



VSE Project Number: U3573.3443.201

December 18, 2020

SunPro Solar

ATTENTION: Dean Scott

22171 MCH Road

Mandeville, LA 70471

**REFERENCE: Mason Murski Residence (PROJ-26682): 1617 Southwest Merryman Drive, Lee's Summit, MO 64082  
Solar Array Installation**

To Whom It May Concern:

Per your request, we have reviewed the existing structure at the above referenced site. The purpose of our review was to determine the adequacy of the existing structure to support the proposed installation of solar panels on the roof as shown on the panel layout plan.

Based upon our review, we conclude that the existing structure is adequate to support the proposed solar panel installation.

**Design Parameters**

Code: International Building Code, 2018 Edition

Risk Category: II

Design wind speed: 109 mph (3-sec gust) per ASCE 7-16

Wind exposure category: C

Ground snow load: 20 psf

**Existing Roof Structure**

Roof structure: 2x4 manufactured trusses @ 24" O.C.

Roofing material: composite shingles

Roof slope: 27°

**Connection to Roof**

Mounting connection: (1) 5/16" lag screw w/ min. 2.5" embedment into framing at max. 48" o.c. along rails

(2) rails per row of panels, evenly spaced; panel length perpendicular to the rails not to exceed 67 in

**Conclusions**

Based upon our review, we conclude that the existing structure is adequate to support the proposed solar panel installation. In the area of the solar array, other live loads will not be present or will be greatly reduced (2018 IBC, Section 1607.13.5). The glass surface of the solar panels allows for a lower slope factor per ASCE 7, resulting in reduced design snow load on the panels. The gravity loads and; thus, the stresses of the structural elements, in the area of the solar array are either decreased or increased by no more than 5%. Therefore, the requirements of Section 806.2 of the 2018 IEBC are met and the structure is permitted to remain unaltered.



VSE Project Number: U3573.3443.201

Mason Murski Residence

12/18/2020

The solar array will be flush-mounted (no more than 6" above the roof surface) and parallel to the roof surface. Thus, we conclude that any additional wind loading on the structure related to the addition of the proposed solar array is negligible. The attached calculations verify the capacity of the connections of the solar array to the existing roof against wind (uplift), the governing load case. Because the increase in lateral forces is less than 10%, this addition meets the requirements of the exception in Section 806.3 of the 2018 IEBC. Thus the existing lateral force resisting system is permitted to remain unaltered.

### **Limitations**

Installation of the solar panels must be performed in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. All work performed must be in accordance with accepted industry-wide methods and applicable safety standards. The contractor must notify Vector Structural Engineering, LLC should any damage, deterioration or discrepancies between the as-built condition of the structure and the condition described in this letter be found. Connections to existing roof framing must be staggered, except at array ends, so as not to overload any existing structural member. The use of solar panel support span tables provided by others is allowed only where the building type, site conditions, site-specific design parameters, and solar panel configuration match the description of the span tables. The design of the solar panel racking (mounts, rails, etc.) and electrical engineering is the responsibility of others. Waterproofing around the roof penetrations is the responsibility of others. Vector Structural Engineering assumes no responsibility for improper installation of the solar array.

VECTOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING, LLC

MO Firm License: 2011009604



12/18/2020

---

Brett Veazie, P.E.

MO License: PE-2018029933 - Expires: 12/31/2020

Project Engineer

Enclosures

BDV/zrm



**JOB NO.:** U3573.3443.201  
**SUBJECT:** WIND PRESSURE

**PROJECT:** Mason Murski Residence

**Components and Cladding Wind Calculations**

Label: Solar Panel Array

Note: Calculations per ASCE 7-16

**SITE-SPECIFIC WIND PARAMETERS:**

Basic Wind Speed [mph]: 109  
Exposure Category: C  
Risk Category: II

Notes:

**ADDITIONAL INPUT & CALCULATIONS:**

Height of Roof, h [ft]: 25 (Approximate)  
Comp/Cladding Location: Hip Roofs  $20^\circ < \theta \leq 27^\circ$   
Enclosure Classification: Enclosed Buildings  
Zone 1 GCp: 1.37 Figure 30.3-2G (enter negative pressure coefficients)  
Zone 2e, 2r, 3 GCp: 1.96

$\alpha$ : 9.5 Table 26.11-1  
 $z_g$  [ft]: 900 Table 26.11-1  
 $K_h$ : 0.95 Table 26.10-1  
 $K_e$ : 0.96 Table 26.9-1  
 $K_{zt}$ : 1 Equation 26.8-1  
 $K_d$ : 0.85 Table 26.6-1  
Velocity Pressure,  $q_h$  [psf]: 23.6 Equation 26.10-1

**PRESSURES:**  $p = q_h (GC_p)(\gamma E)(\gamma a)$  Equation 29.4-5

Zone 1, p [psf]: 38.8 psf (1.0 W)  
Zone 2e, 2r, 3, p [psf]: 55.5 psf (1.0 W)

(a = 3 ft)



**JOB NO.:** U3573.3443.201  
**SUBJECT:** CONNECTION

**PROJECT:** Mason Murski Residence

**Calculate Uplift Forces on Connection**

	Pressure (0.6 Dead -0.6 Wind) (psf)	Max Connection Spacing <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Max Trib. Area <sup>2</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Max Uplift Force (lbs)
Zone 1	21.5	4.0	11.2	240
Zone 2e, 2r, 3	31.5	4.0	11.2	352

**Calculate Connection Capacity**

Lag Screw Size [in]:	5/16	
C <sub>d</sub> :	1.6	NDS Table 2.3.2
Embedment <sup>3</sup> [in]:	2.5	
Grade:	SPF (G = 0.42)	
Nominal Capacity [lbs/in]:	205	NDS Table 12.2A
Number of Screws:	1	
Prying Coefficient:	1.4	
Total Capacity [lbs]:	586	

**Determine Result**

Maximum Demand [lbs]:	352
Lag Screw Capacity [lbs]:	586

Result: **Capacity > Demand. Connection is adequate.**

**Notes**

1. 'Max Connection Spacing' is the spacing between connections along the rails.
2. 'Max Trib Area' is the product of the 'Max Connection Spacing' and 1/2 the panel width/height perpendicular to the rails. (2) rails per row of panels. Length of panels perpendicular to the rails shall not exceed 67".
3. Embedment is measured from the top of the framing member to the beginning of the tapered tip of the lag screw. Embedment in sheathing or other material is not effective. The length of the tapered tip is not part of the embedment length.



**JOB NO.:** U3573.3443.201  
**SUBJECT:** GRAVITY LOADS

**PROJECT:** Mason Murski Residence

CALCULATE ESTIMATED GRAVITY LOADS

Roof Pitch: 6.1 :12

<b>ROOF DEAD LOAD (D)</b>	Design material weight [psf]	Increase due to pitch	Material weight [psf]
Composite Shingles	2.2	1.12	2.0
1/2" Plywood	1.1	1.12	1.0
Framing	3.0		3.0
Insulation	0.5		0.5
1/2" Gypsum Clg.	2.2	1.12	2.0
M, E & Misc	1.5		1.5
Total Original Roof DL	10.6		
PV Array DL	3.4	1.12	3

**ROOF LIVE LOAD (Lr)**

Existing Design Roof Live Load [psf]	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">20</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 4-1
Roof Live Load With PV Array [psf]	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</span>	2018 IBC, Section 1607.13.5

**SNOW LOAD (S):**

Existing      w/ Solar Array

Roof Slope [x:12]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">6.1</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">6.1</span>	
Roof Slope [°]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">27</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">27</span>	
Snow Ground Load, $p_g$ [psf]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">20</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">20</span>	ASCE 7-16, Section 7.2
Terrain Category:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">C</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">C</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 7-2
Exposure of Roof:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Fully Exposed</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Fully Exposed</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 7-2
Exposure Factor, $C_e$ :	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0.9</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0.9</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 7-2
Thermal Factor, $C_t$ :	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1.1</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1.1</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 7-3
Risk Category:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">II</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">II</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 1-1
Importance Factor, $I_s$ :	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1.0</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1.0</span>	ASCE 7-16, Table 7-4
Flat Roof Snow Load, $p_f$ [psf]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">14</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">14</span>	ASCE 7-16, Equation 7-1
Minimum Roof Snow Load, $p_m$ [psf]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</span>	ASCE 7-16, Section 7.3.4
Unobstructed Slippery Surface?	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">No</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Yes</span>	ASCE 7-16, Section 7.4
Slope Factor Figure:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Figure 7-2b</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Figure 7-2b</span>	ASCE 7-16, Section 7.4
Roof Slope Factor, $C_s$ :	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1.00</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0.72</span>	ASCE 7-16, Figure 7-2
Sloped Roof Snow Load, $p_s$ [psf]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">14</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">10</span>	ASCE 7-16, Equation 7-2
Design Snow Load, $S$ [psf]:	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">14</span>	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">10</span>	



**JOB NO.:** U3573.3443.201  
**SUBJECT:** LOAD COMPARISON

**PROJECT:** Mason Murski Residence

---

Summary of Loads

	Existing	With PV Array
D [psf]	11	14
Lr [psf]	20	0
S [psf]	14	10

Maximum Gravity Loads:

	Existing	With PV Array	
$(D + Lr) / Cd$ [psf]	24	16	ASCE 7-16, Section 2.4.1
$(D + S) / Cd$ [psf]	21	21	ASCE 7-16, Section 2.4.1

(Cd = Load Duration Factor = 0.9 for D, 1.15 for S, and 1.25 for Lr)

Maximum Gravity Load [psf]:	24	21
-----------------------------	----	----

Ratio Proposed Loading to Current Loading: 

<b>85%</b>
------------

**OK**

**The gravity loads and; thus, the stresses of the structural elements, in the area of the solar array are either decreased or increased by no more than 5%. Therefore, the requirements of Section 806.2 of the 2018 IEBC are met and the structure is permitted to remain unaltered.**