" clays were encountered in the exploration that could require remediation.
- 3. Shallow rock was encountered in the building area that could be difficult to excavate for general grading, footings and utility trenches.
- 4. Relatively wet and moisture sensitive soils were encountered in the upper portions of the borings and equipment mobility difficulty may be anticipated.
- 5. Drying of some of the on site soils may be required to achieve proper compaction during grading.

Shear Strength and Compressibility of Soil

The primary geotechnical property controlling the bearing capacity and compressibility of the soils bearing the



applied loads is the shear strength of the clay soil. Based on 2 feet of cut or fill and a shallow foundation bearing at a depth of 3 feet below exterior or adjacent grades, the applied foundation load on a shallow foundation up to 4 feet wide will be distributed through the 8 to 12 feet of soil generally beneath the footing. PSI believes the shear strength of the soils in this zone ranges from 900 psf to 2,000 psf, with shear strength exceeding 2,500 psf in the shale and limestone zones. PSI anticipates that an engineered fill placed as recommend in this report would have a minimum shear strength of 1,800 psf. This shear strength is considered "undrained" or a "total stress" parameter and will be used in conjunction with other physical and geometric parameters to calculate an allowable bearing capacity.

High Plasticity Clay

High plasticity "fat" clays are present in the project area that may expand and shrink thereby impacting the proposed construction. Where these soils are within about two feet of lightly loaded structural features or slabs and 1 foot of pavements, remediation is recommended or class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the high plastic clays can be performed. Class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the high plastic clay would reduce the plasticity index, improve workability, promote drying, and reduce shrink/swell potential. Lightly loaded structures are defined as having normal operating loads of less than 2 kips per linear foot for walls and 50 kips for columns. Fat clays have the potential for volume change with changes in the soil moisture content. In severe cases, movement and distress to footings and foundation walls can occur, although a severe case is not obviously apparent at this site. Remedial measures are recommended in select areas of the site to reduce the shrink/swell potential. Grading the subgrade to drain and not trap water below the slabs and pavements is recommended to further reduce the potential of distress from these soils.

Shallow Rock

Weathered rock consisting of weathered shale and caly shale was encountered as shallow as 5 feet below the ground surface in some of the borings. Auger refusal was also encountered in 14 of the 29 broings raningg from 5feet elow the ground surface to 15½ feet below the ground surface. Excavation machinery equipped with rock chippers may be required in some locations. In addition, intact ledges or boulders of sound and hard rock may be encountered within the weathered shale and auger refusal material layers that could increase the excavation difficulty.

Shallow Ground Water/Moisture Sensitive Soils

The presence of shallow groundwater and potentially moisture sensitive shallow soils will increase the difficulty of site grading. PSI has been involved with projects in this region where these soils can undergo a loss of stability during wetter portions of the year. PSI anticipates that the soils at their current moisture levels will become easily disturbed if subjected to conventional rubber tire or narrow track-type equipment resulting in a loss of strength and characteristic "pumping". Soils that become disturbed would need to be excavated and replaced; however, this remedial excavation may expose progressively wetter soils with depth, thus compounding the condition. Thus, a normal approach to subgrade preparation may not be possible. In the event these conditions are observed, PSI recommends that the following remediation procedures be considered to further stabilize wet/soft areas if typical surface moisture conditioning/disking/recompacting methods are not affective.

1. Track in 3 to 5-inch minus well-graded crushed limestone or similar material into the failing areas to attempt to bridge the soft zones. These materials should be placed in loose lifts of no more than 10 inches and tracked in with a loaded rubber tire truck or beat in with a backhoe bucket. Once the areas are stabilized onsite soils then be placed to the recommended low volume change material subgrade elevation for pavements. If for some reason areas do not stabilize with 1 to 2 lifts of stone, a layer of



grid or fabric may need to be incorporated into those areas at that time, followed by additional lifts of stone consisting of $\frac{3}{4}$ inch minus materials (AB-3).

2. A second option would be to place geo grid similar to Tensar BX1100 and then place new granular fill similar to ³/₄-inch minus material in compacted lifts. The grid should extend at least 10 feet past the perimeter of the failing areas and should be overlapped according to the manufactures requirements. If the area does not stabilize by the second lift of ³/₄ inch minus material an additional layer of grid should then be placed and the process should be repeated until it is stabilized.

PSI recommends a test section be performed to verify the selected remediation method.

Soil Compaction

Since the surface soils at the site predominantly consist of high moisture content clay soils and high plasticity clays, it may become difficult to achieve the desired compaction of the soils due to high current moisture contents. After stripping activities the surface soils may also not pass a proof roll in their high moisture content state. The soils may need to be scarified and dried to a moisture content that will facilitate compaction in accordance with the structural fill requirements of this report. If scarifying, drying and recompacting of the soils does not stabilize the soils, removing and replacement with new structural fill or treating the soils with class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the clay soils may need to be performed.

GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

The following geotechnical related recommendations have been developed on the basis of the subsurface conditions encountered and PSI's understanding of the proposed development. Should changes in the project criteria occur, a review must be made by PSI to determine if modifications to our recommendations will be required.

Site Preparation

PSI recommends that topsoil, vegetation, roots, soft, organic, frozen, or unsuitable soils in the construction areas be stripped from the site and either wasted or stockpiled for later use in non-structural areas. In the event that roots/rootlets are observed within the existing soils, it would be acceptable for the soils to consist of 3 percent by volume of root/rootlets to be left in place of roots that are ¼ inch or less in diameter. Larger roots or tree root bulbs should be removed and replaced with new structural fill as recommended below. A representative of the geotechnical engineer should evaluate and document the required depth of removal at the time of construction.

In this region, these otherwise competent lean clays can undergo a significant loss of stability when construction activities are performed during wetter portions of the year. PSI anticipates that the soils in the project area can become easily disturbed if subjected to conventional rubber tire or narrow track-type equipment. Soils that become disturbed would need to be excavated and replaced; however, this remedial excavation may expose progressively wetter soils with depth, thus compounding the problem condition. Thus, a normal approach to subgrade preparation may not be possible. Appropriate wide-track equipment selection should aid in minimizing potential disturbance.

After stripping to the proposed subgrade level, as required, the building and pavement areas should be proofrolled. Soils that are observed to rut or deflect excessively (typically greater than one (1) inch) under the moving load should be undercut and replaced with properly compacted low plasticity fill material. The proof-rolling and



undercutting activities should be witnessed by a representative of the geotechnical engineer and should be performed during a period of dry weather. Care should be taken during construction activities not to allow excessive drying or wetting of exposed soils. The subgrade soils should be scarified and compacted to at least 95% of the materials' standard Proctor maximum dry density, in general accordance with ASTM procedures, to a depth of at least twelve (12) inches below the stripped surface. New fill for building structures, asphalt, and concrete should not be placed on frozen ground.

High plasticity fat clays should be removed where they are present within a depth of two (2) feet beneath proposed slabs, lightly loaded structural features and beneath proposed pavements. This material should be replaced with a low plasticity compacted soil, a dense positively-drained graded crushed stone or class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the high plastic clays can be performed. Class "C" flyash or lime-treatment of the high plastic clay under the plasticity index, improve workability, promote drying, and reduce shrink/swell potential. A representative of PSI's geotechnical engineer should observe the subgrade soils, perform plasticity index tests, and estimate the approximate extent of the exposed fat clays. If it is desirable to modify the fat clays with a commercially available class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime product, PSI recommends that actual application amounts be set by conducting a laboratory class "C" fly ash, portland cement of 15 percent by weight. There are many variables including water and soils chemistry and the variable nature of class "C" fly ash. Therefore, a laboratory test is recommended. The geotechnical engineer's representative should observe the remediation procedures for compliance with the project plans and specifications.

Moisture content changes, typically either higher than 3% above the plastic limit or lower than the plastic limit, in the highly plastic soils should not be permitted during or after construction. Increases in moisture content can cause swelling of the high plasticity soils during construction and increase shrinkage potentials due to drying after construction. If the exposed fat clays become inundated or desiccated, PSI recommends they be removed prior to new fill placement. Ideally, excavation should be performed during a period of dry weather.

After subgrade preparation and observation have been completed, fill placement required to establish grade may begin. Low-plasticity structural fill materials placed beneath the lightly loaded structural features, pavements and slabs should be free of organic and other deleterious materials and have a maximum particle size of less than three (3) inches. Low-plasticity soils are defined as having a liquid limit less than forty-five (45) and plasticity index less than twenty-five (25).

Fill should be placed in maximum loose lifts of eight (8) inches and compacted to at least 95% of the materials' standard Proctor maximum dry density, and within a range of the optimum moisture content as designated in the table below, as determined in general accordance with ASTM procedures. Each lift of compacted-engineered fill should be tested and documented by a representative of the geotechnical engineer prior to placement of subsequent lifts. The edges of compacted fill should extend a minimum of 5 feet beyond the building footprints, or a distance equal to the depth of fill beneath the footings, whichever is greater. The measurement should be taken from the outside edge of the footing to the toe of the excavation prior to sloping.

The fill placed should be tested and documented by a geotechnical technician and directed by a geotechnical engineer to evaluate the placement of fill material. It should be noted that the geotechnical engineer of record can only certify the testing that is performed and the work observed by that engineer or staff in direct report to that engineer. The fill should be evaluated in accordance with the following table:

MATERIAL TESTED	PROCTOR	MIN % DRY	PLACEMENT	FREQUENCY OF
	TYPE	DENSITY	MOISTURE	TESTING *1

			CONTENT RANGE	
Structural Lean Clay Fill* (Cohesive)	Standard	95%	-1 to +3 %	1 per 2,500 ft ² of fill placed / lift
Structural Fat Clay Fill* (Cohesive)	Standard	95%	0 to +3%	1 per 2,500 ft ² of fill placed / lift
Structural Fill (Granular)*	Standard	95%	-2 to +2 %	1 per 2,500 ft ² of fill placed / lift
Random Fill (non load bearing)	Standard	90%	-3 to +3 %	1 per 6,000 ft ² of fill placed / lift
Utility Trench Backfill	Standard	95%	-1 to +2 %	1 per 150 lineal foot / lift

*Structural Fill is defined as fill beneath or supporting any improvements on site such as foundation, slabs, pavements, etc. *¹ Minimum 3 per lift.

The test frequency for the laboratory reference should be one laboratory Proctor or Relative Density test for each material used on the site. If the borrow or source of fill material changes, a new reference moisture/density test should be performed.

Tested fill materials that do not achieve either the required dry density or moisture content range shall be recorded, the location noted, and reported to the Contractor and Owner. A re-test of that area should be performed after the Contractor performs remedial measures.

High Plasticity Clay Considerations

Due to the presence of high plasticity clays, consideration should be given to measures that can reduce the long term shrink/swell potential of the clay soils. High plasticity clays expand or shrink by absorbing or losing moisture; therefore, reducing the moisture content variation of a soil will reduce its volume change. Although it is not possible to prevent soil moisture changes, a number of steps may be taken to aid in the reduction of subsoil moisture content variations. These steps are intended to help reduce the shrink/swell potential, not eliminate it. Some of these measures are:

1. During construction, a positive drainage scheme should be implemented and maintained to prevent ponding of water on subgrades.

2. The building subgrade should not be allowed to dry out; backfill should proceed as soon as possible to minimize changes in the natural moisture regime.

3. Permanent positive drainage should be maintained around the building through a roof/gutter system connected to drainage piping or discharging upon paved surfaces, thereby transmitting water away from the foundation perimeter. In addition, site grading should provide rapid drainage of surface water away from foundation areas.

4. Utility trenches should be backfilled with low plasticity clays or lean concrete to reduce the potential of the trenches to act as aqueducts transmitting water beneath the structures due to excess surface water infiltration.



5. Shrubbery, flower beds and sprinkler systems surrounding the structures should be eliminated or at least limited, and should be designed so that the bedding soils drain away from the building areas. The planters should have impermeable bases with weep holes discharging into drainage pipes or onto paved surfaces.

6. Trees and/or large bushes should not be planted adjacent to the structures.

7. Since plumbing and other water leaks can cause excessive heaving of high plasticity soils, every effort should be made to maintain the plumbing in good working order and prevent or minimize water leaks and discharges. It is recommended that all water supply lines and waste water lines be tested for leaks prior to backfilling the utility trenches.

Foundation Recommendations

The planned construction can be supported on conventional spread-type footing foundations bearing on either competent naturally deposited soils, compacted-engineered fill. Spread footings for building columns and continuous footings for bearing walls can be designed for allowable soil bearing pressures of 2,500 psf, based on dead load plus design live load. PSI recommends a minimum dimension of 24 inches for square footings and 18 inches for continuous footings to reduce the possibility of a local bearing capacity failure.

Footing Excavations and Backfilling

It is recommended that PSI personnel evaluate the soils conditions at and below footing grade at the time the excavations are performed. If unsuitable materials (such as, soft to medium stiff cohesive soils) are encountered below the design bottom of footing elevation, the footing excavations should be extended deeper to reach adequate bearing soils or an overexcavation and backfill procedure could be performed with lean clay, lean concrete or compacted granular fill to the design bearing elevation. If lean concrete (minimum $f'_c = 1500 \text{ psi}$) is used, the excavation should be widened at least 6 inches from all edges of the design footing width. For the overexcavation and either lean clay or granular backfill options, we recommend the excavation extend laterally at least 8 inches beyond all edges of the footing for each 12 inches of additional excavation required below foundation design elevation. The overexcavation should then be backfilled up to design elevation.

After opening, footing excavations should be observed and concrete placed as quickly as possible to avoid exposure of the footing bottoms to wetting and drying. Surface run-off water should be drained away from the excavations and not be allowed to pond. If possible, the foundation concrete should be placed during the same day the excavation is made. If it is required that footing excavations be left open for more than one day, they should be protected to reduce evaporation or entry of moisture.

Be advised that as a part of the foundation selection process, there is a cost/benefit evaluation. Although PSI is recommending a specific foundation type, we have not accomplished the cost/benefit evaluation.

Earthquake and Seismic Design Consideration

The 2012 International Building Code (IBC) requires that a site class be determined for the calculation of earthquake design forces in structures. The site class designation is a function of soil type (i.e., depth of soil and strata types). Based on PSI's borings and experience in this area, Site Class "D" is recommended. The USGS-NEHRP probabilistic ground motion values interpolated between the nearest four grid points from latitude 38.95000256° and longitude –94.36936446° are as follows:

Period (Seconds)	2% Probability of Event in 50 Years (%g)	Site Coefficients	Max. Spectral Acceleration Parameters	Design Spectral Acceleration Parameters	
0.2 (S _s)	0.126	F _a = 1.6	S _{ms} = 0.181	S _{Ds} = 0.121	T ₀ = 0.177
1.0 (S ₁)	0.079	$F_v = 2.4$	S _{m1} = 0.16	S _{D1} = 0.107	T _s = 0.884
			$S_{ms} = F_a S_s$ $S_{m1} = F_v S_1$	$S_{Ds} = \frac{2}{3} * S_{ms}$ $S_{D1} = \frac{2}{3} * S_{m1}$	$T_0 = 0.2 S_{D1}/S_{Ds}$ $T_s = S_{D1}/S_{Ds}$

The Site Coefficients, F_a and F_v were interpolated for IBC 2012 Tables 1613.3.3(1) and 1613.3.3(2) as a function of the site classifications and the mapped spectral response acceleration at the short (S_s) and 1-second (S_1) periods.

According to IBC 2012, Section 1803.5.11 requires that sites with a Seismic Design Categories C through F be evaluated for slope instabilities, liquefaction, surface rupture due to faulting or lateral spreading and estimates on the differential settlement. A detailed study of these effects was beyond PSI's scope of services. However, the following table presents a qualitative assessment of these issues considering the site class, the subsurface soil properties, the groundwater elevation, and probabilistic ground motions:

HAZARD	RELATIVE RISK	COMMENTS
Slope Stability	Moderate	The site is moderately slopes and will incorporate moderate cut or fill
		slopes
Liquefaction	Low	The soil within the upper approximate 10 feet of the subsurface profile
		is a relatively dense and/or cohesive soil
Settlements	Low	Based on the cohesive nature of the soils, the excess pore pressures
		generated by a seismic event should not induce a significant settlement
Surface Rupture	Low	The site is not underlain by a mapped Holocene-aged fault

Floor Slab Recommendations

The floor slab can be grade supported on a minimum of twenty-four (24) inches of properly compacted low plasticity structural fill. Alternatively, class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the high plastic clay can be accomplished to reduce the plasticity index, improve workability, promote drying, and reduce shrink/swell potential. Proof-rolling, as discussed earlier in this report, should be accomplished to identify soft or unstable soils that should be removed from the floor slab area prior to fill placement and/or floor slab construction. These soils should be replaced with properly compacted structural fill as described earlier in this report. Fat clays below floor slabs should be remediated, as discussed earlier.

PSI recommends that a minimum four (4) inch thick free-draining granular mat be placed beneath the floor slab to enhance drainage. This 4-inch mat can be included in the 24 inches of remediation recommended in the areas of fat clay. The soil surface shall be graded to drain away from the building without low spots that can trap water prior to placing the granular drainage layer. Polyethylene sheeting should be placed to act as a vapor retarder where the floor will be in contact with moisture sensitive equipment or products such as tile, wood, carpet, etc., as directed by the design professional. The decision to locate the vapor retarder in direct contact with the slab or beneath the layer of granular fill should be made by the design professional after considering the moisture sensitivity of subsequent floor finishes, anticipated project conditions, and the potential effects of slab curling and cracking. The floor slabs should have an adequate number of joints to reduce cracking resulting from differential movement and shrinkage.



For subgrade prepared as recommended and properly compacted fill, a modulus of subgrade reaction, *k* value, of 100 pounds per cubic inch (pci) may be used in the grade slab design based on correlation to values typically resulting from a 1 ft. x 1 ft. plate load test. However, depending on how the slab load is applied, the value will have to be geometrically modified. Where slab loading is distributed over more than a 1 foot by 1 foot area, the value k should be adjusted for larger areas using the following expression for cohesive and cohesionless soil:

Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, $k_s = (\frac{k}{B})$ for cohesive soil and $k_s = k (\frac{B+1}{2B})^2$ for cohesionless soil

Where:	ks	=	coefficient of vertical subgrade reaction for loaded area,
	k	=	coefficient of vertical subgrade reaction for 1 square foot area, and
	В	=	effective width of area loaded, in feet

The precautions listed below should be followed for construction of slab-on-grade pads. These details will not reduce the amount of movement, but are intended to reduce potential damage should some settlement of the supporting subgrade take place. Some increase in moisture content is inevitable as a result of development and associated landscaping. However, extreme moisture content increases can be largely controlled by proper and responsible site drainage, building maintenance and irrigation practices.

- Cracking of slab-on-grade concrete is normal and should be expected. Cracking can occur not only as a result of heaving or compression of the supporting soil and/or bedrock material, but also as a result of concrete curing stresses. The occurrence of concrete shrinkage crack, and problems associated with concrete curing may be reduced and/or controlled by limiting the slump of the concrete, proper concrete placement, finishing, and curing, and by the placement of crack control joints at frequent intervals, particularly where reentrant slab corners occur. The American Concrete Institute (ACI) recommends a maximum panel size (in feet) equal to approximately three times the thickness of the slab (in inches) in both directions. For example, joints are recommended at a maximum spacing of twelve (12) feet based on having a four-inch slab. PSI also recommends that the slab be independent of the foundation walls. Using fiber reinforcement in the concrete can also control shrinkage cracking.
- Areas supporting slabs should be properly moisture conditioned and compacted. Backfill in all interior and
 exterior water and sewer line trenches should be carefully compacted to reduce the shear stress in the
 concrete extending over these areas.

Exterior slabs should be isolated from the building. These slabs should be reinforced to function as independent units. Movement of these slabs should not be transmitted to the building foundation or superstructure.

Utilities Trenching

Excavation for utility trenches shall be performed in accordance with OSHA regulations as stated in 29 CFR Part 1926. It should be noted that utility trench excavations have the potential to degrade the properties of the adjacent fill materials. Utility trench walls that are allowed to move laterally can lead to reduced bearing capacity and increased settlement of adjacent structural elements and overlying slabs.

Backfill for utility trenches is as important as the original subgrade preparation or structural fill placed to support either a foundation or slab. Therefore, it is imperative that the backfill for utility trenches be placed to meet the project specifications for the structural fill of this project. PSI recommends that flowable fill or lean mix concrete be utilized for utility trench backfill. If on-site soils are placed as trench backfill, the backfill for the utility trenches



should be placed in four (4) to six (6) inch loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the maximum dry density achieved by the standard Proctor test. The backfill soil should be moisture conditioned to be within 2% of the optimum moisture content as determined by the standard Proctor test. Up to four (4) inches of bedding material placed directly under the pipes or conduits placed in the utility trench can be compacted to the 90% compaction criteria with respect to the standard Proctor. Compaction testing should be performed for every 200 cubic yards of backfill place or each lift within 200 linear feet of trench, which ever is less. Backfill of utility trenches should not be performed with water standing in the trench. If granular material is used for the backfill of the utility trench, the granular material should have a gradation that will filter protect the backfill material from the adjacent soils. If this gradation is not available, a geosynthetic non-woven filter fabric should be used to reduce the potential for the migration of fines into the backfill material. Granular backfill material shall be compacted to meet the above compaction criteria. The clean granular backfill material should be compacted to achieve a relative density greater than 75% or as specified by the geotechnical engineer for the specific material used.

Pavement Recommendations

PSI's scope of services did not include extensive sampling and CBR testing of existing subgrade or potential sources of imported fill for the specific purpose of detailed pavement analysis. Instead, this report is based on pavement-related design parameters that are considered to be typical for the area soils types.

Pavement sections can be grade supported on a minimum of twelve (12) inches of properly compacted structural fill. Class "C" fly ash, portland cement or lime-treatment of the on-site high plastic clays can also be performed for improved pavement performance. The crushed stone base can be included in the 12 inches of remediation recommended in the areas of fat clay. Proof-rolling, as discussed earlier in this report, should be accomplished to identify soft or unstable soils that should be removed from the pavement area prior to fill placement and/or pavement construction. These soils should be replaced with properly compacted structural fill as described earlier in this report.

Pavement sections were evaluated using Pavement Assessment Software (PAS), which is based on the 1993 AASHTO Design equations, a reliability of 80%, an annual growth rate of 2%, and a 20 year equivalent 18-kip single axle load (ESAL) of 30,000 for light duty pavements and 60,000 for heavy duty pavements. Flexible Pavements were evaluated based on an initial serviceability of 4.2 and a terminal service of 2.0. Rigid Pavements were evaluated based on an initial serviceability of 4.5, a terminal service of 2.0, an unreinforced concrete mix with a 28-day modulus of rupture of 650 pounds per square inch (psi) (approximately 4,000 psi compressive strength), are to be edge supported, and dowel and mesh reinforced.

In large areas of pavement, or where pavements are subject to significant traffic, a more detailed analysis of the subgrade and traffic conditions should be made. The results of such a study will provide information necessary to design an economical and serviceable pavement.

The recommended thicknesses presented below are considered typical and minimum for the calculated parameters. The client, the owner, and the project principals should be aware that thinner pavement sections might result in increased maintenance costs and lower than anticipated pavement life. The pavement subgrade should be prepared as discussed below.

The PSI recommendation is based on the subgrade soils being prepared to achieve a minimum CBR of three (3). On this basis, it is possible to use a locally typical "standard" pavement section consisting of the following:

RECOMMENDED THICKNESSES (INCHES)						
PAVEMENT MATERIALS * CAR PARKING DRIVEWAYS						
Asphaltic Surface Course	1½	1½				
Asphaltic Binder Course	2	3½				
Crushed stone (3/4-inch minus)	6	6				
Or						
Portland Cement Concrete	5	6				
Crushed stone (3/4-inch minus)	4	4				

*Pavement materials should conform to local and state guidelines, if applicable.

Asphalt Pavement

The granular base course should be built at least two (2) feet wider than the pavement on each side to support the tracks of the slipform paver. This extra width is structurally beneficial for wheel loads applied at the pavement edge. The asphalt base course should be compacted to a minimum of 95% Marshall density according to ASTM D-1559.

Asphaltic surface mixture should have a minimum stability of 1,800 pounds and the surface course should be compacted to a minimum of 97% Marshall density according to ASTM D-1559. Asphalt mixes should comply with APWA or MODOT specifications.

Asphaltic concrete mix designs and Marshall characteristics should be reviewed to determine if they are consistent with the recommendations given in this report.

Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

Because the pavement at this site will be subjected to freeze-thaw cycles, PSI recommends that an air entrainment admixture be added to the concrete mix to achieve air content in the range of 5% to 7% to provide freeze-thaw durability in the concrete. PSI recommends that a portland cement concrete with a 28-day specified compressive strength of 4,000 psi should be used. A mixture with a maximum slump of four (4) inches is acceptable. If a water reducing admixture is specified, the slump can be higher. It is recommended that admixtures be submitted to the owner in advance of use in the concrete.

Pavement for any dumpster areas or areas subject to consistent heavy loads should be constructed of Portland cement concrete with load transfer devices installed where construction joints are required. A thickened edge is recommended on the outside of slabs subjected to wheel loads. This thickened edge usually takes the form of an integral curb. Fill material should be compacted behind the curb or the edge of the outside slabs should be thickened. The following are recommended to enhance the quality of the pavement.

- Moisten subgrade just prior to placement of concrete.
- Cure fresh concrete with a liquid membrane-forming curing compound.
- Keep automobile traffic off the slab for three (3) days and truck traffic off the slab for seven (7) days, unless tests are made to determine that the concrete has gained adequate strength (i.e., usually 70% of design strength).



Pavement Subgrade Preparation

Prior to paving, the prepared subgrade should be proof-rolled using a loaded tandem axle dump truck or similar type of pneumatic tired equipment with a minimum gross weight of nine (9) tons per single axle. Localized soft areas identified should be repaired prior to paving. Moisture content of the subgrade should be maintained between -2% and +3% of the optimum at the time of paving. It may require rework when the subgrade is either desiccated or wet. PSI highly recommends that parking and drive subgrade be sloped in a manner to drain water from under the pavement without pocketing or trapping water beneath the pavement. This grading should be accomplished prior to placing the base aggregate.

Construction traffic should be minimized to prevent unnecessary disturbance of the pavement subgrade. Disturbed areas, as verified by PSI, should be removed and replaced with properly compacted material.

The edges of compacted fill should extend a minimum two (2) feet beyond the edges of the pavement, or a distance equal to the depth of fill beneath the pavement, whichever is greater. The measurement should be taken from the outside edge of the pavement to the toe of the excavation prior to sloping.

Pavement Drainage & Maintenance

PSI recommends pavements be sloped to provide rapid surface drainage. Water allowed to pond on or adjacent to the pavement could saturate the subgrade, cause premature deterioration of the pavements, and may require removal and replacement. PSI recommends the subgrade be sloped to drain prior to placing the crushed stone base. Consideration should be given to the use of interceptor drains to collect and remove water collecting in the crushed stone base. The interceptor drains could be incorporated with the storm drains of other utilities located in the pavement areas.

Periodic maintenance of the pavement should be anticipated. This should include sealing of cracks and joints and by maintaining proper surface drainage to avoid ponding of water on or near the pavement areas. Underdrains, sub-drains and underslab drains presented in this report will not prevent moisture vapor that can cause mold growth.

<u>Slopes</u>

The benched placement of engineered structural fill on natural slopes steeper than five (5) horizontal to one (1) vertical where the final area will be uncontained is recommended. The placement of fill should begin at the base of the natural slope with benches or terraces. The benches or terraces should be a minimum of eight (8) feet wide laterally, and should be cut into the slope every five (5) feet of vertical rise. The naturally occurring existing soils should be prepared and fill placed in accordance with the previously described structural fill guidelines. A representative of the geotechnical engineer should monitor the benching and fill placement operations.

Unless specifically designed, temporary slopes shall not exceed steeper than a ratio of two (2) horizontal to one (1) vertical where workers or equipment will occupy space at the toe or of the movement of the excavated slope will jeopardize the stability of an adjacent structure. Temporary slopes exceeding ten (10) feet in vertical height should have a slope stability analysis. Temporary slopes exceeding twenty (20) feet in vertical height should have shear strength testing performed to assess the in-situ strength characteristics.

Permanent cut slopes shall not be excavated to a final grade steeper than a ratio of three (3) horizontal to one (1) vertical without a specific slope stability analysis. Specific shear strength testing should be performed to assess the in-situ strength characteristics for permanent slopes steeper than four (4) horizontal to one (1) vertical.



Special consideration must also be given to the stability of the natural cut ground when supporting substantial fills, to structural fills themselves, and to cut surfaces in natural soil and rock excavations. The evaluation of slope stability aspects of this site and the proposed development is beyond the scope of this exploration. Relatively detailed grading plans will have to be developed before meaningful evaluation of slope stability can be accomplished. All slope stability evaluations should be performed by qualified geotechnical engineering personnel prior to the initiation of any significant grading activities at this site.

CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

PSI should be retained to provide observation and testing of construction activities involved in the foundation, earthwork, and related activities of this project. PSI cannot accept responsibility for conditions that deviate from those described in this report, nor for the performance of the foundation system if not engaged to also provide construction observation and testing for this project.

Moisture Sensitive Soils/Weather Related Concerns

The upper fine-grained soils encountered at this site are expected to be sensitive to disturbances caused by construction traffic and to changes in moisture content. During wet weather periods, increases in the moisture content of the soil can cause significant reduction in the soil strength and support capabilities. In addition, soils that become wet may be slow to dry and thus significantly retard the progress of grading and compaction activities. It will, therefore, be advantageous to perform earthwork and foundation construction activities during dry weather.

Drainage and Groundwater Considerations

PSI recommends that the Contractor determine the actual groundwater levels at the site at the time of the construction activities to assess the impact groundwater may have on construction. Water should not be allowed to collect in the [**foundation excavation, on floor slab areas, or**] on prepared subgrades of the construction area either during or after construction. Undercut or excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of collected rainwater, groundwater, or surface runoff. Positive site drainage should be provided to reduce infiltration of surface water around the perimeter of the building and beneath the floor slabs. The grades should be sloped away from the building and surface drainage should be collected and discharged such that water is not permitted to infiltrate the backfill and floor slab areas of the building.

Excavations

In Federal Register, Volume 54, Number 209 (October 1989), the United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) amended its "Construction Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR, part 1926, Subpart P". This document was issued to better enhance the safety of workers entering trenches or excavations. It is mandated by this federal regulation that excavations, whether they be utility trenches, basement excavation or footing excavations, be constructed in accordance with the new OSHA guidelines. It is PSI's understanding that these regulations are being strictly enforced and if they are not closely followed, the owner and the contractor could be liable for substantial penalties.

The contractor is solely responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope, or bench the sides of the excavations as required to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. The contractor's "responsible person", as defined in 29 CFR Part 1926, should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope



inclination, or excavation depth, including utility trench excavation depth, exceed those specified in local, state, and federal safety regulations.

PSI is providing this information solely as a service to our client. PSI does not assume responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's or other parties' compliance with local, state, and federal safety or other regulations.

GEOTECHNICAL RISK

The concept of risk is an important aspect of the geotechnical evaluation. The primary reason for this is that the analytical methods used to develop geotechnical recommendations do not comprise an exact science. The analytical tools which geotechnical engineers use are generally empirical and must be used in conjunction with engineering judgment and experience. Therefore, the solutions and recommendations presented in the geotechnical evaluation should not be considered risk-free and, more importantly, are not a guarantee that the interaction between the soils and the proposed construction will perform as planned. The engineering recommendations presented in the preceding section constitutes PSI's professional estimate of those measures that are necessary for the proposed improvements to perform according to the proposed design based on the information generated and referenced during this evaluation, and PSI's experience in working with these conditions.

REPORT LIMITATIONS


The recommendations submitted are based on the available subsurface information obtained by PSI and design details furnished tp PSI. If there are revisions to the plans for this project or if deviations from the subsurface conditions noted in this report are encountered during construction, PSI should be notified immediately to determine if changes in the recommendations are required. If PSI is not retained to perform these functions, PSI will not be responsible for the impact of those conditions on the project.

The geotechnical engineer warrants that the findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional advice contained herein have been made in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practices in the local area. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

After the plans and specifications are more complete, the geotechnical engineer should be retained and provided the opportunity to review the final design plans and specifications to check that our engineering recommendations have been properly incorporated into the design documents. At that time, it may be necessary to submit supplementary recommendations. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of WHD Management and their consultants for the specific application to the proposed project detailed herein.




APPENDIX A - SITE VICINITY MAP





APPENDIX B – BORING LOCATION PLAN





APPENDIX C – BORING LOGS

						/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:							BORI	NG	B-01
DATE			ED	:		4/20/2	1 ft	DRILLER: BG LOGGED BY: MM DRILL RIG: CME 55					<u> </u>	$\overline{\Delta}$			14.5 feet
						15.0				UC IN	om Augor		ate	Ţ	Upon Cor		
ELE/		N:			Ν	N/A I/A		DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLING METHOD:	: 10	2-jn SS	3-in ST		Water	Ż	Delay	,	N/A
	TUDE							HAMMER TYPE:		Automa	atic					N:	
		E:						EFFICIENCY		N/A							
STAT	LION:	Ν					N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD							
REM		:															
Elevation (feet)	⊖ Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)				N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	× 0	T N Mois	25 RENGTH, ts	© PL LL 5	
						HIGH	PLASTIC	ITY CLAY									
			X	1	16	Gray	brown clay	r, very stiff			4-4-3 N=7	25	0				LL = 72 PL = 25 DD = 101 pcf
	- 5 -			2	16							32				>>($Q_u = 1.8 \text{ tsf}$
			X	3	18	CLA	Y SHALE				3-3-4 N=7	21			×		
	 - 10 - 			4	18	Olive	and brown	clay shale			4-5-6 N=11						_
	 - 15 -			5	15 Ţ	<u>7</u>	silty clay s of Boring 1				6-10-14 N=24	20			×		_
		tert	e	<.		12 Ka	11 W. Ca nsas City	al Service Industries, ambridge Circle Drive /, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTN ECT: TIQN:		n Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

DATE STARTED: 4/20/21 DATE COMPLETED: 4/20/21 COMPLETION DEPTH 20.0 ft								DRILL COMPANY: DRILLER: BG	ley • MM	BORING B-02									
								DRILL RIG:	CME 55				er	Ā				20 feet	
BEN	СНМА	RK:				N/A		DRILLING METHOD:	Hollow Stem Auger				Water	Upon Cor ↓ Delay			pletion	1	8 feet
		N:			١	I/A		SAMPLING METHOD:	2-in SS							Delay OCATION:			N/A
LON	GITUD)E:						_ HAMMER TYPE:	N	I/A	lic		BUR			ATION	•		
STAT	TION:_	1				SET: _	N/A	REVIEWED BY:											
REM	ARKS	:	ТТ								ŝ	T	0.1				ATION		
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		١	TEST N in blo pisture	DATA ws/ft ©		Additic Rema	
Ele		ē	Sa	ű	Reco					nsce	SPT Blov	Ž	0	S'	lu	GTH, tsf 米	Qp 4.0		
	+ 0 -					HIGH	I PLASTIC	ITY CLAY							2		4.0		
			X	1	18	Gray	and brown	ı clay, very stiff			3-5-8 N=13	25		e)	K			
			X	2	13	Dark	gray and d	lark brown clay			3-3-4 N=7	25	(>	ĸ		-	
			X	3	16						4-5-5 N=10	27		Ø		×			
				4	13	Light	grayish bro	own clay			2-3-5 N=8	31		0		×			
	 - 15 -			5	18		Y SHALE clay shale				2-4-6 N=10	23		0	×			-	
						2	I PLASTIC	ITY CLAY				50						,	
			X	6	18 <u>\</u>		n clay				4-4-6 N=10	56		0			>>>	-	
	in C	terl		< .		12 Ka	11 W. Ca Insas City	al Service Industries, ambridge Circle Drive y, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600		I	PF	ROJI	ECT I ECT: TIØN		Prop	ntre Dr		velopment E Town Ce	ntre Blv

			: [FD		2	4/20/21 4/20/2	91			MPANY: _				_			B	ORI	NG	B-03
COMF	PLET	ON D	EPI	ГН		15.0	ft	`	DRILLER: BG LOGGED BY DRILL RIG: CME 55 DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Ster SAMPLING METHOD: 2-in SS/						er	$\overline{\Delta}$		While Drilling		9 fee
BENC	нма	RK:				N/A				METHOD:	Ho	low St	em Auger		Water	Ţ		n Com	pletion	
ELEV	ATIO	N:			1	J/A		\$	SAMPLIN	G METHOD):2	2-in SS	S/3-in ST				Dela			N/A
		: 								TYPE:			atic		BOR	ING	LOC	ATION		
STAT										D BY:										
REMA		:										02								
Elevation (feet)									RIAL DESCRIPTION			USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	Moisture 0 25			DATA ws/ft © 15 5 5 5 6 TH, tsf *		Additional Remarks
						HIGH	I PLAST		CLAY											
-	 			1	16	Light	gray and	d brov	vn clay				2-3-5 N=8	24 21	(0	×		•	DD = 106 pcf LL = 47 PL = 21
-	- 5 -		Ă	2	18									36					>>@	●
-				3	16	-	and bro [.] Y SHALI		ay, stiff				3-3-5 N=8	30	6	₀				
-	 - 10 - 			4	16	<u>7</u> Brow	n and or	ange	brown cla	ay shale			3-3-5 N=8	36		9		×		-
-	 - 15 -		X	5	6	Olive	THEREI and tan	weat	hered sha	ale			7-9-50/3"	17			×			-
	in K		cel	<	1	12 Ka	11 W. Insas C	Cam City, I		Industries Circle Driv 03 0-1600			PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: FIQN		Prop	ntre Dr.		evelopment E Town Centre B

						/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:					B	ORII	NG	B-04
		LET יח אנ	ED: =pt	н		4/20/21 11.5 ft	DRILLER: BG LOGGED BY: MM DRILL RIG: CME 55				BORING B-04				
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:		tem Auger		Water	Up	on Com		
ELEVA	TION	l:			Ν	J/A	SAMPLING METHOD:	2-	in SS	≥ <u>`</u>	De	Delay		N/A	
ATITU	JDE:						HAMMER TYPE:	Autom	atic		BORIN		CATION	:	
ONG							EFFICIENCY								
STATIC REMAF	_		/A		OFFS	SET: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:	CD							
					s)				(SS)		STAI		PENETR T DATA	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATEF	RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	0	N in blows/ft ⊚ ≺ Moisture			Additional Remarks
	0 -		-			HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY		05		0		2.0	4.0	
_	_		X	1	15	Medium and darl	c brown clay, stiff		3-5-7 N=12	24		©	×		
				2	12	Dark brown clay,	stiff		3-5-8 N=13	26		©	×		-
-	Gray and brown					Gray and brown	clay, stiff		4-6-7 N=13	23)))	<		
-	- - 10 -		X	4	14	Light gray and br	own clay, stiff		2-3-5 N=8	32	0	/	×		-
						Auger Refusal at	11.5 feet		-						
	int	ert	ek			1211 W. Ca	l Service Industries, l mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103	Inc.	PI	ROJI	ECT NO	Pro			230 evelopment E Town Centre E

						4/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:		O'Mal			BORING B-0	5				
						4/20/2 15.0		DRILLER: BG										
						15.0 N/A		DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD: _					↓ ↓ While Drilling ↓ ↓ Upon Completion ↓ ↓ Delay					
ELE	VATIO	N:			١	1/A		SAMPLING METHOD:	1101	2-in	I SS		S T Delay	N/A				
LATI	TUDE							HAMMER TYPE:	A	utoma	tic		BORING LOCATION:					
LON	GITUD TION:	E:																
	ARKS		N/A			JE1: _	IN/A	REVIEWED BY:		UU		_						
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DESCRIPTION	I	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		ditional emarks				
	+ 0 -					HIGH	PLASTIC	TY CLAY										
			X	1	17	Brow	n clay, stiff				3-3-4 N=7	25						
			X	2	16	Mediu	um and dar	k brown clay			4-3-3 N=6	27						
			X	3	13	Mediu	um and dar	k brown clay, very stiff			4-5-5 N=10	27						
				4	14	Light	and dark b	rown clay			4-4-5 N=9	25						
	 - 15 -		X	5	0	Borin	g Terminat	ed at 15 feet			50/2"		>>®					
		tert				Prc	ofessiona	I Service Industries,	Inc.		PF		ECT NO.: 338-2230					
	K					12 [.] Ka	11 W. Ca nsas City	mbridge Circle Drive /, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600					ECT: Proposed Lot 1 Developm TIDN:Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Lee's Summit, MO					

						/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:						BOR	ING	B-06
						4/20/21	DRILLER: BG L				<u> </u>	$\overline{\nabla}$	While Dr		<u> </u>
						20.0 ft N/A	DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD:					Ţ	Upon Co		
ELEV	ATIO	N:			١	I/A	SAMPLING METHOD:				Š	Ī	Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE	:					HAMMER TYPE:	Automa	atic		BOR	ING	LOCATIO	DN:	
LON	GITUD)E: _													
	ION: ARKS		N/A		_0FF3	SEI: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:	CD							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	0 0	N Moi	RENGTH,	A ◎ ■ PL ● LL _E	Additional Remarks
						HIGH PLASTICI	<u>TY CLAY</u>								
			X	1	12	Medium brown cl	ау		3-3-4 N=7	24	©		×		
			X	2	13	Brown and gray o	clay, stiff		3-4-4 N=8	21		>	×		_
				3	14					32				×>>	DD = 97 pcf L = 64 PL = 25 Q _u = 2.8 tsf
	 - 10 - 		X	4	18	Dark brown clay,	stiff		4-6-6 N=12	24		0	× 		_
	 - 15 - 		X.	5	15				3-4-7 N=11	26		©	×		_
						WEATHERED S	HALE								
	 - 20 -		X	6	16	Olive shale			5-5-10 N=15	21		0	×		_
		ter	tel	k	<u> </u>	1211 W. Ca Kansas City	Service Industries, In mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	nc.	PF	ROJ	ECT N ECT: TIQN:		n Centre		evelopment IE Town Centre Blv


DATE	STA		:		Z	1/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:				_			BORI	NG	B-07
COM			EPT	Н		4/20/21 11.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					er	$\overline{\Delta}$	While Drill		
BENC	СНМА	RK:				N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Hol	low Ste	em Auger	_	at	Ţ	Upon Con	npletion	
ELEV	ΑΤΙΟ	N:			Ν	N/A I/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-ir	I SS				Delay		N/A
							HAMMER TYPE:			itic		BOR	NG	LOCATION	N:	
							REVIEWED BY:									
REM					_											
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATEF	RIAL DESCRIPTION	I	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	N Moi	RENGTH, ts	PL LL 50	
	- 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY									
			X	1	14	Dark brown clay,	stiff			3-6-7 N=13	26		0	×		
	 - 5 -		\mathbb{X}	2	7	Brown clay				3-3-4 N=7	24	0	/	×		-
			X	3	12	Medium and darl	t brown clay			2-3-5 N=8	26	C	>	×		
	 - 10 -		X	4	17	Dark brown clay				2-4-6 N=10	31		0	×		-
						Auger Refusal at	11 feet									
	in (cert	ek	<	1	1211 W. Ca Kansas City	I Service Industries, mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: FIØN:		n Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

						4/20/21 4/20/2	1	DRILL COMPANY: DRILLER:BG							BORI	NG	B-08
COM	PLETI		EPT	гн		12.0	ft	DRILL RIG:	CI	ME 55	• <u> </u>		Water	$\overline{\Delta}$	While Drilli	ng	9 fee
BENG	СНМА	RK:		_		N/A		DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLING METHOD	Но	llow Ste	em Auger		/ato	Ţ	Upon Com	pletion	10.5 fee
ELEV	/ATIO	N:			١	N/A I/A		SAMPLING METHOD	::	2-in SS	/3-in ST				Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE							_ HAMMER IYPE:		Automa	tic		BOR	ING I	OCATION	l:	
								EFFICIENCY REVIEWED BY:									
			N/A		_0663		IN/A			CD							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	× 0	T N i Mois	25 RENGTH, tsf	PL LL 50	
	+ 0 -					HIGH	PLASTIC	ITY CLAY					0		2.0	4.0)
			X	1	14		n clay, stift				2-2-4 N=6	27 27	Ø		×		
			X	2	13						4-4-5 N=9			9			DD = 97 pcf
				3	16							28					PL = 34 PL = 21 $Q_u = 0.9$ tsf
	 - 10 -			4	<u> </u>	Z Reddi	sh brown	clay			2-3-3 N=6	31	8		×		-
						Auge	⁻ Refusal a	at 12 feet									
	in	tert	e	< .		12′ Ka	11 W. Ca nsas Cit	al Service Industries ambridge Circle Driv y, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTN ECT: TIQN:		Centre Dr		evelopment E Town Centre B

						4/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:							BOF	RING	B-09
COM			EPT	гн		4/20/21 11.5 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					-0	$\overline{\nabla}$			
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Ho	llow Ste	em Auger		Water	Ţ	Upon C	ompletion	
ELEV	ATIO	N:			١	N/A	SAMPLING METHOD):	2-in SS	/3-in ST		3	\mathbf{V}	Delay		N/A
	TUDE						HAMMER TYPE:	1	Automa	itic		BOR	ING		ON:	
	ARKS		N/A			SEI: <u>N//</u>	REVIEWED BY:		CD							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MA	TERIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %		Mc	ARD PENE TEST DAT N in blows/f Disture 25 25 1 TRENGTH,	A t ⊚ PL ● LL 5	Additional Remarks
ш	- 0 -				R				ñ	SPT E Pu		0	Q		tsi ₩ Qp 4.0)
	- 0 -					HIGH PLAS	TICITY CLAY									
			\mathbb{X}	1	13	Gray and br	own clay			3-2-3 N=5	27			×		
				2	15						20				>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	DD = 107 pcf LL = 55 PL = 22 Q _u = 2.5 tsf
			X	3	14	Medium bro	-			3-4-5	22		Ģ	×		
			Δ			WEATHER	ED SHALE			N=9						
	 - 10 -		X	4	18	Olive shale				3-5-6 N=11	23			×		_
						Auger Refu	al at 11.5 feet									
		tert	e	k	1	1211 W Kansas	onal Service Industries Cambridge Circle Driv City, KS 66103 ne: (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTN ECT: TION:		Propose vn Centre		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

						/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:			lley	_			BORI	NG	B-10
						4/20/21 9.5 ft	DRILLER: BG					<u> </u>	$\overline{\nabla}$	While Drilli		•
						9.5 II N/A	DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD: _					at	V	Upon Com		
ELE\		N:			Ν	J/A	SAMPLING METHOD:	2-in	SS	6/3-in ST	_	≥	Ī	Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE	:					HAMMER TYPE:	Auto	oma	atic		BORI	NGL	OCATION	:	
LON	GITUE)E:														
	TION: ARKS	۱ :	N/A		OFFS	SEI: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:	C	JU							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION		USUS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	× 	T N i Mois	RENGTH, tsf	PL LL 50	
	+ 0 -					HIGH PLASTIC	TY CLAY					0		2.0	4.0	
			X	1	8	Brown and gray				3-4-4 N=8	27 24	© I		×		
			X	2	10					3-5-8 N=13						LL = 80
			X	3	18	CLAY SHALE									>>	PL = 26 Q _u = 0.8 tsf
			X	4	18	Olive clay shale Auger Refusal a	t 10 feet			3-4-50/5"	29			×		
	in	tert	.el	¢.		1211 W. Ca Kansas City	Il Service Industries, mbridge Circle Drive v, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: TIØ®:		Centre Dr		velopment E Town Centre Bl

						4/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:							BC	DRI	NG	B-11
COM			EP	ГН		4/20/21 11.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					- L	$\overline{\Delta}$		e Drillir		
BEN	СНМА	RK:				N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Hollo	ow Ste	em Auger		at	Ţ	Upor	n Com	pletion	
ELE\	νατιο	N:			١	N/A V/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-ir	n SS				Dela			N/A
LATI	TUDE	:					HAMMER TYPE:	A	utoma	itic		BOR	ING	LOCA	ATION		
REM		:	N/A			SEI: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:		CD								
					s)				u	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)		STA		ARD PE	ENETR. DATA	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	et)	bo	be	<u>.</u>	Recovery (inches)				USCS Classification	-inct	%				ws/ft ⊚		
n (f	(fe	ic L	Т Г	le N	(in	MATER	RIAL DESCRIPTION		Issifi	eró	re,	$ \times$	Мо	isture	- -	PL LL	Additional
/atic	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	very				Ö	d sv	Moisture,	0		25	5	50	Remarks
Ele	صّ	ي آ	Sa	ů	eco				ISC	Blov	≥		ST	RENG	TH, tsf		
					L CC					ЪТ			Q			Qp	
	+ 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI				0)		0		2.0	0	4.0	
											25				,		
			\mathbf{M}	4	44	Brown and gray of	clay			0.0.0	25			1	`		
			\mathbb{A}	1	14					2-3-3 N=6							
	L _																
											23			\times			
			X	2	10					2-4-4							
	- 5 -		\square							N=8			++				
											0.5				V		
			\mathbf{M}	~	10	Brown and gray of	clay, very stiff			0 5 7	35		Ĭ		×		
			Ň	3	18	WEATHERED S	HALE			3-5-7 N=12			Ŷ				
						Olive Shale					27				X		
		量	X	4	18	Onve Onale				4-4-7							
	- 10 -		$\langle N \rangle$							N=11							
						Auger Refusal at	11 feet										
	1																
	1																
						Professional	Service Industries,	Inc		DE	יי הצ					338-22	230
	ហ	tert	e	۲.			mbridge Circle Drive					ECT N	10.1		osed I		velopment
						Kansas City	, KS 66103						:Tow				<u>E Town Centre</u> Blv
						Telephone:	(913) 310-1600						_			Summi	



						1/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:							BORI	NG	B-13
						4/20/2		DRILLER: BG			r: MM		<u> </u>	∇	While Drill		5 feet
						5.0 t N/A		DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD:					Water	Ť	Upon Con	npletion	
ELEV	ATIO	N:			١	1/A		SAMPLING METHOD	:	2-ir	n SS		≥	Ī	Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE:							HAMMER TYPE:		Automa	atic		BOR	ING I		N:	
	GITUD TION:				OFF	SET:	N1/A	_ EFFICIENCY REVIEWED BY:									
	ARKS		N/A			JEI	IN/A			CD							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		T N i Mois	RENGTH, ts) PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	- 0 -					HIGH	PLASTIC	CITY CLAY							2.0	4.0	
			X	1	18	-	ish brown	clay clay, soft			2-2-3 N=5	27			×		
			X	2	18	Redu	ISTI DIOWIT	ciay, soit			2-5-3			»			
	- 5 -				<u> </u>	7 Auge	r Refusal a	at 5 feet			N=8			_			-
		ert	e	с.		12 Ka	11 W. C nsas Cit	al Service Industries ambridge Circle Driv y, KS 66103 : (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTN ECT: TIQNS:		Centre D		velopment E Town Centre Blvd

						1/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:							BOR	NG	B-14
		ON D	EPT	: TH		4/20/2 9.0 1	i T	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					9L	$\overline{\Delta}$	While Dril	ling	7 feet
						N/A		DRILLING METHOD:	Ho	llow St	em Auger		Water	Ţ	Upon Cor	npletion	
ELE	VATIO	N:			N	J/A		SAMPLING METHOD	:	2-ir	n SS				Delay		N/A
	TUDE: GITUD	F.						HAMMER TYPE: EFFICIENCY		Automa N/A	atic		BOR	ING	LOCATIO	N:	
	TION:							REVIEWED BY:				_					
REM					-								1				1
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	×	N Moi	RENGTH, ts	© ∎ PL ■ LL 51	Additional Remarks
	T 0 -					HIGH	PLASTICI	TY CLAY									
			X	1	18	Dark	brown clay				2-3-8 N=11	25		0	*		
			X	2	17	Redd	ish brown c	lay			2-5-8 N=13	26		0	×		-
			X	3	18 <u>7</u>	Z					4-4-8 N=12	26		Q	×		
			X-	4	14		n and orano r Refusal ai	ge clay and gravel, wet t 10 feet			9-21-19 N=40	64				>>>	×
		Cert	e	<		12 [.] Ka	11 W. Ca nsas City	I Service Industries mbridge Circle Driv , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTI ECT: FIQIN		n Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

						4/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:	O'Ma	alley			PC	RING	R-15
						4/20/21	DRILLER: BG	LOGGED B	Y: MM	_				D-10
						6.0 ft							e Drilling Completion	
BENC ELEV		RK: _			N	N/A	DRILLING METHOD:				S S	Dela		N/A
LATIT		••			P	N/A	SAMPLING METHOD:	Autom	atic	_		G LOCA		
LONG	SITUD	E:					EFFICIENCY	N/A						
STAT REMA			I/A		OFFS	SET: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:	CD						
			ype	No.	nches)			fication	5-inch (SS) tre (ST)	%		TEST [N in blov		
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture,	0	Moisture 25 STRENG	LL 5 TH, tsf	Additional ⁰ Remarks
	- 0 -					HIGH PLASTIC			R. S.		0	QU 2.0	₩ Qp)
			\mathbb{X}	1	15	Reddish brown o			2-3-3 N=6	26	0	>	<	
				2	7					24				DD = 100 pcf LL = 62 PL = 23
	- 5 -			L	,	Auger Refusal a	t 6 feet		_					_
	in	cert	ek	<u> </u>		Professiona	Il Service Industries, I	nc.				-	338-2	
	K		5			Kansas City	mbridge Circle Drive /, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600				ECT: TIØN:To	own Cen	bsed Lot 1 De tre Dr. and N Lee's Summ	E Town Centre Blv

						4/20/21		IPANY:						В	ORII	NG	B-16
		ON D	ED EP1	: ГН		4/20/21 7.0 ft		BG					er -	∑ Wh	ile Drilli	ng	7 feet
						N/A	DRILLING	METHOD:	Hollow	v Ste	em Auger		/at	👤 Up	on Com	pletion	5 feet
ELEV	ΑΤΙΟ	N:			1	N/A	SAMPLING	METHOD:		2-in	SS			Del			N/A
								YPE:			tic		BORI		ATION	:	
LONG								Υ									
STAT REMA			N/A		OFF	SET: N/A	REVIEWED	BY:	(CD							
											s)		STA		PENETR	ΔΤΙΟΝ	
					(s					ы	h (S				T DATA	AHON	
feet	et)	bo	/pe	<u>o</u>	che					icati	Linc	%		N in bl	ows/ft ⊚		
) uc	, (fe	lic	le T	ole N	y (ir	MAT	ERIAL DESC	RIPTION		assi	ber 6	ure,		Moisture	· •	PL LL	Additional
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)					USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture,	0		25	50	Remarks
Ше	ŏ	G	လိ	S	fecc					lsc	Blo	2		STREN	GTH, tsf		
									-		SPT		1	Qu		Qp	
	- 0 -					HIGH PLAST	CITY CLAY						0		2.0	4.0	
												26			×		
			Μ	1	13	Reddish brow	n clay				3-4-4	20	0		<u> </u>		
			\wedge	'	15						N=8		Ĭ				
												32			l X		LL = 65 IPL = 23
			X	2	5												
	- 5 -		\square			-											-
		ŧ				WEATHERED						32			×		
			М	3	8 7		weathered shale	;			3-5-7	52					
		君	M	5		¥-					N=12			۹ ۱			
						Auger Refusa	at 8.5 feet										
	in	tert	e	< _			nal Service In		lnc.							338-22	
			_			1211 W. (Cambridge Ci	rcle Drive					-				velopment
						Telenhon	ity, KS_6610 e: (913) 310-	-1600			LC			own Ce		Summi	<u>= Town Centre</u> Blvb t_MO
						. crophon											.,

						1/20/21								BOF	RING	B-17
			EPT	гн		4/20/21 15.5 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					jr.	$\overline{\Delta}$	While D		
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:					at	Ţ		ompletion	
ELEV	ATIO	N:			N	1/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-in	I SS		<	Ā	Delay		N/.
ATIT	TUDE						HAMMER TYPE:	Au	toma	itic		BOR	ING	LOCATI	ON:	
					0550											
	ION:_ ARKS	י ר	N/A		_0663		REVIEWED BY:		CD							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATEF	RIAL DESCRIPTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	N Moi	TEST DAT in blows/f sture 25 RENGTH	: ⊚	Additional ⁰Remarks
	- 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY					0		2.0	4.0)
			X	1	14	Brown clay, stiff				3-4-5 N=9	23	(9	×		
-	 - 5 -		\mathbb{X}	2	14	Red brown clay				3-3-5 N=8	25	(*		_
-			X	3	16	Red brown clay, s				4-6-7 N=13	28			×		
-	 - 10 -		X	4	18	Olive shale				4-5-7 N=12	24		0	×		-
-	 _ 15 -		X	5	18	Gray brown shale				5-7-9 N=16	26			×		
						Desfersion										
		tert	e	с.		1211 W. Car Kansas City	Service Industries, I mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: FIQN:		n Centre		evelopment E Town Centre E

						4/20/21 4/20/21	DRILL COMPANY: DRILLER: BG							BORI	NG	B-18
COMF	PLETI		EPT	Н		20.0 ft	DRILLER: BG					Ĵ.	$\overline{\Delta}$	While Drilli	ng	7.5 feet
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:					Water	Ţ	Upon Com	-	
ELEV	ATIO	N:			Ν	J/A	SAMPLING METHOD:	2	-in SS	S/3-in ST		≥		Delay		N/A
LATIT	UDE:						HAMMER TYPE:	A	utoma	atic		BOR		LOCATION	:	
LONG	ITUD	E:					EFFICIENCY		N/A							
STAT	-	Ν	N/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD							
REMA	RKS															
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCRIPTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	0 0	N Mo	TRENGTH, tsf	PL LL 5	Additional Remarks
	- 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY									
-	 		X	1	18	Red brown clay				2-3-3 N=6	24 25			×		
-				2	16					3-4-4 N=8						-
-			X	3	15 	Z WEATHERED SI	HALE			4-5-5 N=10	27			×		
-	 - 10 -		X	4	18	Olive tan shale				4-6-8 N=14	23		0	× \		-
-	 - 15 - 		X	5	18	Dark gray shale				8-10-13 N=23	19					-
-	 - 20 -		X	6	18	Gray shale Boring Terminate	d at 20 feet			9-11-13 N=24	17			×		-
			ek S	¢.		1211 W. Car Kansas City	Service Industries, mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	Inc.		PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: TIQN		Proposed L /n Centre Dr		evelopment E Town Centre Bl

						1/20/21				O'Mall					BOR	ING	B-19
						4/20/2 20.0		_ DRILLER: BG _ DRILL RIG:					۲¢		Nhile Dri		_
						N/A		DRILLING METHOD:					at	Ţ.	Jpon Coi		
ELE\	VATIO	N: _			1	J/A		SAMPLING METHOD	:2	-in SS/	/3-in ST				Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE GITUE	: 							A		tic		BORI	NG L	OCATIO	N:	
	TION:					SET:	N/A	_ EFFICIENCY REVIEWED BY:									
	ARKS																
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Push Pressure (ST)	Moisture, %	× 	TI N ir Moist	ENGTH, t	© PL LL 5	
	T 0 -					HIGH	PLASTIC	CITY CLAY									
			X	1	11	Gray	and browr	n clay			3-3-4 N=7	30 27	Ø		×		
	- 5 -		X	2	11						3-5-6 N=11						DD = 103 pcf
			X	3	18							25			*	•	LL = 42 PL = 20 $Q_u = 1.3$ tsf
	 - 10 -		X	4	18						3-5-6 N=11	23		0	× 		-
	 			5	16	WEA Olive	THERED : clay	SHALE			4-7-5 N=12	23			×		-
				6	18		olive shale	ted at 20 feet			6-11-13 N=24	18		>			-
	in K	ter	te	k	<u> </u>	12 Ka	11 W. Ca nsas Cit	al Service Industries ambridge Circle Driv y, KS 66103 : (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECTN ECT: TIQID:1	F	Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre B

						1/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:							BC	DRII	NG	B-20
COMI			EPT	Н		4/20/21 10.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					D.	Ā		e Drilli		
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Ho	llow St	em Auger		at	Ţ	Upoi	n Com	pletion	
ELEV	ΑΤΙΟΙ	N:			Ν	I/A	SAMPLING METHOD):	2-ir	n SS				Dela			N/A
LATI	FUDE:						HAMMER TYPE:		Automa	atic		BOR	ING	LOC	ATION	:	
LONG							EFFICIENCY REVIEWED BY:										
REMA			I/A			DEI. <u>N/A</u>			CD								
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MA	FERIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		N	TEST in blov isture			Additional
Elevat		Grap	Samp	Sam	Recove				USCS C	SPT Blows	Mois		ST Qu	RENG	GTH, tsf		. Komano
	- 0 -					HIGH PLAS	ICITY CLAY							2.	0	4.0	
			X	1	16	Brown clay, s	tiff			3-4-6 N=10	22			×			
				2	8					4-4-5 N=9	26		9		<		-
			X	3	18	Red brown c				3-4-5 N=9	34	0			×		
			X	4	18		<u>D SHALE</u> inge tan shale nated at 10 feet			5-9-10 N=19	18			Ŕ			-
	inl	ert	eł	c	<u> </u>	1211 W. Kansas (nal Service Industries Cambridge Circle Driv City, KS 66103 ie: (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE	ECT N ECT: FIØR:			ntre Dr.		velopment E Town Centre Blv

						DRILL COMPANY: DRILLER: BG			_		BORI	NG	B-21
OMPLE)EP1	ГН		4/20/21 10.0 ft	DRILLER: BG				P T			
					N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Hollow St	em Auger		📕 🖬	Upon Com		
LEVATIO	ON: _			Ν	J/A	SAMPLING METHOD:	2-ir	n SS			Delay		N/
ATITUDI	E:					HAMMER TYPE:	Automa	atic		BORING		1:	
TATION EMARK	S:	IN/A		0668	DEI: N/A	REVIEWED BY:	CD		_				
Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATER	RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× M ∘ S	TRENGTH, ts:	PL LL 50 f Qp	
0					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY				0	2.0	4.0	
-		X	1	15	Brown clay, stiff			2-4-6 N=10	24	Ø	×		
- - 5		X	2	16	Medium brown c	lay, stiff		3-5-6 N=11	23	C	×		
_			3	14	Medium and darl	-		4-6-7 N=13	26	Ē) 		
- - 10			4	18	Grayish brown cl Boring Terminate			3-3-5 N=8	27		×		-
ic	hter				Professiona	I Service Industries, I	Inc.	PR	OJE	ECT NO.		338-22	230

						1/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:							BC	RIN	١G	B-22
COM		ON D	ED EP1	ГН		4/20/21 10.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					er	Ā	While			
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Holl	ow Ste	em Auger		at	Ţ	Upon	Comp	oletion	
ELEV	/ATIO	N:			Ν	I/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-ir	n SS				Delay			N/A
								A		atic		BORI	NG	LOCA	TION:		
	GITUD FION:						EFFICIENCY										
	ARKS	:			_0113				00								
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATER	RIAL DESCRIPTION	I	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		N	ARD PE TEST D in blow isture	DATA /s/ft ⊚ ∡	PL	Additional Remarks
Elev		ū	Sa	ő	Reco				USC:	SPT Blov	≥	0	ST Qı	RENG	Ж	Qp 4.0	
	+ 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY										
			X	1	16	Gray and brown	clay, stiff			3-3-3 N=6	24			×			
			X	2	18					3-5-7 N=12	23		\ 	×			
			X	3	17	WEATHERED S	HALE			4-7-7 N=14	24		0	, ×			
	 - 10 -		X	4	16	Maroon and olive				3-3-5 N=8	24	ď	<u>}</u>	×			
	in	tert	eł	٢_			l Service Industries,						10.:			338-22	
	K		5	j		Kansas City	mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	;			ROJE		Tow	n Cent	tre Dr.		velopment <u>E Town Centre</u> Blv t, MO

						4/20/21	DRILL COMPANY:							BC	DRII	NG	B-23
COM		OND	ED EP1	 ГН		4/20/21 10.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					J.	$\overline{\nabla}$		e Drilli		
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:	Hol	low Ste	em Auger		at	Ţ	Upoi	n Com	pletion	
ELE\	ΙΤΑ	N:			Ν	N/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-in	n SS				Dela			N//
LATI	TUDE						HAMMER TYPE:	A	utoma	itic		BOR	ING	LOC	ATION	:	
	TION: ARKS	r :	N/A		_0FF3	SEI: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:		CD								
					s)				no	h (SS)		STA			ENETR DATA	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	(feet)	c Log	Sample Type	e No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCRIPTION	.	USCS Classification	ir 6-inc	e, %	×		l in blov bisture		PL	Additional
evation	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	ample	Sample No.	overy	WATE	NAL DESCRIPTION	•	CS Clas	ows pe	Moisture,	0		2	5	LL 50	Additional Remarks
Ĕ			S	0)	Rec				nso	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)			ST Q	u		Qp	
	+ 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY					0		2.	.0	4.0	
			M	1	15	Gray and brown	clay			2-4-4	27	Ģ	»		×		
			∐-							N=8							
			\mathbf{X}	2	18					2-3-5	24	G	2	×			
	- 5 -									N=8	22		\downarrow	×			
			X	3	18	WEATHERED S	HALE			2-5-8 N=13	22			^			
											32				×		
			X	4	18	Olive and tan cla	-			2-3-5 N=8		d	\$				
	- 10 -					Boring Terminate	ed at 10 feet										
	in	tert	.eł	۲,	1		I Service Industries,						10.:			338-22	
	ľ		5			Kansas City	mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	÷				ECT: TIØNE:	Tow		ntre Dr.		velopment <u>E Town Centre</u> B t_MO
	Γ					тысрноне.									LCC S	Summi	

						4/20/21								BORI	NG	B-24
						4/20/21 7.0 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					Ľ		Vhile Dril		
						N/A	DRILLING METHOD:					Water		Jpon Cor		
LEV	ΑΤΙΟ	N:			Ν	I/A	SAMPLING METHOD:		2-ir	I SS		3	V I	Delay		N/
	TUDE						HAMMER TYPE:	Aut	toma	itic		BORII	NG L	OCATIO	N:	
		E: _														
	ION: ARKS	r :	N/A		OFF	SEI: <u>N/A</u>	REVIEWED BY:	(CD							
	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATEF	RIAL DESCRIPTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		TE N in Moist	25	9 PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
I	- 0 -				Re				SN	SPTB		0	STR Qu	ENGTH, ts ¥ 2.0	f Qp 4.0	,
	- 0 -					HIGH PLASTICI	TY CLAY									
-			X	1	16	Gray and brown	clay			2-4-4 N=8	22			×		
-			X	2	18					3-4-6 N=10	23		>	×		-
-			X	3	14	Brown clay	7.5 feet			4-4-6 N=10	33	(>			
	in		cel	<		1211 W. Ca Kansas City	I Service Industries, I mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PR	OJE	ECT NO ECT: FIQN:T	P	Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre B

ATE STARTED						DRILL COMPANY: _ DRILLER: BG				-			BORI	NG	B-25
OMPLETION D	EPI	TH		<u>10.0</u> ft		DRILL RIG:					er	<u>ک</u> ۱	While Drilli	ng	9.5 fe
ENCHMARK:				N/A		DRILLING METHOD:	Ho	llow Ste	em Auger					pletion	9 fe
LEVATION:			N	N/A		SAMPLING METHOD HAMMER TYPE:):	2-in	<u>SS</u>				Delay OCATION		N
						EFFICIENCY		N/A	10	_	DOIN		ooAnon	•	
	N/A		OFFS	SET:	N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD							
EMARKS:									s)		OT A				
			(se					ion	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)			TE	ST DATA		
Depth, (feet) Graphic Log	Type	No.	nche					ificat	6-inc	, %		N ir Moist	blows/ft @) PL	
th, (f	ple 7	Sample No.	iry (i		MATER	RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	Class	per	Moisture,				LL 50	Additional Remarks
Depth, (feet) Graphic Log	Sample Type	San	Recovery (inches)					USCS Classification	swo	Moi					. Komanos
			Re					N	PT B			STR Qu	ENGTH, tsf ¥	Qp	
0									N		0		2.0	4.0	
					PLASTICI	<u>T CLAT</u>				28			×		
	\mathbb{N}	1	18	Brown	clay				2-2-3	28	Ø				
	Δ	'							N=5		Ĭ				
///															
	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	2	16	Red bro	own clay				2-3-4	26			×		
- 5 -	\wedge	2	10						2-3-4 N=7						
	$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$	0	10						0.0.4	26			×		
	\wedge	3	16						3-3-4 N=7						
	$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$			Red bro	own and o	range gravelly clay, sof	ft,			50					K
- 10 -	Ň	4	18	moist					1-2-2 N=4		Ô				
				Boring	Terminate	d at 10 feet									
				D	oplast	Convice Induction	les							000.07	
intod	e	< 🖕				Service Industries nbridge Circle Driv					CTN		Proposed I	338-22 ot 1 De	velopment
interl	_														
	5			Kans	sas City,	KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	0								E Town Centre

						4/20/21		DRILL COMPANY: DRILLER:BG							BOR	NG	B-26	
COMI	PLETI		EPT	н		4/20/2 10.0	ft	DRILL RIG:	CI	ME 55			er	Ţ	While Dril	ling	ç	eet
BENC	СНМА	RK:				N/A		DRILLING METHOD:	Ho	llow Ste	em Auger		Water	Ţ	Upon Cor	npletion	6.5	5 feet
ELEV	OITA	N:			1	N/A		SAMPLING METHOD	:	2-ir Automa	<u>n SS</u>				Delay LOCATIOI	۰.		N/A
LONG	GITUD	E:							/	N/A			DOI		LUCATIO	.		
STAT	ION:	Ν	I/A		OFF	SET:	N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD								
REMA	ARKS																	
feet)	et)	bo.	/pe	lo.	ches)					ication	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	%		N	RD PENETI TEST DATA in blows/ft	9		
Elevation (feet)	Jepth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	ws per 6	Moisture,	0 0	Moi	sture	PL LL 	Additior Remark	
Elev	Ď	ū	Sa	ů	Reco					USC	SPT Blov	≥		STI Qu		Qp		
	- 0 -					HIGH	H PLASTIC	ITY CLAY			0)		0		2.0	4.0		
			M	1	16		/n clay				2-3-2	24	0		×			
											N=5	07						
			\mathbb{X}	2	18	Red	brown clay				2-3-3 N=6	27	0		×			
	- 5 -											38				*	+	
			X	3	18	-					3-3-4 N=7			\rightarrow				
			M	4	13	Z Medi mois	ium brown a t	and orange brown clay, s	oft,		50/4"	34			×	>>0		
	- 10 -		ΔF	т				ed at 10 feet			50/4						-	
	in	tert	e	۲.				I Service Industries							Dromassi	338-22		
	K)	5			Ka	ansas City	ambridge Circle Driv /, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600	e				ECT:		n Centre D		evelopment E Town Cent it, MO	tre Blv

						1/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:		O'Mal	lley				BOR		B-27
DATE			ED	:		4/20/2	1	DRILLER: BG				_	<u>_</u>	∇			D-21
						10.0				ME 55	om Augor		Water	∑ ▼	Upon Co		
FLEV		RA: N·			N	N/A I/A		DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLING METHOD	. <u>Ho</u>	110W 510 2-ir	em Auger		Ň	Ť	Delay	mpletion	N/A
LATI	TUDE							HAMMER TYPE:	/	Automa	atic				LOCATIO	N:	
LONG	GITUD	E:						EFFICIENCY		N/A							
	'ION:_ ARKS	<u>۸</u>	J/A		OFF	SET: _	N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD							
			Type	No.	inches)					sification	6-inch (SS)	e, %		N	ARD PENE TEST DATA I in blows/ft isture	A Contraction	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture,	0		25 RENGTH, 1	€ Qp	
	- 0 -					HIGH	PLASTIC	ITY CLAY					0		2.0	4.0)
				1	16		ish brown				2-5-5 N=10	25			*		
			X	2	16		clay shale				3-3-5 N=8	24			*		-
			X	3	18	Tand	THERED : and olive	SHALE shale			4-7-9 N=16	23		Ì	×		
	 - 10 -	F	X	4				lack shale ed at 10 feet				21			×	>>	(
	in K	tert	el	<	·	12 [.] Ka	11 W. Ca nsas Cit	al Service Industries ambridge Circle Driv y, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PR	ROJE	ECTN ECT: FIQNS:		Proposec n Centre I		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

DATE	E STA	RTED	:		Z	1/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:		O'Mal	lley				BORI	NG	B-28
DATE		PLET	ED	_		4/20/2	21	DRILLER: BG				_	<u>_</u>	$\overline{\nabla}$	While Drill		D-20
						10.0		DRILL RIG: DRILLING METHOD:		VIE 55	em Auger		Water	Ţ	Upon Con		
ELE\	ATIO	N:			Ν	N/A I/A		SAMPLING METHOD	:	2-ir	n SS	_	Š	Ī	Delay		N/A
LATI	TUDE:							HAMMER TYPE:	I	Automa	atic		BOR	ING	LOCATION	1:	
	GITUD FION:						ΝΙ/Λ	EFFICIENCY									
	ARKS		N/A				IN/A			CD							
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DESCRIPTIO	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 	N Moi	RENGTH, ts	PL LL 5	
	- 0 -					HIGH	I PLASTIC	ITY CLAY									
			X	1	18	Red	brown clay				2-2-3 N=5	26	Ø		×		
			X	2	14						3-3-3 N=6	27	0		×		-
			X	3	13						3-3-5 N=8	29			×		
				4			-	lay with weathered limes	tone		50/5"	45				>>(●
							greinina										
		cert	eł	<		12 Ka	11 W. Ca Insas Cit	al Service Industries ambridge Circle Driv y, KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PR	OJE	CTN CT:		n Centre D		evelopment E Town Centre Blv

						4/20/21		DRILL COMPANY:				_			BORI	NG	B-29	
DATE	: CON PI הדי		ED	: гн		4/20/2 10.0	1 ft	DRILLER: BG DRILL RIG:					_	$\overline{\Delta}$				feet
						N/A		DRILLING METHOD:	Hol	low St	em Auger		Water	Ţ	Upon Com	pletion		feet
ELEV	ATIO	N:			١	N/A		SAMPLING METHOD:		2-ir	n SS		>		Delay	-		N/A
LATI	TUDE							HAMMER TYPE:	A	utoma	atic		BOR	ING	LOCATION	l:		
	TION:_ ARKS		N/A		_0FF	SEI: _	N/A	REVIEWED BY:		CD								
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATEI	RIAL DESCRIPTION	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	N Moi	RENGTH, tsf	PL LL 50	Additiona Remarks	
	+ 0 -					HIGH	I PLASTICI	TY CLAY			0,		0		2.0	4.0		
			X	1	18		prown clay				2-2-3 N=5	26			×			
			X	2	14	<u>_</u>					2-2-2 N=4	20					-	
			X	3	15		clay shale THERED S	HALE			3-3-4 N=7	28		2	×			
	 - 10 -		M	4			-	brown shale ed at 10 feet				23			× `	>>(•	
		cert	el	к.	1	12 Ka	11 W. Ca nsas City	I Service Industries, mbridge Circle Drive , KS 66103 (913) 310-1600			PF	ROJE			n Centre Dr		evelopment E Town Centr	e Blv



APPENDIX D – GENERAL NOTES/SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

GENERAL NOTES



SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

The Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), AASHTO 1988 and ASTM designations D2487 and D-2488 are used to identify the encountered materials unless otherwise noted. Coarse-grained soils are defined as having more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve (0.075mm); they are described as: boulders, cobbles, gravel or sand. Fine-grained soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are defined as silts or clay depending on their Atterberg Limit attributes. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size.

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

- SFA: Solid Flight Auger typically 4" diameter flights, except where noted.
- HSA: Hollow Stem Auger typically 3¹/₄" or 4¹/₄ I.D. openings, except where noted.
- M.R.: Mud Rotary Uses a rotary head with Bentonite or Polymer Slurry
- R.C.: Diamond Bit Core Sampler
- H.A.: Hand Auger
- P.A.: Power Auger Handheld motorized auger

SOIL PROPERTY SYMBOLS

- SS: Split-Spoon 1 3/8" I.D., 2" O.D., except where noted.
 - ST: Shelby Tube 3" O.D., except where noted.
- RC: Rock Core
- TC: Texas Cone
- 🕅 BS: Bulk Sample
- PM: Pressuremeter
- CPT-U: Cone Penetrometer Testing with Pore-Pressure Readings
- N: Standard "N" penetration: Blows per foot of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches on a 2-inch O.D. Split-Spoon.
- N₆₀: A "N" penetration value corrected to an equivalent 60% hammer energy transfer efficiency (ETR)
- $\mathsf{Q}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle u}\!\!:\,$ Unconfined compressive strength, TSF
- Q_p: Pocket penetrometer value, unconfined compressive strength, TSF
- w%: Moisture/water content, %
- LL: Liquid Limit, %
- PL: Plastic Limit, %
- PI: Plasticity Index = (LL-PL),%
- DD: Dry unit weight, pcf
- $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}$ Apparent groundwater level at time noted

RELATIVE DENSITY OF COARSE-GRAINED SOILS

Relative Density <u>N - Blows/foot</u>

Very Loose	0 - 4
Loose	4 - 10
Medium Dense	10 - 30
Dense	30 - 50
Very Dense	50 - 80
Extremely Dense	80+

ANGULARITY OF COARSE-GRAINED PARTICLES

Description	Criteria
Angular:	Particles have sharp edges and relatively plane
	sides with unpolished surfaces
Subangular:	Particles are similar to angular description, but have
	rounded edges
Subrounded:	Particles have nearly plane sides, but have
	well-rounded corners and edges
Rounded:	Particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges

GRAIN-SIZE TERMINOLOGY

Component	Size Range	<u>Descrip</u>
Boulders:	Over 300 mm (>12 in.)	I
Cobbles:	75 mm to 300 mm (3 in. to 12 in.)	Elonga
Coarse-Grained Gravel:	19 mm to 75 mm (¾ in. to 3 in.)	Flat & Elonga
Fine-Grained Gravel:	4.75 mm to 19 mm (No.4 to ¾ in.)	
Coarse-Grained Sand:	2 mm to 4.75 mm (No.10 to No.4)	
Medium-Grained Sand:	0.42 mm to 2 mm (No.40 to No.10)	<u>RELATI</u>
Fine-Grained Sand:	0.075 mm to 0.42 mm (No. 200 to No.	40) Desc
Silt:	0.005 mm to 0.075 mm	
Clay:	<0.005 mm	

PARTICLE SHAPE

Description	Criteria
Flat:	Particles with width/thickness ratio > 3
0	Particles with length/width ratio > 3 Particles meet criteria for both flat and elongated

RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF FINES

<u>% Dry Weight</u>	
< 5%	
5% to 12%	
>12%	
	<u>% Dry Weight</u> < 5% 5% to 12% >12%

Page 1 of 2



GENERAL NOTES

(Continued)

CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS

<u>Q_U - TSF</u>	<u>N - Blows/foot</u>	<u>Consistency</u>
0 - 0.25	0 - 2	Very Soft
0.25 - 0.50	2 - 4	Soft
0.50 - 1.00	4 - 8	Firm (Medium Stiff)
1.00 - 2.00	8 - 15	Stiff
2.00 - 4.00	15 - 30	Very Stiff
4.00 - 8.00	30 - 50	Hard
8.00+	50+	Very Hard

MOISTURE CONDITION DESCRIPTION

Description	Criteria
Dry:	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch
Moist:	Damp but no visible water
Wet:	Visible free water, usually soil is below water table

RELATIVE PROPORTIONS OF SAND AND GRAVEL

Descriptive Term	% Dry Weight
Trace:	< 15%
With:	15% to 30%
Modifier:	>30%

STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION

Description	Criteria	Description	Criteria
Stratified:	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers at least ¼-inch (6 mm) thick	n Blocky:	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into small angular lumps which resist further breakdown
Laminated:	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers less than 1/4-inch (6 mm) thick		Inclusion of small pockets of different soils Inclusion greater than 3 inches thick (75 mm)
Fissured:	Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little resistance to fracturing	Seam:	Inclusion 1/8-inch to 3 inches (3 to 75 mm) thick extending through the sample
Slickensided:	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy, sometimes striated	Parting:	Inclusion less than 1/8-inch (3 mm) thick

SCALE OF RELATIVE ROCK HARDNESS

<u>Q_U - TSF</u>	<u>Consistency</u>
2.5 - 10 10 - 50	Extremely Soft Very Soft
50 - 250	Soft
250 - 525	Medium Hard
525 - 1,050	Moderately Hard
1,050 - 2,600	Hard
>2,600	Verv Hard

ROCK VOIDS

<u>Voids</u>	Void Diameter
Pit	<6 mm (<0.25 in)
Vug	6 mm to 50 mm (0.25 in to 2 in)
Cavity	50 mm to 600 mm (2 in to 24 in)
Cave	>600 mm (>24 in)

ROCK QUALITY DESCRIPTION

Rock Mass Description	RQD Value
Excellent	90 -100
Good	75 - 90
Fair	50 - 75
Poor	25 -50
Very Poor	Less than 25

ROCK BEDDING THICKNESSES

Description	Criteria
Very Thick Bedded	Greater than 3-foot (>1.0 m)
Thick Bedded	1-foot to 3-foot (0.3 m to 1.0 m)
Medium Bedded	4-inch to 1-foot (0.1 m to 0.3 m)
Thin Bedded	1¼-inch to 4-inch (30 mm to 100 mm)
Very Thin Bedded	¹ / ₂ -inch to 1 ¹ / ₄ -inch (10 mm to 30 mm)
Thickly Laminated	1/8-inch to ½-inch (3 mm to 10 mm)
Thinly Laminated	1/8-inch or less "paper thin" (<3 mm)

GRAIN-SIZED TERMINOLOGY

(Typically Sedimentary Rock)									
<u>Component</u>	Size Range								
Very Coarse Grained	>4.76 mm								
Coarse Grained	2.0 mm - 4.76 mm								
Medium Grained	0.42 mm - 2.0 mm								
Fine Grained	0.075 mm - 0.42 mm								
Very Fine Grained	<0.075 mm								

DEGREE OF WEATHERING

Slightly Weathered: Rock generally fresh, joints stained and discoloration extends into rock up to 25 mm (1 in), open joints may contain clay, core rings under hammer impact.
 Weathered: Rock mass is decomposed 50% or less, significant portions of the rock show discoloration and weathering effects, cores cannot be broken by hand or scraped by knife.
 Highly Weathered: Rock mass is more than 50% decomposed, complete discoloration of rock fabric, core may be extremely broken and gives clunk sound when struck by hammer, may be shaved with a knife.


SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

		ICATE BORDERLINE SOI		BOLS	TYPICAL
M	AJOR DIVISI	ONS	GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL CLEAN GRAVELS AND			GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS	SAND AND	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED SOILS	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
00120				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
HI	GHLY ORGANIC S	SOILS		PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS
intertek			and the state of the second		



Graphic Symbols for Materials and Rock Deposits







APPENDIX E – DRILL, FIELD AND LAB TESTING PROCEDURES



Drilling and Sampling Procedures

The soil borings were performed with a truck-mounted rotary head drill rig. Borings were advanced using 3¹/₄-inch inside diameter hollow-stem augers. Representative samples were obtained employing split-spoon and thin-wall tube sampling procedures in general accordance with ASTM procedures.

Field Tests and Measurements Penetration Tests and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils

During the sampling procedure, Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were performed at regular intervals (2½-foot intervals to 10 feet and 5-foot intervals thereafter) to obtain the standard penetration value (N) of the soil. The results of the standard penetration test indicate the relative density and comparative consistency of the soils, and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength and compressibility of the soil profile components. The split-barrel sampler provides a soil sample for identification purposes and for laboratory tests appropriate for soil obtained from a sampler that may produce large shear strain while obtaining the sample.

Thin-Walled (Shelby) Tube Geotechnical Sampling of Soils

Thin-walled tube samples are utilized to obtain a relatively undisturbed specimen suitable for laboratory tests of structural properties or other tests that might be influenced by soil properties. A relatively undisturbed sample is obtained by pressing a thin-walled metal tube (typically an outside diameter 3 inches) into the in-situ soil, removing the soil-filled tube, and sealing the ends to reduce the soil disturbance or moisture loss. These samples may be utilized in the laboratory to obtain the following information or perform the following tests: Unconfined Compressive Strength (q_u), Laboratory Determination of Water Content, Wet and Dry Density, Percent Saturation, and Atterberg Limits

Water Level Measurements

Water level observations were attempted during and upon completion of the drilling operation using a 100-foot tape measure. The depths of observed water levels in the boreholes are noted on the boring logs presented in the appendix of this report. In the borings where water was unable to be observed during the field activities, in relatively impervious soils, the accurate determination of the groundwater elevation may not be possible even after several days of observation. Seasonal variations, temperature and recent rainfall conditions may influence the levels of the groundwater table and volumes of water will depend on the permeability of the soils.

Laboratory Testing Program

In addition to the field investigation, a supplemental laboratory-testing program was conducted to determine additional engineering characteristics of the foundation materials necessary in analyzing the behavior of the soils as it relates to the construction of the proposed structures. The laboratory testing program is as follows:

Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil by Mass

The water content is a significant index property used in establishing a correlation between soil behavior and its index properties. The water content is used in expressing the phase relationship of air, water, and solids in a given volume of material. In fine grained cohesive soils, the behavior of a given soil type often depends on its water content. The water content of a soil along with its liquid and plastic limits as determined by Atterberg Limit testing, is used to express its relative consistency or liquidity index.

Atterberg Limits

The Atterberg Limits are defined by the liquid limit (LL) and plastic limit (PL) states of a given soil. These limits are used to determine the moisture content limits where the soil characteristics changes from behaving more like a fluid on the liquid limit end to where the soil behaves more like individual soil particles on the plastic limit end. The liquid limit is often used to indicate if a soil is a low or high plasticity soil. The plasticity index (PI) is difference between the liquid limit and the plastic limit. The plasticity index is used in conjunction with the liquid limit to assess if the material will behave like a silt or clay. The material can also be classified as an organic material by comparing the liquid limit of the natural material to the liquid limit of the sample after being oven-dried.



The primary purpose of the unconfined compressive strength test is to obtain the undrained compressive strength of soils that possess sufficient cohesion to permit testing in the unconfined state. Unconfined compressive strength (q_u) is the compressive stress at which an unconfined cylindrical specimen of soil will fail in a simple compression test. In this test method, unconfined compressive strength is taken as the maximum load obtained per unit area or the load per unit area at 15% axial strain, whichever is obtained first during the performance of a test. For the unconfined compressive strength test, the shear strength (s_u) is calculated to be half of the compressive stress at failure.



APPENDIX F – LABORATORY DATA

			La	abora	tory	Sumr	nary	Shee	et	Sheet	1 of 3
Borehole	Approx. Depth	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Qu (tsf)	%<#200 Sieve	Est. Specific Gravity	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Satur- ation (%)	Void Ratio
B-01	1	72	25	47				25			
B-01	3.5				1.77		2.65	24	101	100%	0.64
B-01	6							32			
B-01	8.5							21			
B-01	13.5							20			
B-02	1							25			
B-02	3.5							25			
B-02	6							27			
B-02	8.5							31			
B-02	13.5							23			
B-02	18.5							56			
B-03	1							24			
B-03	3	47	21	26			2.65	21	106	100%	0.56
B-03	6							36			
B-03	8.5							36			
B-03	13.5							17			
B-04	1							24			
B-04	3.5							26			
B-04	6							23			
B-04	8.5							32			
B-05	1							25			
B-05	3.5							27			
B-05	6							27			
B-05	8.5							25			
B-06	1							24			
B-06	3.5							21			
B-06	6	64	25	39	2.82		2.65	32	97	118%	0.70
B-06	8.5	0-1	20	00	2.02		2.00	24	01	11070	0.70
B-06	13.5							26			
B-06	18.5							21			
B-07	1							26			
B-07	3.5							20			
B-07	6							26			
B-07	8.5				<u> </u>			31			
B-07 B-08	1							27			
B-08	3							27			
B-08	6	54	21	33	0.94		2.65	28	97	108%	0.70
B-08	8.5		<u> </u>		0.34		2.00	31	31	10070	0.70
B-08 B-09	0.5							27			
B-09 B-09	3.5	55	22	33	2.49		2.65	20	107	100%	0.54
B-09 B-09	3.5 6	55		33	2.49		2.00	20	107	100%	0.04
B-09	8.5							23			

intertek

Professional Service Industries 1211 W. Cambridge Circle Drive Kansas City, KS 66103 Telephone: (913) 310-1600 Fax: (913) 310-1601

Summary of Laboratory Results lob No.: 338-2230 ct: Proposed Lot 1 Development tion: NE Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Centre Elvd. PSI Job No.: Project: Location: Lee's Summit, MO

			La	abora	tory	Sumr	mary	Shee	et	Sheet	2 of 3
Borehole	Approx. Depth	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Qu (tsf)	%<#200 Sieve	Est. Specific Gravity	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Satur- ation (%)	Void Ratio
B-10	1							27			
B-10	3							24			
B-10	6	80	26	54	0.78						
B-10	8.5							29			
B-11	1							25			
B-11	3.5							23			
B-11	6							35			
B-11	8.5							27			
B-12	1							25			
B-12	3.5							25			
B-12	6							30			
B-12	8.5							26			
B-13	1							27			
B-13	3.5							33			
B-14	1							25			
B-14	3.5							26			
B-14	6							26			
B-14	8.5							64			
B-15	1							26			
B-15	3.5	62	23	39			2.65	24	100	100%	0.65
B-16	1							26			0.00
B-16	3.5	65	23	42				32			
B-16	6		20					32			
B-17	1							23			
B-17	3.5							25			
B-17	6							28			
B-17	8.5							24			
B-17	13.5							26			
B-18	1							24			
B-18	3							25			
B-18	6							27			
B-18	8.5							23			
B-18	13.5							19			
B-18	18.5							17			
B-19	10.0							30			
B-19	3							27			
B-19	6	42	20	22	1.35		2.65	25	103	108%	0.61
B-19	8.5						2.00	23			0.01
B-19	13.5							23			
B-19	18.5							18			
B-10 B-20	10.0							22			
B-20	3.5							26			
D-20	0.0					<u> </u>		20	<u> </u>	l	

intertek

Professional Service Industries 1211 W. Cambridge Circle Drive Kansas City, KS 66103 Telephone: (913) 310-1600 Fax: (913) 310-1601

Summary of Laboratory Results lob No.: 338-2230 ct: Proposed Lot 1 Development tion: NE Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Centre Elvd. PSI Job No.: Project: Location: Lee's Summit, MO

Laboratory Summary Sheet											
Borehole	Approx. Depth	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Qu (tsf)	%<#200 Sieve	Est. Specific Gravity	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Satur- ation (%)	Void Ratio
B-20	6							34			
B-20	8.5							18			
B-21	1							24			
B-21	3.5							23			
B-21	6							26			
B-21	8.5							27			
B-22	1							24			
B-22	3.5							23			
B-22	6							24			
B-22	8.5							24			
B-23	1							27			
B-23	3.5							24			
B-23	6							22			
B-23	8.5							32			
B-24	1							22			
B-24	3.5							23			
B-24	6							33			
B-25	1							28			
B-25	3.5							26			
B-25	6							26			
B-25	8.5							50			
B-26	1							24			
B-26	3.5							27			
B-26	6							38			
B-26	8.5							34			
B-27	1							25			
B-27	3.5							24			
B-27	6							23			
B-27	8.5							21			
B-28	1							26			
B-28	3.5							27			
B-28	6							29			
B-28	8.5							45			
B-29	1							26			
B-29	3.5							28			
B-29	6							28			
B-29	8.5							23			



Professional Service Industries 1211 W. Cambridge Circle Drive Kansas City, KS 66103 Telephone: (913) 310-1600 Fax: (913) 310-1601

Summary of Laboratory Results

PSI Job No.: 338-2230	
Project: Proposed Lot 1 Development	
Location: NE Town Centre Dr. and NE Town Centre I Lee's Summit, MO	lvd.